Spectral Transfer-learning-based Metasurface Design Assisted by Complex-valued Deep Neural Network

Yi Xu,^a Fu Li,^a Jianqiang Gu,^{a,*} Zhiwei Bi,^b Bing Cao,^{b,*} Quanlong Yang,^{c,*} Jiaguang Han,^d Qinghua Hu,^b and Weili Zhang^e

^aTianjin University, Center for Terahertz Waves and College of Precision Instrument and Optoelectronics Engineering, Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Information Technology, Ministry of Education, 300072 Tianjin, People's Republic of China.

^bTianjin University, College of Intelligence and Computing, 300072 Tianjin, People's Republic of China. ^cCentral South University, Hunan Key Laboratory of Nanophotonics and Devices, School of Physics and Electronics, Changsha 410083, Hunan, China.

^dGuilin University of Electronic Technology, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Information Processing, School of Optoelectronic Engineering, Guilin, 541004, China.

^eOklahoma State University, School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Stillwater, OK 74078, United States.

Abstract. Recently, deep learning has been used to establish the nonlinear and nonintuitive mapping between physical structures and electromagnetic responses of meta-atoms for higher computational efficiency. However, to obtain sufficiently accurate predictions, the conventional deep-learning-based method consumes plenty of time to collect the dataset, thus hindering its wide application in this interdiscipline. Herein we introduce a spectral transfer-learning-based metasurface design method to achieve excellent performance on a small dataset with only 1000 samples in the target waveband by utilizing open-source data from another spectral range. We demonstrate three transfer strategies and experimentally quantify their performance, among which the "frozen-none" one robustly improves the prediction accuracy by ~26% compared to direct learning. During the training process, we propose to use a complex-valued deep neural network to further improve the spectral predicting precision by ~30% compared to its real-valued counterparts. Several typical terahertz meta-devices are designed by employing a hybrid inverse model consolidating this trained target network and a global optimization algorithm. The simulated results successfully validate the capability of our approach. Our work shown here provides a universal methodology for efficient and accurate metasurface design in arbitrary wavebands, which will pave the way toward the automated and mass production of metasurface.

Keywords: transfer learning; complex-valued deep neural network; metasurface; inverse design; conditioned adaptive particle swarm optimization; terahertz.

*Jianqiang Gu, E-mail: gjq@tju.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Metasurface,¹ a 2D array consisting of sub-wavelength artificial meta-atoms, has increasingly shown its compact dimension and design flexibility in the control of electromagnetic (EM) waves, which arouses widespread research enthusiasm in optics and photonics of multiple wavebands. The intriguing advantages of metasurface enable versatile functionalities such as focusing,²⁻⁵ beam steering,^{6, 7} vortex generation,⁸⁻¹⁰ polarization control,¹¹⁻¹³ holography,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and so on. The common methodology of metasurface design is a one-time and trial-and-error process relying much on physical

insights and experience, and the practical pattern of metasurface comes from the manually iterative numerical calculations, such as the Finite Elements Method and the Finite Difference Time Domain method, until the desired performance criteria are reached. The search procedure for geometrical parameters of meta-atoms is not only time-consuming and inefficient but also easy to miss the global optimal solution, which is more defective as the dimensions of the variables or objective functions increase. To overcome these limitations, deep learning as a powerful computational tool has been employed in the metasurface design,¹⁷⁻²² which is capable to reveal the underlying nonlinear and nonintuitive relations between the geometrical parameters and EM responses of the meta-atoms in a real-time and automatic way.^{23, 24} As a result, the well-trained deep neural networks (DNN) acting as a surrogate model can effectively replace the commercial EM simulation software with high prediction accuracy and orders of magnitude faster calculation speed.²⁵⁻³¹

However, the current deep-learning-based methods face the contradiction between efficiency and accuracy, because they usually resort to a specific huge dataset for reliable performance owing to their data-hungry nature. Collecting adequate data is slow and expensive while training a DNN from scratch also costs considerable time consumption and computing resources. Once the feature space or distribution is changed, even though slightly different from the source task, the trained model may work ineffectively. To make the deep-learning-based methods more versatile for metasurface design, some solutions have been proposed to improve the model performance with a small dataset. Transfer learning is a helpful framework that shares the knowledge and experience learned from the source model with the target one, so that reduces the amount of required data and implements the prediction rapidly with high accuracy. In nanophotonics and metasurface design, transfer-learning-based methods are used to migrate knowledge between different physical scenarios such as photonics films with different numbers of layers,³² and dielectric meta-atoms with different shapes or dimensions of cross sections.^{33, 34} Also, the knowledge from other research fields can also be leveraged to study metasurfaces through transfer learning. For example, the meta-atoms are treated as images by using the transfer learning model based on GoogLeNet-Inception-V3 and realize the classification of phase from 0 to 360 degrees.³⁵ In addition to the transfer-learning-based method, data augmentation³⁶ and spectral scalability³⁷ were explored to reduce the dependence on data size. Whereas, previous works are limited to a fixed spectral range of the labeled dataset. Once the

range of the working waveband or the number of the sampling points changes, a new model is necessary to be trained and the training dataset should be re-prepared for this new task. Especially when material dispersion in different bands is considered, the scale invariance of Maxwell's equations is no longer applicable. And the method that exploits the spectral scalability by wavelength normalization trying to address this problem still suffers from a sudden deviation from the simulation data near the boundary of the waveband range which means the EM responses of the meta-atoms are not perfectly scalable.

In this work, we introduce a metasurface design methodology empowered by transfer learning that utilizes the commonality of the EM characteristics of the dielectric meta-atoms in different spectral ranges, therefore reducing the amount of data by bridging the disparity of working frequencies. Specifically, we train the base model on an open-source dataset²⁵ in the infrared (IR) band from 30 to 60 THz and then store the knowledge gained in solving the source task, and transfer it to our terahertz (THz) spectral range from 0.5 to 1.0 THz to help the target task which is trained on our small homemade dataset. Here a complex-valued fully connected network that achieves highperformance spectral predicting ability is used in the source model, with an improvement of ~30% compared to the sum of its real-valued counterparts. We demonstrate three transfer strategies and experimentally quantify their performance, among which the "frozen-none" one improves the prediction accuracy by ~26% compared to direct learning. Further, we propose several typical THz meta-devices including a metalens and a vortex beam generator by employing the hybrid inverse model consolidating this trained target network and a global optimization algorithm as a proof-of-concept application. The simulated results clarify the reliability and scalability of our spectral transfer-learning-based metasurface design methodology assisted by complex DNN(CDNN), which is of great significance for balancing the efficiency and accuracy of the deep-learning-based method, hence promoting metasurface studies in arbitrary wavebands.

2 Results

2.1 Overall Framework of the Metasurface Design Methodology

Figure 1 schematically illustrates the spectral transfer-learning-based metasurface comprehensive design framework, which consists of three primary submodules: 1) A

deep-learning-based forward prediction source model trained on the massive opensource labeled data; 2) A target model benefited from the knowledge transferred from the source model, which is then fine-tuned with a small home-made dataset; 3) A hybrid inverse model for the on-demand metasurface design implemented by combining the trained target model with the conditioned adaptive particle swarm optimization (CAPSO) algorithm. The required transmission spectrum is fed into the inverse model as the design goal to retrieve the geometrical dimensions of the candidate meta-atoms, which are evaluated by comparing the predicted EM responses output from the welltrained target model and the desired goal. Then the optimization algorithm iteratively updates the generated dimensions until the maximum epoch or convergence criterion is reached. The efficacy of our proposed methodology is ultimately demonstrated by the performance of the metasurface assembled from the optimial meta-atoms at each position.



Fig. 1 Flowchart of the spectral transfer-learning-based metasurface comprehensive design methodology assisted by the complex-valued deep neural network.

2.2 Source Spectral Model Construction

The source model is a data-driven feed-forward neural network, consisting of 11 fully connected layers, which is aimed at dealing with the regression problem between the structure parameters and the EM responses of the meta-atoms. The fully connected network is capable of unveiling this implicit nonlinear relationship in a simplified and

stable form, especially when the variables can be parameterized as tensors. Here, the input and output parameters of the network as well as all the hyperparameters (weights \tilde{W} and bias \tilde{b}) of each layer are extended to the complex domain to directly predict the complex transmission coefficients of meta-atoms, from which the phase and amplitude can be derived monolithically. In addition, the corresponding network functions, such as normalization, loss, nonlinear activation and regularization functions should also be adjusted to the form suitable for complex numbers. More detailed information about the CDNN has been included and discussed in the first section of the Supporting Information. Using complex parameters has numerous advantages, including a richer and more versatile representation capacity and a more robust memory retrieval mechanism, which has been demonstrated to improve the performance of the computer vision and audio signal processing tasks based on the CDNN compared to their real-valued counterparts.³⁸ And the architecture of CDNN is depicted in Fig. 2a. The Source-CDNN is first trained on the publicly released dataset, containing ~60000 $\{D, (Re(\tilde{T}), Im(\tilde{T}))\}$ pairs of geometrical parameters and the real/imaginary parts of transmittance of the meta-atoms in the IR band. The input layer takes in a dimension tensor **D** of size 4 including permittivity ϵ , radius **r**, height **h**, and the gap **g** between adjacent meta-atoms, and the output tensor \tilde{T} is the complex transmission coefficient sampled over 30- 60 THz with an interval of 1 THz, giving a total of 31 elements. The supervised training process is conducted by minimizing the mean squared error (MSE) between the prediction result generated from the network and the ground truth given by full-wave simulations:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\hat{\tilde{T}} - \tilde{T} \right) \left(\hat{\tilde{T}} - \tilde{T}^* \right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\left(\operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\tilde{T}} \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(\tilde{T} \right) \right)^2 + \left(\operatorname{Im} \left(\hat{\tilde{T}} \right) - \operatorname{Im} \left(\tilde{T} \right) \right)^2 \right], (1)$$

where m is the number of the spectrum points, $\hat{\tilde{T}}$ and \tilde{T} are predicted and simulated transmission, respectively. \tilde{T}^* is the complex conjugate of \tilde{T} . The Re and Im functions represent taking the real and imaginary parts of a complex number.

Among the total dataset, a 70/30 split for the training and test dataset is assigned. As the learning curve shown in Fig. 2b, the overall test MSE is $\sim 1.05 \times 10^{-4}$ after 50000 epochs. And Fig. 2c displays an MSE histogram of the predicted transmission from the test set, showing an average MSE of 1.048×10^{-4} and a 95% data demarcation line < 3.5×10^{-4} , consistent with the low prediction error exhibited by the CDNN after training. Once the complex transmission coefficients are determined from the network, the corresponding phase and amplitude are calculated monolithically at each frequency as

shown in the right part of Fig. 2a. Several samples are randomly selected from the test set as presented in Fig. S1, from which we can see that the network prediction results are in good agreement with the simulated truth values even at those resonant frequency points, which demonstrates that CDNN has reasonably high predicting accuracy. To clarify the efficacy of the CDNN, we also trained two real-valued deep neural networks with real (RDNN) and imaginary (IDNN) parts as outputs, respectively. Detailed information of these two networks is described in the first section of Supporting Information. The MSE of the CDNN is ~30% less than the sum of the MSE of the RDNN and IDNN which are both ~7.5×10⁻⁵ after 50000 epochs, indicating that the CDNN has superior performance compared with its real-valued counterparts. Given the vital role the source model plays in our transfer-learning-based method, such high generalized predicting accuracy is critical to ensure the target model acquires sufficiently reliable knowledge to facilitate the on-demand metasurface design in the target task.



Fig. 2 Schematic of the source model. (a) Illustration for the architecture and the parameters of the CDNN. (b) Learning curves of the CDNN that take the loss value as the function of the epoch. The smoothed train loss (blue curve) and test loss (red curve) are shown on the original learning curves (light gray). (c) Histogram of the MSE for the predicted complex transmission from the test set, where 95% of the data have an MSE $< 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$, as indicated by the gray dashed line.

2.3 Transfer Knowledge to Target Spectral Model

Benefiting from the knowledge learned by the source model, the target model is trained on the target frequency domain (0.5 to 1.0 THz) to predict the complex transmission coefficients of the meta-atoms with a relatively small dataset of 1000 samples. The relation of the interest bands of the source task and target task of spectra transfer learning is depicted in Fig. 3a. The target dataset of cylindrical-shaped all-dielectric meta-atoms is established via the commercial software CST Microwave Studio under x-polarized normally incident light from 0.5 to 1.0 THz. In this home-built library, each meta-atom is determined by the same four geometry parameters as the above-mentioned ones in the open-source dataset but within a different range. The ranges of the four parameters are $\varepsilon \in [10,20]$, $r \in [5,65]$, $h \in [3,65]$, and $g \in [5,95]$ (all in µm). And the complex transmission coefficients over the whole spectrum are sampled into 51 frequency points with an interval of 0.01 THz. The simulation details are described in the first section of Appendix. Then we employ transfer learning to help train the target neural network. The target model has the same network structure as the source model except for the output layer, whose dimensionality can be adjusted according to the sampling points of the target spectrum and in our case there are 51 dimensions.



Fig. 3 Schematic of transfer learning. (a) Diagram of the relation of interest bands of the source and target task. (b) Illustration of spectral transfer learning. The top row is the architecture of the source model (blue), and the next rows are the target models (orange) based on three transfer strategies: "frozennone", "copy-all" and "hybrid-transfer", respectively. Blue blocks represent the copied layers from the trained source network and then be fine-tuned during training. Orange blocks represent the fine-tuned layers with random initialization. And the Mosaic pattern represents the frozen state. Black rounded rectangles represent activation layers.

We propose three transfer learning strategies as depicted in Fig. 3b: 1) The target network copies the first k layers from the source model as the initialization of weights and bias and the remaining layers of the target network are randomly initialized with a normal distribution. The entire target network is fine-tuned simultaneously to be trained on the target dataset and model, called "frozen-none" strategy. 2) The target network copies all hidden layers (except for the last one due to the mismatch of the dimensions) from the trained source model as the initialization of all hyperparameters. During the training process, the first k layers are frozen, meaning that they do not change with training, and only the remaining layers are fine-tuned, called "copy-all" strategy. 3) The

target network copies the first k layers from the source model and freezes them, whereas the remaining layers are initialized and fine-tuned, called "hybrid-transfer" strategy. Further details of the training setup (learning rates, etc.) are given in the second section of the Supporting Information. As the major challenge in transfer learning is to select the general layers and specific layers to avoid the negative transfer between the source and target tasks, we conduct three sets of experiments to determine the best transfer learning strategy as well as the most appropriate number of the transfer layers. The learning curves of these three strategies are shown in Fig. 4a, and the colors from dark to light indicate the number of transfer layers from less to more, i.e. from 1(0) to 10(9). We aim not to maximize the absolute performance of the target model, but rather to verify that the transfer-learning-based method has advantages over direct learning. By comparing their performances on the same dataset under consistent experimental conditions, we can see that in the "frozen-none" case, the test error is $\sim 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ if trained from scratch, whereas it is around 2.7×10^{-3} with the transferred knowledge no matter how many layers are copied. The prediction accuracy is improved by about 26% through transfer learning. In addition, the loss function of transfer learning converges earlier than that of direct learning, manifesting a faster training speed. It takes an average of 72 minutes per 10000 epochs of training on our computer equipped with an Nvidia RTX 3090 GPU and our training process runs about 15000 iterations to converge. In the other two cases, the test error is either higher or lower than that of direct learning as the number of transfer layers changes, which depends on the generality and specialization of different layers as well as the co-adaptation between neighboring layers.³⁹ Our results show that the "frozen-none" strategy has stronger robustness than the other two, which can achieve higher accuracy than direct learning without careful parameter tuning, indicating it is more applicable for the spectral transfer target task.



Fig. 4 Results of the spectral transfer learning. (a) Clusters of learning curves of three transfer strategies: "frozen-none" (red), "copy-all" (blue), and "hybrid-transfer" (orange). The colors from dark to light indicate the number of transfer layers from less to more. (b) Examples demonstrating the performance of the target-CDNN using transfer learning.

Specifically, we use the "frozen-none" method of copying the first 7 layers to train the target-CDNN and test the generalization performance on the test set. Several typical test examples are presented in Fig. 4b. These examples illustrate that the predicted spectra are in good accordance with the simulated ones in the regions no matter where the fluctuation is gentle or violent. It is also worth mentioning that this process only takes several milliseconds to calculate the transmission coefficients over the whole bandwidth under consideration of each meta-atom. Such prediction accuracy and efficiency are crucial for the on-demand metasurface design in the inverse model as will be discussed in the next section.

2.4 Hybrid Inverse Model for the On-demand Metasurface Design

The core objective of the spectral transfer-learning-based method is the efficient and

accurate on-demand metasurface design in the interested band. We divide the design task of the whole metasurface into the independent search for each meta-atom according to the phase and amplitude distribution oriented by functionality. In order to identify the optimal structure parameters at each pixel of the metasurface while avoiding the exponential growth of the simulation time during the iterations, we propose a hybrid inverse model that combines the data-driven deep learning method with the rule-driven global optimization algorithm. In this model, the trained target-CDNN is regarded as an EM simulator to replace the traditional EM simulation software, which can precisely predict the transmission coefficients at an extremely high speed. And the CAPSO algorithm performs to be a fast generator and a powerful optimizer of the meta-atoms. Specifically, the geometrical parameters given by CAPSO are fed into the target-CDNN to predict the corresponding complex spectrum tensor, from which the extracted phases and amplitudes at certain frequencies are evaluated by quantifying the discrepancy between the current results and the goals. The optimal parameters are successively updated in iterative runs to reduce the value of the loss function as Eq.2 shows until the maximum epoch is reached:

$$Loss = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\varphi_{goal}(\lambda_i) - \varphi_{optimal}(\lambda_i)| + \eta \times |A_{goal}(\lambda_i) - A_{optimal}(\lambda_i)|), \qquad (2)$$

where *n* is the number of the target frequencies, φ and *A* are the phase and amplitude at a certain frequency and the subscripts *goal* and *optimal* represent the target and the current optimum value. η is a customized preset weight factor, whose value depends on the role of the amplitude playing in the functional devices.

Instead of constructing an inverse or tandem deep neural network commonly used in the metasurface inverse design,^{29, 40, 41} we employ this hybrid model chiefly for these two reasons: 1) Previous inverse networks take the entire spectrum tensor as the input, which is suitable for the amplitude-functional devices in the continuous band, such as the filter, absorber, resonators. Whereas most phase-gradient metasurfaces only care about the EM responses at one or several frequencies, leaving alone the others. If the randomly assigned transmission is too different from the ones in the dataset, the inverse network is almost impossible to output a reliable structure owing to non-uniqueness (a spectrum can be generated by multiple structural parameters, or no set of structural parameters can produce such a spectrum); 2) the inverse model with the optimization algorithm can be readily modified to meet diverse design goals and restrictions.⁴² For example, we can impose a constraint inequation on the parameter gap to alleviate the coupling among adjacent meta-atoms. We can also design frequency multiplexing devices with different numbers and values.

2.5 Meta-device Design and Verification

To verify the efficacy of our proposed hybrid inverse model, first we quickly design a focusing cylindrical metalens through this method. The ideal phase retardation provided by the metalens can be written as the function:

$$\varphi(x,\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c} \left(\sqrt{x^2 + f^2} - f \right), \tag{3}$$

where c is the light speed in vacuum, ω is the angular frequency, x is the distance between a meta-atom and the center of the metalens, f is the focal length. In this design, the metalens with the diameter of 12.15 mm and the focal length of 20 mm is to focus the transmitted waves at 0.95 THz. Each column of the metalens is composed of 81 meta-atoms, and the dimension tensor $\boldsymbol{D} = [\varepsilon, r, h, g]^T$ of each meta-atom is optimized iteratively according to Eq. 2 in turn with φ_{goal} calculated by Eq. 3 and A_{goal} set as 0.5. Figure 5a and 5b show the target phase and amplitude profiles of the metalens as well as the phase and amplitude responses of optimized meta-atoms selected by the hybrid inverse model at each pixel, which match each other fairly well. The detailed procedures and resulting parameters of this model are described in Sections 3 and 4 of the Supporting Information. The metalens is then investigated by using CST Microwave Studio, where the metalens is placed on the z = 0 plane with the center set at the origin. The optical axis is set as the z-axis with the open boundary condition adopted in x-direction and the periodic boundary condition adopted in the y-direction. And the metalens is illuminated by x- polarized plane waves. Figure 5d depicts the normalized far-field intensity distribution of this metalens, which is in good agreement with the theoretical calculation derived by the Rayleigh Sommerfeld diffraction integral formula in Fig. 5c. The results confirm the validity of the hybrid inverse model and further demonstrate the reliability of our CDNN-based transfer model.



Fig. 5 Characterization of the metalens designed by the hybrid inverse model. (a) and (b) are the target phase and amplitude profiles (blue lines) and the phases and amplitudes of the optimized meta-atoms at each pixel selected by our inverse model (red hollow circles). (c) and (d) are the theoretical and simulated normalized intensity distributions of the designed metalens along the propagation plane.



Fig. 6 Characterization of the meta-vortex generator designed by the hybrid inverse model. (a) The structure pattern, phase and amplitude distributions of the designed meta-vortex generator output from the hybrid inverse model. (b) and (c) are the theoretical and simulated results for the phase, real part and normalized intensity distributions along the xy- and yz plane of the designed meta-vortex generator.

To further verify the efficiency and versatility of our method, we design a twodimensional meta-device for generating the focused THz vortex beam based on the function as follows:

$$\varphi(r,\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c} \left(\sqrt{r^2 + f^2} - f \right) + l\theta, \tag{4}$$

where (r,θ) is the polar coordinate of a meta-atom, and l is an integer representing the topological charge and related to the orbital angular momentum. The meta-device is aimed to generate a 2nd-order vortex beam (l = +2) operating at 0.95 THz, consisting of 61×61 meta-atoms with a lattice size of 150 µm and each of them is automatically determined by the hybrid inverse model. Figure 6a shows the optimized results of the structure pattern, phase and amplitude distributions for the designed meta-vortex generator. The whole prediction process only takes about 600 seconds, with an average time of less than 0.1 s for each meta-atom. Its performance is then evaluated by using CST Microwave Studio, where the meta-vortex generator is placed on the z = 0 plane with z-axis as the optical axis. With the open boundary condition being applied, the linearly-polarized Gaussian waves with a waist radius of 3 mm incident on the metavortex generator. Figure 6c depicts the simulated results including phase, real part and normalized intensity distributions, which are in good agreement with the theoretical calculations derived by the Rayleigh Sommerfeld diffraction integral formula in Fig. 6b. The deterioration of the simulated results is suggested to be caused by the finite hexahedral mesh limited by the computer memory and the unavoidable coupling among adjacent meta-atoms.

3 Discussion and Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed a spectral transfer-learning-based comprehensive methodology assisted by CDNN for the realization of the balance between computational efficiency and prediction accuracy to further help with the automated on-demand metasurface design in arbitrary wavebands. We explored the spectral transfer-learning strategy to ease the burden of large data volume requirements by migrating the learned knowledge from the original band to the target one by exploiting the commonality for EM properties of the all-dielectric meta-atoms with the same geometrical shape but in different spectral ranges. We proposed to utilize CDNN to train the source model on the given cheap dataset which obtains a fairly low prediction error of 1.05×10^{-4} with an improvement of ~30% compared to its real-valued counterparts. And we demonstrated that transfer learning can improve the prediction accuracy by $\sim 26\%$ in a quite shorter time compared to direct learning on the same small dataset with only 1000 samples through the robust transfer learning strategy named "frozen-none". For proof-of-concept, we presented a focusing metalens and a metavortex generator working at 0.95 THz by utilizing the hybrid inverse model that combines the well-trained target-CDNN with the CAPSO algorithm. The simulated results of these designed meta-devices agreed well with the corresponding theoretical calculations, consequently validating the capability of the proposed comprehensive framework. In the view of the underlying logic of our work, the deep-learning-based network is proposed to address the time and computing costs of the conventional simulation tools for metasurface design and modeling, i.e. our network aims at the numerical simulation process to demonstrate that the spectral transfer method is an efficient alternative to the consumed electromagnetic simulation software and human experience. As for the experiment demonstration, it will be the application of a welltrained feed-forward network. Our results show the potential to synchronously improve both the efficiency and accuracy of the deep-learning-based method, which will facilitate the fast and reliable metasurface design in arbitrary frequency bands, thus promoting the substantial application of deep learning in these disciplines such as metaoptics, spectral recognition and resolution enhancement.

Appendix A: Python-CST co-simulation

A.1 Random Generation of Meta-atoms and Establishment of Datasets

During the target dataset establishment, the co-application of Python and CST automates the numerical simulations in a batched manner. The CST package provides a Python interface to the CST Studio Suite, which allows controlling a running simulation or reading the results of project files. First, we need to start a CST environment and create a new CST project. Then we edit the VBA codes to set up the model, materials, simulation conditions, and so on. Dimensions of the meta-atoms are randomly generated within their respective ranges, and they are constructed on top of a fused silica substrate with the thickness of 120 μ m and the fixed lattice size of 150 μ m. Each meta-atom is simulated under the *x*-polarized plane wave by Frequency Domain Solver with the Tetrahedral mesh type. Periodic and perfect matched layer (open) boundary conditions are used along the transverse (*x*- and *y*-) and longitudinal (*z*-) directions with respect to the propagation of light. A field probe is placed at *z* = 2000 μ m to detect the transmission spectrum of the meta-atom, which can be derived from the 1D results. One loop is completed for each simulation run, and the number of loops is the size of the target dataset.

A.2 Arrange the Layout of Metasurfaces

During the verification process, the co-application of Python and CST automates the arrangement of the metasurface layout. Similarly, we start a CST project and initialize the settings. Then we input the dimension parameters of each meta-atom into CST and place them according to their corresponding positions through VBA codes, to arrange them into a whole metasurface in order. The meta-device is simulated under an *x*-

polarized plane wave by Time Domain Solver with a time duration of 500 ps. The boundary conditions in the propagation direction are set as open, and those in the *x*- and *y*- directions are set as open (for 2D meta-devices such as metalenses, vortex generators, and holographic plates) or period (for 1D meta-devices such as cylindrical metalenses, and deflectors) as needed. Field monitors are placed according to the location of the electric field to be observed. Corresponding codes are provided by authors.

Disclosures

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

Code, Data, and Materials Availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are presented in the paper. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

The code used to generate the results and figures is available in a Github repository at https://github.com/Yi-109/cst-python-co-simulation/ and https://github.com/Yi-109/cst-python-co-simulation/ and https://github.com/Yi-109/cst-python-co-simulation/ and https://github.com/Yi-109/transfer-learning-complex-neural-network-based-metasurface-design/. We will release the code when the article is accepted, and only the README.md is available for now.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (62027820, 61975143, 61735012, 62205380)

References

- H. T. Chen, A. J. Taylor and N. F. Yu, "A review of metasurfaces: Physics and applications," *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 79(7), 076401 (2016).
- F. Aieta et al., "Aberration-free ultrathin flat lenses and axicons at telecom wavelengths based on plasmonic metasurfaces," *Nano Lett.* 12(9), 4932-4936 (2012).
- M. Khorasaninejad et al., "Polarization-insensitive metalenses at visible wavelengths," *Nano Lett.* 16(11), 7229-7234 (2016).
- Y. J. Wang et al., "High-efficiency broadband achromatic metalens for near-ir biological imaging window," *Nat. Commun.* 12(1), 5560 (2021).
- 5. Q. L. Yang et al., "Broadband and robust metalens with nonlinear phase profiles for efficient terahertz wave control," *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **5**(10), 1601084 (2017).

- S. L. Sun et al., "High-efficiency broadband anomalous reflection by gradient meta-surfaces," Nano Lett. 12(12), 6223-6229 (2012).
- Q. Yang et al., "Broadband terahertz rotator with an all-dielectric metasurface," *Photonics Res.* 6(11), 1056-1061 (2018).
- K. E. Chong et al., "Polarization-independent silicon metadevices for efficient optical wavefront control," *Nano Lett.* 15(8), 5369-5374 (2015).
- 9. D. Hakobyan et al., "Tailoring orbital angular momentum of light in the visible domain with metallic metasurfaces," *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **4**(2), 306-312 (2016).
- 10. H. F. Zhang et al., "Polarization-independent all-silicon dielectric metasurfaces in the terahertz regime," *Photonics Res.* **6**(1), 24-29 (2018).
- A. Arbabi et al., "Dielectric metasurfaces for complete control of phase and polarization with subwavelength spatial resolution and high transmission," *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 10(11), 937-U190 (2015).
- 12. P. C. Wu et al., "Versatile polarization generation with an aluminum plasmonic metasurface," *Nano Lett.* **17**(1), 445-452 (2017).
- 13. J. P. B. Mueller et al., "Metasurface polarization optics: Independent phase control of arbitrary orthogonal states of polarization," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**(11), 113901 (2017).
- 14. W. T. Chen et al., "High-efficiency broadband meta-hologram with polarization-controlled dual images," *Nano Lett.* **14**(1), 225-230 (2014).
- 15. Z. Wang et al., "Huygens metasurface holograms with the modulation of focal energy distribution," *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **6**(12), 1800121 (2018).
- Q. Wang et al., "Polarization and frequency multiplexed terahertz meta-holography," *Adv. Opt. Mater.* 5(14), 1700277 (2017).
- 17. O. Khatib et al., "Deep learning the electromagnetic properties of metamaterials—a comprehensive review," *Adv. Func. Mater.* **31**(31) (2021).
- J. Q. Jiang, M. K. Chen and J. A. Fan, "Deep neural networks for the evaluation and design of photonic devices," *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 6(8), 679-700 (2021).
- 19. M. K. Chen et al., "Artificial intelligence in meta-optics," *Chem. Rev.* **122**(19), 15356-15413 (2022).
- W. Ma et al., "Deep learning for the design of photonic structures," *Nat. Photonics* 15(2), 77-90 (2020).
- Y. Xu et al., "Software-defined nanophotonic devices and systems empowered by machine learning," *Prog. Quantum Electron.* 89, 100469 (2023).
- Y. Xu et al., "Interfacing photonics with artificial intelligence: An innovative design strategy for photonic structures and devices based on artificial neural networks," *Photonics Res.* 9(4), B135-B152 (2021).
- 23. W. Ma et al., "Probabilistic representation and inverse design of metamaterials based on a deep generative model with semi-supervised learning strategy," *Adv. Mater.* **31**(35), 1901111 (2019).
- 24. W. Ma et al., "Pushing the limits of functionality-multiplexing capability in metasurface design based on statistical machine learning," *Adv. Mater.* **34**(16), 2110022 (2022).
- 25. S. An et al., "A deep learning approach for objective-driven all-dielectric metasurface design," *ACS Photonics* **6**(12), 3196-3207 (2019).
- 26. O. Khatib et al., "Learning the physics of all-dielectric metamaterials with deep lorentz neural networks," *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **10**(13), 2200097 (2022).

- Z. Liu et al., "Generative model for the inverse design of metasurfaces," *Nano Lett.* 18(10), 6570-6576 (2018).
- W. Ma, F. Cheng and Y. Liu, "Deep-learning-enabled on-demand design of chiral metamaterials," ACS Nano 12(6), 6326-6334 (2018).
- 29. I. Malkiel et al., "Plasmonic nanostructure design and characterization via deep learning," *Light-Sci. Appl.* **7**, 60 (2018).
- 30. Z. A. Kudyshev et al., "Machine-learning-assisted metasurface design for high-efficiency thermal emitter optimization," *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 7(2), 021407 (2020).
- 31. H. Ren et al., "Three-dimensional vectorial holography based on machine learning inverse design," *Sci. Adv.* **6**(16), eaaz4261.
- 32. Y. Qu et al., "Migrating knowledge between physical scenarios based on artificial neural networks," *ACS Photonics* **6**(5), 1168-1174 (2019).
- 33. D. Xu et al., "Efficient design of a dielectric metasurface with transfer learning and genetic algorithm," *Opt. Mater. Exp.* **11**(7) (2021).
- J. Zhang et al., "Heterogeneous transfer-learning-enabled diverse metasurface design," *Adv. Opt. Mater.* 10(17), 2200748 (2022).
- 35. R. Zhu et al., "Phase-to-pattern inverse design paradigm for fast realization of functional metasurfaces via transfer learning," *Nat. Commun.* **12**(1), 2974 (2021).
- 36. Z. Zhao et al., "Data enhanced iterative few-sample learning algorithm-based inverse design of 2d programmable chiral metamaterials," *Nanophotonics* **11**(20), 4465-4478 (2022).
- 37. I. Tanriover, W. Hadibrata and K. Aydin, "Physics-based approach for a neural networks enabled design of all-dielectric metasurfaces," *ACS Photonics* **7**(8), 1957-1964 (2020).
- 38. C. Trabelsi et al., "Deep complex networks," p. arXiv:1705.09792 (2017).
- J. Yosinski et al., "How transferable are features in deep neural networks?," p. arXiv:1411.1792 (2014).
- 40. D. Liu et al., "Training deep neural networks for the inverse design of nanophotonic structures," *ACS Photonics* **5**(4), 1365-1369 (2018).
- 41. L. Xu et al., "Enhanced light–matter interactions in dielectric nanostructures via machinelearning approach," *Adv. Photonics* **2**(02) (2020).
- 42. P. R. Wiecha et al., "Deep learning in nano-photonics: Inverse design and beyond," *Photonics Res.* **9**(5), B182-B200 (2021).

Yi Xu received her BS degree in optoelectronic information science and engineering from Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, in 2019. Currently, she is working toward her PhD in optical engineering at the Center for Terahertz Waves, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China. Her research interests are focused on dielectric metasurfaces, terahertz photonics and machine learning for the design and optimization of metasurface.

Jianqiang Gu is a full professor at Tianjin University, China. He received his BEng degree in electronic science and technology, MEng degree in physical electronics, and PhD in Opto-Electronics Technology from Tianjin University, China, in 2004, 2007,

and 2010, respectively. Up to now, he has published over 100 peer-reviewed journal papers with total citations of \sim 2700 (H index is 30). His current research interests focus on terahertz spectroscopy, photoconductive antenna and terahertz subwavelength devices.