Commun. Theor. Phys. 72 (2020) 085002 (9pp)

# Interaction phenomena between lump and solitary wave of a generalized (3 + 1)-dimensional variable-coefficient nonlinear-wave equation in liquid with gas bubbles<sup>\*</sup>

## Jian-Guo Liu<sup>1,4</sup>, Wen-Hui Zhu<sup>2,4</sup>, Yan He<sup>1,4</sup> and Ya-Kui Wu<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Computer, Jiangxi University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jiangxi 330004, China
 <sup>2</sup> Institute of artificial intelligence, Nanchang Institute of Science and Technology, Jiangxi 330108, China
 <sup>3</sup> School of science, Jiujiang University, Jiangxi 332005, China

E-mail: 20101059@jxutcm.edu.cn, 415422402@qq.com, 274667818@qq.com and 2180501008@cnu. edu.cn

Received 18 December 2019, revised 9 February 2020 Accepted for publication 10 February 2020 Published 15 July 2020



In this paper, a generalized (3 + 1)-dimensional variable-coefficient nonlinear-wave equation is studied in liquid with gas bubbles. Based on the Hirota's bilinear form and symbolic computation, lump and interaction solutions between lump and solitary wave are obtained, which include a periodic-shape lump solution, a parabolic-shape lump solution, a cubic-shape lump solution, interaction solutions between lump and one solitary wave, and between lump and two solitary waves. The spatial structures called the bright lump wave and the bright-dark lump wave are discussed. Interaction behaviors of two bright-dark lump waves and a periodic-shape bright lump wave are also presented. Their interactions are shown in some 3D plots.

Keywords: solitary wave, lump wave, variable-coefficient nonlinear-wave equation, interaction behaviors

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

### 1. Introduction

In some branches of science and engineering such as fluid mechanics, quantum mechanics, particle physics, mass transfer, plasma physics, nano liquids and biological mathematics [1–5], nonlinear partial differential equations (NPDES) are used to describe many nonlinear phenomena and wave propagation characteristics. As the lump solutions of the NPDES are the special, powerful destructive ocean wave in the real world, it is important to search for the lump solutions of the NPDES, especially the constant-coefficient NPDES that have attracted the attention of many scholars [6–10].

Recently, a generalized (3 + 1)-dimensional nonlinearwave equation has been presented as [11]

$$[4u_t + 4uu_x + u_{xxx} - 4u_x]_x + 3(u_{yy} + u_{zz}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

which describes a liquid with gas bubbles in the three-dimensional case.

However, the variable-coefficient NPDES provide us with more real phenomena in the inhomogeneities of media and non-uniformities of boundaries than corresponding constant-coefficient counterparts in some physical cases [12–15]. In this paper, a generalized (3 + 1)-dimensional variable-coefficient nonlinear-wave equation is investigated [16, 17]

$$\alpha(t)u_x^2 + \alpha(t)uu_{xx} + \beta(t)u_{xxxx} + \gamma(t)u_{xx} + \delta(t)u_{yy} + \varrho(t)u_{zz} + u_{xt} = 0,$$
(2)

where u = u(x, y, z, t) is the wave-amplitude function. The bilinear form, Bäcklund transformation, Lax pair, infinitely-many



<sup>\*</sup> Project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No 81960715) and Science and Technology Project of Education Department of Jiangxi Province(GJJ151079).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Authors to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

conservation laws, multi-soliton solutions, traveling-wave solutions and one-periodic wave solutions are presented by virtue of the binary Bell polynomials, the Hirota method, the polynomial expansion method and the Hirota-Riemann method [18]. However, lump and interaction solutions between the lump and solitary wave of equation (2) have not been obtained yet, which will make the main work of our paper.

This paper will be organized as follows: section 2 obtains the lump solutions of equation (2) with the aid of the Hirota's bilinear form [19–24] and demonstrates their physical structures by some 3D plots; section 3 presents the interaction solutions between lump and one solitary wave; section 4 derives the interaction solutions between lump and two solitary waves; and section 5 gives the conclusion. This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \xi[\beta(t)\xi_{xxxx} + \gamma(t)\xi_{xx} + \delta(t)\xi_{yy} + \varrho(t)\xi_{zz} + \xi_{xt}] \\ &+ 3\beta(t)\xi_{xx}^2 - 4\beta(t)\xi_x\xi_{xxx} - \gamma(t)\xi_x^2 \\ &- \delta(t)\xi_y^2 - \varrho(t)\xi_z^2 - \xi_t\xi_x = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(4)

In order to seek the lump solutions of equation (2), we suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= x\alpha_1 + y\alpha_2 + z\alpha_3 + \alpha_4(t), \\ \varsigma &= x\alpha_5 + y\alpha_6 + z\alpha_7 + \alpha_8(t), \\ \xi &= \zeta^2 + \zeta^2 + \alpha_9(t), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$ ,  $\alpha_5$ ,  $\alpha_6$  and  $\alpha_7$  are unknown constants.  $\alpha_4(t)$ ,  $\alpha_8(t)$  and  $\alpha_9(t)$  are undefined real functions. Substituting equation (5) into equation (4) through Mathematica software, we get

$$(I): \ \alpha_{8}(t) = \eta_{1} - \int \frac{(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2})[\alpha_{1}^{2}\gamma(t) + \alpha_{2}^{2}\delta(t) + \alpha_{3}^{2}\rho(t)] + \alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{4}'(t)}{\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{5}} dt, \\ \alpha_{9}(t) = \eta_{2} + \int \left[ 2[\alpha_{1}(\alpha_{1}\gamma(t) + \alpha_{4}'(t) + \alpha_{2}^{2}\delta(t) + \alpha_{3}^{2}\rho(t)][\alpha_{1}[\eta_{3} - \int \frac{(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2})[\alpha_{1}^{2}\gamma(t) + \alpha_{2}^{2}\delta(t) + \alpha_{3}^{2}\rho(t)] + \alpha_{1}^{3}\alpha_{4}'(t)}{\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{5}} dt \right] - \alpha_{5} * \alpha_{4}(t)]]/(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{5}) dt, \ \alpha(t) = \beta(t) = 0, \\ \alpha_{6} = \frac{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{1}}, \ \alpha_{7} = \frac{\alpha_{3}\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{1}}, \tag{6}$$

### 2. Lump solutions of equation (2)

Setting  $u = 12 [\ln \xi(x, y, z, t)]_{xx}$  and  $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$ , and using the multi-dimensional Bell polynomials, the bilinear form of equation (2) can be introduced as (see [18])

with  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_5 \neq 0$ . Substituting equations (5) and (6) into the transformation  $u = 12 [\ln \xi(x, y, z, t)]_{xx}$ , we have the following lump solution of equation (2)

$$[\mathbf{D}_x\mathbf{D}_t + \beta(t)\mathbf{D}_x^4 + \gamma(t)\mathbf{D}_x^2 + \delta(t)\mathbf{D}_y^2 + \varrho(t)\mathbf{D}_z^2]\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0. \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{split} u^{(l)} &= \left[ 12 \left[ 2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2) \left[ \eta_2 + \int [2[\alpha_1[\alpha_1\gamma(t) + \alpha_4'(t)] + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] [\alpha_1[\eta_1 - \int \frac{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2)[\alpha_1^2\gamma(t) + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] + \alpha_1^3\alpha_4'(t)}{\alpha_1^2\alpha_5} dt \right] - \alpha_5\alpha_4(t)] \right] / (\alpha_1\alpha_5) dt \\ &+ \left[ \eta_1 - \int \frac{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2)[\alpha_1^2\gamma(t) + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] + \alpha_1^3\alpha_4'(t)}{\alpha_1^2\alpha_5} dt + \frac{\alpha_5(\alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z)}{\alpha_1} \right]^2 + [\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z]^2 \right] - 4[\alpha_5[\eta_1 - \int \frac{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2)[\alpha_1^2\gamma(t) + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] + \alpha_1^3\alpha_4'(t)}{\alpha_1^2\alpha_5} dt + \alpha_5x} dt + \alpha_5x dt + \alpha_1(\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z) + \alpha_1^2x + \frac{\alpha_5^2(\alpha_2y + \alpha_3z)}{\alpha_1} dt \right]^2 \right] \Big] / [[\eta_2 + \int [2[\alpha_1(\alpha_1\gamma(t) + \alpha_4'(t) + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] ](\alpha_1[\eta_1 - \int \frac{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2)[\alpha_1^2\gamma(t) + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] + \alpha_1^3\alpha_4'(t)}{\alpha_1^2\alpha_5} dt \\ &- \alpha_5\alpha_4(t)] ] / (\alpha_1\alpha_5) dt + \left[ \eta_1 - \int \frac{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2)[\alpha_1^2\gamma(t) + \alpha_2^2\delta(t) + \alpha_3^2\varrho(t)] + \alpha_1^3\alpha_4'(t)}{\alpha_1^2\alpha_5} dt \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_5(\alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z)}{\alpha_1} \right]^2 + (\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z)^2 \Big]^2 \Big], \end{split}$$



Figure 1. Lump solution  $u^{(1)}$  with  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_3 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_5 = -3$ , x = -5,  $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = y = 0$ , when  $\gamma(t) = \delta(t) = \rho(t) = \rho(t) = 0$  $\cos t$ ,  $\alpha_4(t) = \sin t$  in (a),  $\gamma(t) = \delta(t) = \rho(t) = t$ ,  $\alpha_4(t) = \sin t$  in (b) and  $\gamma(t) = \cosh t$ ,  $\rho(t) = \exp t$  and  $\alpha_4(t) = \delta(t) = t$  in (c).

where  $\alpha_4(t)$  is arbitrary function,  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are integral constants.

The physical structures for  $(u^{(I)})$  are described in figure 1 by the 3D plots. Figure 1 shows the propagation of solution  $(u^{(l)})$  when  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\delta(t)$ ,  $\varrho(t)$  and  $\alpha_4(t)$  select different functions. When  $\gamma(t) = \delta(t) = \rho(t) = \cos t$  and  $\alpha_4(t) = \sin t$ , a periodic-shape rational solution is listed in figure 1(a). When  $\gamma(t) = \delta(t) = \rho(t) = t$  and  $\alpha_4(t) =$ sin t, a parabolic-shape rational solution is presented in figure 1(b). When  $\gamma(t) = \cosh t$ ,  $\rho(t) = \exp t$  and  $\alpha_4(t) =$  $\delta(t) = t$ , a cubic-shape rational solution is shown in figure 1(c).

$$(II): \alpha_{8}(t) = \eta_{3} + \int \alpha_{5} \left[ -\frac{3(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2})\beta(t)}{\alpha_{9}} - \frac{\alpha_{3}^{2}\varrho(t)}{\alpha_{1}^{2}} - \gamma(t) \right] dt,$$

$$\alpha_{9}(t) = \alpha_{9}, \alpha_{6} = -\frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{5}}, \alpha_{7} = \frac{\alpha_{3}\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{1}},$$

$$\delta(t) = -\frac{3\alpha_{5}^{2}(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2})\beta(t)}{\alpha_{2}^{2}\alpha_{9}},$$

$$\alpha_{4}(t) = \eta_{4} - \int \left[ \frac{\alpha_{1}[3(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2})\beta(t) + \alpha_{9}\gamma(t)]}{\alpha_{9}} + \frac{\alpha_{3}^{2}\varrho(t)}{\alpha_{1}} \right] dt,$$
(8)

with  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_5 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_9 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_2 \alpha_9 \neq 0$ . Substituting equations (5) and (8) into the transformation  $u = 12 [\ln \xi(x, y, z, t)]_{xx}$ , we have the following lump solution where  $\eta_3$  and  $\eta_4$  are integral constants.

of equation (2)

$$\begin{split} u^{(ll)} &= \left[ 12 \left[ 2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2) \left[ \alpha_9 + \left[ \int \alpha_5 \left[ -\frac{3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)\beta(t)}{\alpha_9} \right] \right] \right] \right] \\ &- \frac{\alpha_3^2 \rho(t)}{\alpha_1^2} - \gamma(t) \right] dt + \eta_3 + \alpha_5 x - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 y}{\alpha_5} + \frac{\alpha_3 \alpha_5 z}{\alpha_1} \right]^2 \\ &+ \left[ \int \left[ -\frac{\alpha_1 [3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)\beta(t) + \alpha_9 \gamma(t)]}{\alpha_9} \right] \right] \\ &- \frac{\alpha_3^2 \rho(t)}{\alpha_1} \right] dt + \eta_4 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z \right]^2 \right] \\ &- \left[ 4 \left[ \alpha_1^2 \left[ \int \left[ -\frac{\alpha_1 [3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)\beta(t) + \alpha_9 \gamma(t)]}{\alpha_9} \right] \right] \\ &- \frac{\alpha_3^2 \rho(t)}{\alpha_1} \right] dt \right] + \alpha_5 \alpha_1 [\eta_3 \\ &+ \int \alpha_5 \left[ -\frac{3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)\beta(t)}{\alpha_9} - \frac{\alpha_3^2 \rho(t)}{\alpha_1^2} - \gamma(t) \right] dt \\ &+ \alpha_5 x \right] + \alpha_1^3 x \\ &+ \alpha_1^2 (\eta_4 + \alpha_3 z) + \alpha_3 \alpha_5^2 z \right]^2 / (\alpha_1^2) ] \\ &- \int \left[ \left[ \alpha_9 + \left[ \eta_3 + \alpha_5 x - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 y}{\alpha_5} + \frac{\alpha_3 \alpha_5 z}{\alpha_1} \right] \right] dt \\ &+ [\eta_4 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y \\ &- \int \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 [3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)\beta(t) + \alpha_9 \gamma(t)]}{\alpha_9} + \frac{\alpha_3^2 \rho(t)}{\alpha_1} \right] dt \\ &+ \alpha_3 z \right]^2 \right]^2 ], \end{split}$$



Figure 2. Lump solution (9) with  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_3 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_5 = \alpha_9 = -3$ ,  $\eta_3 = \eta_4 = 0$ , z = -10, when t = -1 in (a), t = 0 in (b) and t = 1 in (c).



**Figure 3.** Lump solution (9) with  $\alpha_1 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_3 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_5 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_9 = -3$ ,  $\eta_3 = \eta_4 = z = 0$ , when x = -30 in (a), x = 0 in (b) and x = 30 in (c).



Figure 4. Lump solution (9) with  $\alpha_1 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_3 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_5 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_9 = -3$ ,  $\eta_3 = \eta_4 = z = 0$ , when x = -8 in (a), x = 0 in (b) and x = 8 in (c).

Then, the physical structures for  $(u^{(II)})$  are shown in figures 2–5 with some 3D plots. When  $\gamma(t) =$ -1,  $\varrho(t) = \beta(t) = 1$ , the spatial structure called the bright lump wave is seen in figure 2 at t = -1; 0; 1, the spatial structure called the bright-dark lump wave is shown in figure 3 at x = -30; 0; 30. When  $\gamma(t) = -t$ ,  $\rho(t) = \beta(t) = t$ , interaction behaviors of two bright-dark lump waves are presented in figure 4 at x = -8; 0; 8. As the value of x



Figure 5. Lump solution (9) with  $\alpha_1 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_3 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_5 = 3$ ,  $\alpha_9 = -3$ ,  $\eta_3 = \eta_4 = z = 0$ , when x = -3 in (a), x = 0 in (b) and x = 3 in (c).



**Figure 6.** Lump solution (11) with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_5 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7 = \eta_5 = 3$ ,  $\eta_6 = -2$ ,  $\alpha_9 = 2$ , z = 0, when x = -10 in (a), x = 0 in (b) and x = 10 in (c).

changes, the two bright-dark lump waves move towards each other, and finally merge together. When  $\gamma(t) = \rho(t) = \beta(t) = \cos t$ , a periodic-shape bright lump wave is found in figure 5 at x = -3; 0; 3.

with  $(\alpha_3\alpha_5 - \alpha_1\alpha_7)^2\alpha_9 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2 \neq 0$ ,  $\eta_5$  and  $\eta_6$  are integral constants. Substituting equations (5) and (10) into the transformation  $u = 12 [\ln \xi(x, y, z, t)]_{xx}$ , we derive another

$$(III): \ \varrho(t) = -\frac{3(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)^3 \beta(t) + (\alpha_2 \alpha_5 - \alpha_1 \alpha_6)^2 \alpha_9 \delta(t)}{(\alpha_3 \alpha_5 - \alpha_1 \alpha_7)^2 \alpha_9}, \ \alpha_9(t) = \alpha_9, \\ \alpha_4(t) = \eta_5 - \int [\alpha_1 [\alpha_5^2 \gamma(t) + (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_6^2) \delta(t) + (\alpha_3^2 - \alpha_7^2) \varrho(t)] \\ + \alpha_1^3 \gamma(t) + 2\alpha_5 [\alpha_2 \alpha_6 \delta(t) + \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \varrho(t)]] / (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2) dt, \\ \alpha_8(t) = \eta_6 - \int [\alpha_5 [\alpha_5^2 \gamma(t) + (\alpha_6^2 - \alpha_2^2) \delta(t) + (\alpha_7^2 - \alpha_3^2) \varrho(t)] \\ + \alpha_5 \alpha_1^2 \gamma(t) + 2\alpha_1 [\alpha_2 \alpha_6 \delta(t) + \alpha_3 \alpha_7 \varrho(t)]] / (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2) dt$$
(10)



**Figure 7.** Lump solution (11) with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_5 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_6 = -3$ ,  $\alpha_7 = 3$ ,  $\eta_6 = \eta_5 = z = 0$ ,  $\alpha_9 = 2$ , z = 0, when y = -3 in (a), y = 0 in (b) and y = 3 in (c).



**Figure 8.** Lump solution (11) with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_5 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_6 = \alpha_7 = \eta_5 = 3$ ,  $\eta_6 = -2$ ,  $\alpha_9 = 2$ , x = 0, when z = -10 in (a), z = 0 in (b) and z = 10 in (c).

### lump solution of equation (2)

$$u^{(III)} = [12[2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2)[\alpha_9 + [\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z]^2 + [\alpha_8(t) + \alpha_5x + \alpha_6y + \alpha_7z]^2] - [2\alpha_1[\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z] + 2\alpha_5[\alpha_8(t) + \alpha_5x + \alpha_6y + \alpha_7z]]^2]] / [[\alpha_9 + [\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1x + \alpha_2y + \alpha_3z]^2 + [\alpha_8(t) + \alpha_5x + \alpha_6y + \alpha_7z]^2]^2],$$
(11)

where  $\alpha_4(t)$ ,  $\alpha_4(t)$  and  $\rho(t)$  satisfy constraint (10).

The physical structures for  $(u^{(III)})$  are shown in figures 6– 8 with some 3d plots. When  $\gamma(t) = -1$ ,  $\delta(t) = \beta(t) = 1$ , the spatial structure called the bright lump wave is seen in figure 6 at x = -10; 0; 10. When  $\gamma(t) = -t$ ,  $\delta(t) = \beta(t) = t$ , interaction behaviors of two bright lump waves are presented in figure 7 at y = -3; 0; 3. As the value of y changes, the two bright lump waves move towards each other, and finally merge together. When  $\gamma(t) = \delta(t) = \beta(t) = \cos t$ , a periodic-shape bright lump wave is found in figure 8 at z = -10; 0; 10 and x = 0.

# 3. Interaction solutions between lump and one solitary wave

In order to find the interaction solutions between lump and one solitary wave, we add an exponential function in equation (5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= x\alpha_1 + y\alpha_2 + z\alpha_3 + \alpha_4(t), \\ \varsigma &= x\alpha_5 + y\alpha_6 + z\alpha_7 + \alpha_8(t), \\ \xi &= \zeta^2 + \zeta^2 + \alpha_9(t) + \alpha_{14}(t) \exp[\alpha_{13}(t) \\ &+ \alpha_{10}x + \alpha_{11}y + \alpha_{12}z], \end{aligned}$$
(12)

where  $\alpha_{10}$ ,  $\alpha_{11}$  and  $\alpha_{12}$  are unknown constants.  $\alpha_{13}(t)$  and  $\alpha_{14}(t)$  are unknown real functions. Substituting equation (12)



**Figure 9.** Interaction solution (14) with  $\alpha_1 = \eta_8 = \eta_9 = \eta_{10} = \beta(t) = 1$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \gamma(t) = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{10} = 2$ ,  $\alpha_5 = -3$ , z = 0, when t = -1 in (a), t = 0 in (b) and t = 1 in (c).

into equation (4) through Mathematica software, we have

$$\alpha_{6} = -\frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{5}}, \ \alpha_{7} = -\frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{5}},$$

$$\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{12} = 0, \ \alpha_{9}(t) = \frac{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2}}{\alpha_{10}^{2}},$$

$$\delta(t) = -\frac{3\alpha_{5}^{2}\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \alpha_{3}^{2}\varrho(t)}{\alpha_{2}^{2}},$$

$$\alpha_{8}(t) = \eta_{8} - \alpha_{5}\int [3\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \gamma(t)] dt,$$

$$\alpha_{4}(t) = \eta_{9} - \alpha_{1}\int [3\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \gamma(t)] dt,$$

$$\alpha_{13}(t) = \eta_{10} - \alpha_{10}\int [\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \gamma(t)] dt - \ln \alpha_{14}(t),$$
(13)

with  $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_5 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_{10} \neq 0$ . Substituting equations (5) and (13) into the transformation  $u = 12 [\ln \xi(x, y, z, t)]_{xx}$ , we get

where  $\eta_8$ ,  $\eta_9$  and  $\eta_{10}$  are integral constants. Interaction phenomena between lump and one solitary wave in equation (14) is shown in figures 9 and 10. Obviously, we can see a solitary wave and a lump wave in figure 9(a). In figure 9(b), the solitary and lump wave are slowly approaching at t = 0. In figure 9(c), the solitary and lump waves merge together to propagate forward at t = 1. Figure 10 displays the effect of variable coefficient  $\gamma(t)$  on the interaction phenomena between lump and one solitary wave.

# 4. Interaction solutions between lump and two solitary waves

In order to derive the interaction solutions between lump and two solitary waves, we add two exponential functions in

$$\begin{split} u^{(IV)} &= \left[ 12 \Big[ \Big[ 2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3^2) + \alpha_{10}^2 \exp \Big[ \eta_{10} + \alpha_{10} \Big[ x - \int [\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \right] \\ & * \left[ \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2}{\alpha_{10}^2} + \exp \Big[ \eta_{10} + \alpha_{10} \Big[ x - \int [\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \right] \\ &+ \frac{\Big[ \alpha_1(\alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z) - \alpha_5 \Big[ \eta_8 + \alpha_5 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \Big]^2}{\alpha_5^2} \\ &+ \Big[ \eta_9 + \alpha_1 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] + \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z \Big]^2 \Big] \\ &- \Big[ 2\alpha_1 \eta_9 + \alpha_{10} \exp \Big[ \eta_{10} + \alpha_{10} \Big[ x - \int [\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \\ &+ 2\alpha_5 \Big[ \eta_8 + \alpha_5 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \\ &+ 2\alpha_1^2 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big]^2 \Big] \Big] \Big/ \Big[ \Big[ \frac{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2}{\alpha_{10}^2} \\ &+ \exp \Big[ \eta_{10} + \alpha_{10} \Big[ x - \int [\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \\ &+ \Big[ \alpha_5 \Big[ \eta_8 + \alpha_5 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] + \big[ [\alpha_1(\alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z) \\ &- \alpha_5 \Big[ \eta_8 + \alpha_5 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] \Big] \Big]^2 \Big] \Big/ \alpha_5^2 \\ &+ \Big[ \eta_9 + \alpha_1 \Big[ x - \int [3\alpha_{10}^2 \beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, dt \Big] + \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z \Big]^2 \Big]^2 \Big], \end{split}$$
(14)



**Figure 10.** Interaction solution (14) with  $\alpha_1 = \eta_8 = \eta_9 = \eta_{10} = \alpha_{10} = \beta(t) = 1$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \alpha_5 = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2$ , y = z = 0, when  $\gamma(t) = 1$  in (a),  $\gamma(t) = t$  in (b) and  $\gamma(t) = \cos t$  in (c).

equation (5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= x\alpha_1 + y\alpha_2 + z\alpha_3 + \alpha_4(t), \\ \varsigma &= x\alpha_5 + y\alpha_6 + z\alpha_7 + \alpha_8(t), \\ \xi &= \zeta^2 + \zeta^2 + \alpha_9(t) + \alpha_{14}(t) \exp[\alpha_{13}(t) \\ &+ \alpha_{10}x + \alpha_{11}y + \alpha_{12}z] \\ &+ \alpha_{15}(t) \exp[-\alpha_{13}(t) - \alpha_{10}x - \alpha_{11}y - \alpha_{12}z], \end{aligned}$$
(15)

where  $\alpha_{15}(t)$  are unknown real functions. Substituting equation (15) into equation (4) through Mathematica software, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{6} &= -\frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{5}}, \, \alpha_{7} = -\frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{5}}, \\ \alpha_{9}(t) &= \frac{\alpha_{10}^{4}\eta_{12} + \alpha_{1}^{4} + 2\alpha_{5}^{2}\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{4}}{(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{5}^{2})\alpha_{10}^{2}}, \\ \varrho(t) &= \frac{-\alpha_{2}^{2}\delta(t) - 3\alpha_{5}^{2}\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t)}{\alpha_{3}^{2}}, \\ \alpha_{8}(t) &= \eta_{13} - \alpha_{5}\int [3\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t, \\ \alpha_{4}(t) &= \eta_{14} - \alpha_{1}\int [3\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t, \, \alpha_{11} = \alpha_{12} = 0, \\ \alpha_{13}(t) &= \eta_{15} - \alpha_{10}\int [\alpha_{10}^{2}\beta(t) + \gamma(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &- \ln \alpha_{14}(t), \, \alpha_{15}(t) = \frac{\eta_{12}}{\alpha_{14}(t)}, \end{aligned}$$
(16)

with  $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_5 \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_{14}(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_5^2 \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_{10} \neq 0$ . Substituting equations (5) and (16) into the transformation  $u = 12 [\ln \xi(x, y, z, t)]_{xx}$ , we get

$$u^{(V)} = 12[[2\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_5^2 + \alpha_{10}^2\alpha_{14}(t)\exp[\alpha_{13}(t) + \alpha_{10}x] \\ + \alpha_{10}^2\alpha_{15}(t)\exp[-\alpha_{10}x \\ - \alpha_{13}(t)]] / [\alpha_9(t) + \alpha_{14}(t)\exp[\alpha_{13}(t) + \alpha_{10}x] \\ + \alpha_{15}(t)\exp[-\alpha_{10}x$$

$$- \alpha_{13}(t)] + [\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z]^2 + (\alpha_8(t) + \alpha_5 x + \alpha_6 y + \alpha_7 z)^2] - [[\alpha_{10}\alpha_{14}(t)\exp[\alpha_{13}(t) + \alpha_{10}x] - \alpha_{10}\alpha_{15}(t)\exp[-\alpha_{10}x - \alpha_{13}(t)] + 2\alpha_1 * [\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z] + 2\alpha_5(\alpha_8(t) + \alpha_5 x + \alpha_6 y + \alpha_7 z)]^2] / [[\alpha_9(t) + \alpha_{14}(t)\exp[\alpha_{13}(t) + \alpha_{10}x] + \alpha_{15}(t)\exp[-\alpha_{10}x - \alpha_{13}(t)] + [\alpha_4(t) + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 z]^2 + [\alpha_8(t) + \alpha_5 x + \alpha_6 y + \alpha_7 z]^2]^2]], (17)$$

where  $\eta_{12}$ ,  $\eta_{13}$ ,  $\eta_{14}$  and  $\eta_{15}$  are integral constants. Interaction phenomena between lump and two solitary waves in equation (16) is shown in figure 11. Two solitary waves can be found in figure 11(a). A lump wave appears in one of two solitary waves in figure 11(b). In figures 11(c) and 11(d), the lump wave slowly shifts to another solitary wave, until it vanishes in figure 11(e).

### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, based on the Hirota's bilinear form and Mathematica software [25–35], the lump and interaction solutions between lump and solitary wave of a generalized (3 + 1)dimensional variable-coefficient nonlinear-wave equation in liquid with gas bubbles are studied. Their physical structures are described in some 3D plots. A periodic-shape rational solution is listed in figure 1(a). A parabolic-shape rational solution is presented in figure 1(b). A cubic-shape rational solution is shown in figure 1(c). In lump solutions  $(u^{(II)})$ , the spatial structure called the bright lump wave is seen in figure 2; the spatial structure called the bright-dark lump wave is shown in figure 3. Interaction behaviors of two bright-dark lump waves are presented in figure 4. A periodic-shape bright lump wave is found in figure 5. In lump solutions  $(u^{(III)})$ , the spatial structure called the bright lump wave is seen in figure 6. Interaction behaviors of two bright lump waves are presented in figure 7. A periodic-shape bright lump wave is found in figure 8. Figures 9 and 10 display the



Figure 11. Interaction solution (16) with  $\alpha_3 = \gamma(t) = -1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{10} = 2$ ,  $\alpha_5 = -3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \eta_{12} = \eta_{13} = \eta_{14} = \eta_{15} = \beta(t) = 1$ , z = 0, when t = -1 in (a), t = -0.3 in (b), t = 0 in (c), t = 0.3 in (d), t = 1 in (e).

interaction phenomena between lump and one solitary wave. Figure 11 shows the interaction phenomena between lump and two solitary waves.

### References

- [1] Deng G F and Gao Y T 2017 Eur. Phys. J. Plus. 132 255
- [2] Osman M S 2017 Nonlinear Dyn. 87 1209
- [3] He J S, Wang L H, Li L J, Porsezian K and Erdlyi R 2014 *Phys. Rev. E* 89 062917
- [4] Tan W and Dai Z D 2017 Nonlinear Dyn. 89 2723
- [5] Osman M S, Machado J A T and Baleanu D 2018 Opt. Quant. Electron. 50 1
- [6] Yang J Y, Ma W X and Qin Z 2017 Anal. Math. Phys. 8 427
- [7] Li Y Z and Liu J G 2018 *Pramana* 90 71
- [8] Alquran M, Jaradat H M and Syam M I 2017 Nonlinear Dyn. 90 2525
- [9] Hu C C, Tian B, Wu X Y, Yuan Y Q and Du Z 2018 Eur. Phys. J. Plus. 133 40
- [10] Sun H Q and Chen A H 2016 Appl. Math. Lett. 68 55
- [11] Kudryashov N A and Sinelshchikov D I 2012 Phys. Scr. 85 025402
- [12] Lan Z Z, Hu W Q and Guo B L 2019 Appl. Math. Model.73 695
- [13] He J S and Li Y S 2011 Stud. Appl. Lett. 126 1-15
- [14] Lan Z Z 2019 Appl. Math. Lett. 94 126

- [15] He J S, Charalampidis E G, Kevrekidis P G and Frantzeskakis D J 2014 Phys. Lett. A 378 577
- [16] Tu J M, Tian S F, Xu M J, Song X Q and Zhang T T 2016 Nonlinear Dyn. 83 1199
- [17] Huang W H 2006 Chaos Soliton. Fract. 29 365
- [18] Rao J G, Mihalache D, Cheng Y and He J S 2019 Phys. Lett. A 383 1138
- [19] Zhou Q, Zhu Q, Liu Y, Yao P, Bhrawy A H, Moraru L and Biswas A 2014 Optoelectron Adv. Mat. 8 837
- [20] Ma W X and Zhou Y 2018 J. Diff Equ. 264 2633
- [21] Gao X Y 2017 Appl. Math. Lett. 73 143
- [22] Gao X Y 2019 Appl. Math. Lett. 91 165
- [23] Liu W H, Zhang Y F and Shi D D 2019 *Phys. Lett.* A 383 97
- [24] Qawasmeh A and Alquran M 2014 Appl. Math. Sci. 8 5985
- [25] Biswas A, Sonmezoglu A, Ekici M, Mirzazadeh M, Zhou Q, Alshomrani A S, Moshokoa S P and Belic M 2018 Optik 161 301
- [26] Huang L L and Chen Y 2017 Commun. Theor. Phys. 67 473
- [27] Xie X Y and Meng G Q 2018 Nonlinear Dyn. 93 779
- [28] Lan Z Z and Su J J 2019 Nonlinear Dyn. 96 2535
- [29] Chen R P and Dai C Q 2017 Nonlinear Dyn. 90 1563
- [30] Chen M D, Li X, Wang Y and Li B 2017 Commun. Theor. Phys. 67 595
- [31] Huang Q M and Gao Y T 2017 Nonlinear Dyn. 89 2855
- [32] Ma W X 2018 Comput. Appl. Math. 37 6359
- [33] Ma W X 2018 J. Geom. Phys. 133 10
- [34] Li Y Z and Liu J G 2018 Nonlinear Dyn. 91 497
- [35] Lan Z Z 2019 Appl. Math. Lett. 98 128