Solution-processed Culn(S,Se)₂ solar cells on transparent electrode offering 9.4% efficiency

Xinge Liu^{1, ‡}, Chengfeng Ma^{1, ‡}, Hao Xin^{1, †}, and Liming Ding^{2, †}

¹State Key Laboratory for Organic Electronics and Information Displays, College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China

²Center for Excellence in Nanoscience (CAS), Key Laboratory of Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication (CAS), National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China

Citation: X G Liu, C F Ma, H Xin, and L M Ding, Solution-processed Culn(S,Se)₂ solar cells on transparent electrode offering 9.4% efficiency[J]. *J. Semicond.*, 2023, 44(8), 080501. https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4926/44/8/080501

Chalcopyrite, copper indium gallium selenide (Cu(In,Ga)Se₂, CIGS), as semiconductor materials, have been widely used as absorbers in thin-film solar cells, offering high power conversion efficiency (PCE) and good thermal stability^[1-3]. Recently, the development of non-traditional photovoltaic (PV) devices such as semitransparent, bifacial, tandem and flexible solar cells, has expanded the application scenarios of thin-film solar cells. For example, semitransparent chalcopyrite solar cells can be applied to building exteriors such as windows and skylights, which can convert a portion of sunlight into electricity while transmit the remaining into the building. Traditional chalcopyrite thin-film solar cells are fabricated on opaque Mo back contact, either by vacuum^[2] or solution^[3] methods, which completely blocks sunlight. To allow light transmission, replacing traditional Mo back contact with transparent electrodes, such as indium tin oxide (ITO), aluminum-doped zinc oxide (AZO), and fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO), is necessary. Moreover, the vacuum methods, such as co-evaporation^[4] and sputtering^[5], generally require expensive equipment and high-temperature deposition that lead to high energy input, large capital investment, and operational costs. Solution processing such as spin-coating^[6], blade-coating^[7], spray pyrolysis^[8] and inkjet-printing^[9] is more suitable for fabricating chalcopyrite solar cells on transparent electrodes because of the simple preparation, low cost and substrate shape friendly. However, the so-far reported solution-processed chalcopyrite solar cells exhibit low efficiency^[10–12] on transparent substrate (8.0%)^[12]. In this work, we report the fabrication of Culn(S,Se)₂ (CISSe) solar cells on FTO substrate from DMF solution. The CISSe film was directly deposited on commercial FTO substrates by simple spin-coating followed by recrystallization at the atmosphere of selenium gas (selenization)^[13]. The solar cells with a structure of FTO/CISSe/CdS/i-ZnO/ITO/Ni/Al offered an 9.40% PCE without anti-reflective coating, which is the highest efficiency for solution-processed CISSe thin-film solar cells on transparent electrodes.

Fig. 1(a) illustrates the device structure of CISSe solar

Xinge Liu and Chengfeng Ma contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-first authors.

Correspondence to: H Xin, iamhxin@njupt.edu.cn; L M Ding, ding@nanoctr.cn Received 25 MAY 2023.

©2023 Chinese Institute of Electronics

cells on FTO. The device has a structure of FTO/CISSe/CdS/i-ZnO/ITO/Ni/Al. The CISSe film was made on FTO substrate by using DMF precursor solution (details were given in SI). Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) show the current density-voltage (J-V) curve and the external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectrum of the best CISSe solar cell on FTO. An 9.40% PCE with an open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 0.419 V, a short-circuit current density (J_{sc}) of 37.22 mA·cm⁻², and a fill factor (FF) of 60.3%, has been achieved without an anti-reflective coating. This is the highest efficiency for solution-processed chalcopyrite solar cells on transparent substrates. The device has a good EQE response over 70% at 500-1000 nm with a peak value close to 90%. The integrated J_{sc} from EQE spectrum is 35.48 mA·cm⁻², in good agreement with the J_{sc} from J-V characterization. The bandgap of CISSe absorber obtained by extending the linear portion of (In(1-EQE))² versus energy plot is 1.03 eV, very close to the E_{α} for pure CulnSe₂ (1.04 eV), demonstrating a sufficient transformation from copper indium sulfide (CIS) to CISe during selenization. The Urbach energy (E_{II}) of the CISSe absorber estimated from the sub-bandgap region by plotting ln(-ln(1-EQE)) versus energy is 20.17 meV, revealing small band tailing (Fig. S1).

Figs. 1(d) and 1(e) show the top-view and cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images for the CISSe absorber on FTO substrate. From Fig. 1(d), it can be seen that the CISSe is composed of compact grains with a dense and flat surface, which is conducive for deposition of buffer layer and formation of high-quality heterojunction. Fig. 1(e) shows that the film has excellent adherence to FTO substrate. It is worth noting that for kesterite copper zinc tin sulfoselenide Cu₂ZnSn(S,Se)₄ (CZTSSe) solar cells on FTO substrate, a MoO₃ interfacial layer between absorber and FTO is needed to faciliate grain growth and improve device performance^[14]. For CISSe solar cells here, a MoO₃ interfacial layer significantly reduces device performance (Fig. S2), indicating different grain growth mechanism. The excellent adherence and grain growth of CISSe on bare FTO substrate indicates that an interfacial layer between CISSe and back contact is not necessary, which is an advantage for transparent CISSe solar cells.

Fig. 1(f) shows the XRD patterns for CISSe absorber on FTO substrate. Except for the diffraction peaks of FTO, other diffraction peaks can be assigned to chalcopyrite CuInSe₂ phase (JCPDS#40-1487) with a preferred orientation along the (112) plane. The crystalline size of CISSe calculated from the (112) diffraction *via* Scherrer equation is 65 nm. The large



Fig. 1. (Color online) (a) The structure for CISSe solar cells. The *J-V* curve (b) and the EQE spectrum (c) for the best CISSe solar cell on FTO. Inset: extraction of the bandgap (E_g) from the EQE spectrum. The top-view (d) and cross-sectional (e) SEM images for CISSe film on FTO. (f) The XRD patterns for CISSe film on FTO.

crystalline size confirms the high quality of CISSe film, which is consistent with the SEM results. The high-quality absorber film benefits from the direct phase transformation in grain growth^[15], which can greatly reduce grain boundaries and charge recombination. The conductivity of FTO (Table S1) showed small change after selenization, indicating a high tolerance of FTO to the selenization temperature.

Compared with devices on Mo substrate, the efficiency for CISSe solar cells on transparent FTO substrate is relatively low. There is still much room for improving the performance of these FTO-based chalcopyrite devices, e.g., introducing alkali metal ions to facilitate grain growth, and using Ga gradient to enhance V_{oc} ^[16,17]. These strategies will be conducted in our future work to further improve the device performance.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22075150) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019YFE0118100). L. Ding thanks the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFB3803300), the open research fund of Songshan Lake Materials Laboratory (2021SLABFK02), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21961160720).

Appendix A. Supplementary materials

Supplementary materials to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/1674-4926/44/8/080501.

Journal of Semiconductors doi: 10.1088/1674-4926/44/8/080501 3

References

- Suresh S, Uhl A R. Present status of solution-processing routes for Cu(In,Ga)(S,Se)₂ solar cell absorbers. Adv Energy Mater, 2021, 11(14), 2003743
- [2] Nakamura M, Yamaguchi K, Kimoto Y, et al. Cd-free Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)₂ thin-film solar cell with record efficiency of 23.35%. IEEE J Photovolt, 2019, 9(6), 1863
- [3] Ramanujam J, Singh U P. Copper indium gallium selenide based solar cells–a review. Energy Environ Sci, 2017, 10, 1306
- [4] Repins I, Contreras M A, Egaas B, et al. 19.9%-efficient ZnO/CdS/CulnGaSe₂ solar cell with 81.2% fill factor. Prog Photovolt Res Appl, 2008, 16, 235
- [5] Chirilă A, Reinhard P, Pianezzi F, et al. Potassium-induced surface modification of Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ thin films for high-efficiency solar cells. Nat Mater, 2013, 12, 1107
- [6] Jiang J J, Giridharagopal R, Jedlicka E, et al. Highly efficient copper-rich chalcopyrite solar cells from DMF molecular solution. Nano Energy, 2020, 69, 104438
- [7] Ma C F, Xiang C X, Liu X G, et al. Over 12% efficient Culn(S,Se)₂ solar cell with the absorber fabricated from dimethylformamide solution by doctor-blading in ambient air. Sol RRL, 2022, 6(6), 2200150
- [8] Arnou P, van Hest M F A M, Cooper C S, et al. Hydrazine-free solution-deposited Culn(S,Se)₂ solar cells by spray deposition of metal chalcogenides. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, 2016, 8(19), 11893
- [9] Lin X Z, Klenk R, Wang L, et al. 11.3% efficiency Cu(In,Ga)(S,Se)₂ thin film solar cells *via* drop-on-demand inkjet printing. Energy Environ Sci, 2016, 9, 2037
- [10] Moon S H, Park S J, Hwang Y J, et al. Printable, wide band-gap chalcopyrite thin films for power generating window applications. Sci Rep, 2014, 4, 4408
- [11] Ben Chu V, Park S J, Park G S, et al. Semi-transparent thin film solar cells by a solution process. Korean J Chem Eng, 2016, 33(3), 880
- [12] Gao Y, Yin G C, Li Y, et al. 8.0% efficient submicron Culn(S,Se)₂ solar cells on Sn: In_2O_3 back contact via a facile solution process. ACS Appl Energy Mater, 2022, 5(10), 12252
- [13] Jiang J J, Yu S T, Gong Y C, et al. 10.3% efficient Culn(S,Se)₂ solar cells from DMF molecular solution with the absorber selenized under high argon pressure. Sol RRL, 2018, 2, 1800044
- [14] Zhou Y G, Xiang C X, Dai Q, et al. 11.4% efficiency kesterite solar cells on transparent electrode. Adv Energy Mater, 2023, 13, 2370079
- [15] Yu S T, Li B Y, Jiang J J, et al. Solution-processed chalcopyrite solar cells: The grain growth mechanism and the effects of Cu/In mole ratio. Adv Energy Mater, 2022, 12, 2103644
- [16] Wang Y Z, Lv S S, Li Z C. Review on incorporation of alkali elements and their effects in Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ solar cells. J Mater Sci Technol, 2022, 96(10), 179
- [17] Witte W, Abou-Ras D, Albe K, et al. Gallium gradients in Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ thin-film solar cells. Prog Photovolt Res Appl, 2015, 23(6), 717



Xinge Liu is a MS student supervised by Prof. Hao Xin at School of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications. She received her BS degree in Chemistry from Shandong University of Technology. Her research focuses on chalcopyrite solar cells.



Chengfeng Ma is a PhD student in School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications, under the supervision of Prof. Hao Xin. He received his MS degree in Chemical Engineering from Hefei University of Technology in 2019. His research focuses on chalcopyrite solar cells.



Hao Xin is a professor in School of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Nanjing University of Posts & Telecommunications. She received her PhD from Peking University in 2003. She was a JST-CREST researcher at NIMS and a JSPS fellow at JAIST from 2003 to 2006. Then she worked in Department of Chemical Engineering at the University of Washington until 2012. Her current research focuses on solution-processed solar cells (CZTS, CIGS and perovskite).



Liming Ding got his PhD from University of Science and Technology of China (was a joint student at Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, CAS). He started his research on OSCs and PLEDs in Olle Inganäs Lab in 1998. Later on, he worked at National Center for Polymer Research, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base and Argonne National Lab (USA). He joined Konarka as a Senior Scientist in 2008. In 2010, he joined National Center for Nanoscience and Technology as a full professor. His research focuses on innovative materials and devices. He is RSC Fellow, and the Associate Editor for Journal of Semiconductors.