

# OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF SKIN, SUBCUTANEOUS, AND MUSCLE TISSUES: A REVIEW

ALEXEY N. BASHKATOV<sup>\*,‡</sup>, ELINA A. GENINA<sup>\*</sup> and VALERY V. TUCHIN<sup>\*,†</sup> \*Institute of Optics and Biophotonics

Saratov State University, Saratov 410012, Russia

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Precise Mechanics and Control of RAS, Saratov 410028, Russia <sup>‡</sup>a.n.bashkatov@mail.ru

The development of optical methods in modern medicine in the areas of diagnostics, therapy, and surgery has stimulated the investigation of optical properties of various biological tissues, since the efficacy of laser treatment depends on the photon propagation and fluence rate distribution within irradiated tissues. In this work, an overview of published absorption and scattering properties of skin and subcutaneous tissues measured in wide wavelength range is presented. Basic principles of measurements of the tissue optical properties and techniques used for processing of the measured data are outlined.

*Keywords*: Absorption coefficient; scattering coefficient; anisotropy factor; reduced scattering coefficient; refractive index.

# 1. Introduction

Recent technological advancements in the photonics industry have spurred real progress toward the development of clinical functional imaging, surgical, and therapeutic systems. The development of the optical methods for medicine in the areas of diagnostics, surgery, and therapy has stimulated the investigation of optical properties of human tissues, since the efficacy of optical probing of the tissues depends on the photon propagation and fluence rate distribution within irradiated tissues. Monitoring of blood oxygenation and tissue metabolism, detection of skin malignancies, and skin optical imaging are examples of light diagnostic applications in dermatology. Therapeutic optical technologies mostly include photothermal and photodynamic therapy.

For these applications, knowledge of optical properties of skin and subcutaneous tissues is of great importance for interpretation and quantification of the diagnostic data, and for prediction of light distribution and absorbed energy for therapeutic and surgical use. In this review, we are presenting an overview of optical properties of skin and subcutaneous (including muscle) tissues measured in a wide range of wavelengths.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author.

# 2. Basic Principles of Measurements of Tissue Optical Properties

Methods for determining the optical parameters of tissues can be divided into two large groups, direct and indirect methods.<sup>1-12</sup> Direct methods include those based on some fundamental concepts and rules such as the Bouguer–Beer–Lambert law, the single-scattering phase function for thin samples, or the effective light penetration depth for slabs. The parameters measured are the collimated light transmission  $T_c$  and the angular dependence of the scattered light intensity,  $I(\theta)$  (W/cm<sup>2</sup> sr) for thin samples or the fluence rate distribution inside a slab. The normalized scattering angular dependence is equal to the scattering phase function  $I(\theta)/I(0) \equiv$  $p(\theta)$ , 1/sr. These methods are advantageous in that they use very simple analytic expressions for data processing and reconstruction of optical parameters of tissue:  $\mu_a, \mu_s$ , and g, where  $\mu_a$  is the absorption coefficient,  $\mu_s$  is the scattering coefficient, and g is the anisotropy factor of scattering. Their disadvantages are related to the necessity to strictly fulfill experimental conditions dictated by the selected model (single scattering in thin samples, exclusion of the effects of light polarization and refraction at cuvette edges, etc.; in the case of slabs with multiple scattering, the recording detector (usually a fiber light guide with an isotropically scattering ball at the tip end) must be placed far from both the light source and the medium boundaries).

Indirect methods obtain the solution of the inverse scattering problem using a theoretical model of light propagation in a medium. They are, in turn, divided into iterative and non-iterative models. The former uses equations in which the optical properties are defined through parameters directly related to the quantities being evaluated. The latter are based on the two-flux Kubelka–Munk model and multi-flux models. In indirect iterative methods, the optical properties are implicitly defined through measured parameters. Quantities determining the optical properties of a scattering medium are enumerated until the estimated and measured values for reflectance and transmittance coincide with the desired accuracy. These methods are cumbersome, but the optical models currently in use may be even more complicated than those underlying non-iterative methods (examples include the diffusion theory, inverse adding-doubling (IAD), and inverse Monte Carlo (IMC) methods).

The optical properties of a tissue could be measured by different methods. The single- or double-integrating sphere method combined with collimated transmittance measurements is most often used for *in vitro* studies of tissues. This approach implies either sequential or simultaneous determination of three parameters: collimated transmittance  $T_c = I_c/I_0$  ( $I_c$  is the intensity of transmitted light measured using a distant photodetector with a small aperture,  $W/cm^2$ , and  $I_0$  is the intensity of incident radiation,  $W/cm^2$ ), total transmittance  $T_t = T_c + T_d$  ( $T_d$  being diffuse transmittance), and diffuse reflectance  $R_d$ . The optical parameters of the tissue are deduced from these measurements using different theoretical expressions or numerical methods (two-flux and multi-flux models, the IMC or IAD methods) relating  $\mu_a, \mu_s$ , and q to the parameters being investigated.

Any three measurements from the following five are sufficient for the evaluation of all three optical parameters<sup>2</sup>:

- Total (or diffuse) transmittance for collimated or diffuse radiation;
- Total (or diffuse) reflectance for collimated or diffuse radiation;
- Absorption by a sample placed inside an integrating sphere;
- Collimated transmittance (of unscattered light); and
- Angular distribution of radiation scattered by the sample.

Iterative methods normally take into account discrepancies between refractive indices at sample boundaries as well as the multilayer nature of the sample. The following factors are responsible for the errors in the estimated values of optical coefficients and need to be borne in mind in a comparative analysis of optical parameters obtained from different experiments<sup>2,13-18</sup>:

- The physiological conditions of tissues (the degree of hydration, homogeneity, species-specific variability, frozen/thawed or fixed/unfixed state, *in vitro/in vivo* measurements, smooth/rough surface);
- The geometry of irradiation;
- The matching/mismatching interface refractive indices;

- The separation of radiation experiencing forward scattering from unscattered radiation; and
- The theory used to solve the inverse problem.

To analyze light propagation under multiple scattering conditions, it is assumed that absorbing and scattering centers are uniformly distributed across the tissue. Ultraviolet-A (UV-A), visible, or near-infrared (NIR) radiation is normally subjected to anisotropic scattering characterized by a clearly apparent direction of photons undergoing single scattering, which may be due to the presence of large cellular organelles (mitochondria, lysosomes, Golgi apparatus, etc.).<sup>1-4,7-12,19</sup>

When the scattering medium is illuminated by unpolarized light and/or only the intensity of multiple scattered light needs to be computed, a sufficiently strict mathematical description of continuous wave (CW) light propagation in a medium is possible in the framework of the scalar stationary radiation transfer theory (RTT).<sup>1-4,7-12,19,20</sup> This theory is valid for an ensemble of scatterers located far from one another and has been successfully used to work out some practical aspects of tissue optics. The main stationary equation of RTT for monochromatic light has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I(\vec{r},\vec{s})}{\partial s} &= -\mu_t I(\vec{r},\vec{s}) \\ &+ \frac{\mu_s}{4\pi} \int_{4\pi} I(\vec{r},\vec{s}) p(\vec{s},\vec{s}') d\Omega' \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $I(\vec{r}, \vec{s})$  is the radiance (or specific intensity)average power flux density at point  $\vec{r}$  in the given direction  $\vec{s}$ , W/cm<sup>2</sup> sr;  $p(\vec{s}, \vec{s}')$  is the scattering phase function, 1/sr;  $d\Omega'$  is the unit solid angle about the direction  $\vec{s}'$ , sr, and  $\mu_t = \mu_a + \mu_s$  is the total attenuation coefficient. It is assumed that there are no radiation sources inside the medium.

The scalar approximation of the radiative transfer equation (RTE) gives poor accuracy when the size of the scattering particles is much smaller than the wavelength, but provides acceptable results for particles comparable to and larger than the wavelength.<sup>21,22</sup>

The phase function  $p(\vec{s}, \vec{s}')$  describes the scattering properties of the medium and is, in fact, the probability density function for scattering in the direction  $\vec{s}'$  of a photon traveling in the direction  $\vec{s}$ ; in other words, it characterizes an elementary scattering act. If scattering is symmetric relative to the direction of the incident wave, then the phase function depends only on the scattering angle  $\theta$ (angle between directions  $\vec{s}$  and  $\vec{s}'$ ), i.e.,  $p(\vec{s}, \vec{s}') =$  $p(\theta)$ . The assumption of random distribution of scatterers in a medium (i.e., the absence of spatial correlation in the tissue structure) leads to normalization:  $\int_0^{\pi} p(\theta) 2\pi \sin \theta d\theta = 1$ . In practice, the phase function is usually well approximated with the aid of the postulated Henyey–Greenstein function (HGF)<sup>1-4,7-11,15,16,23-62</sup>:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1 - g^2}{(1 + g^2 - 2g\cos\theta)^{3/2}}$$
(2)

where g is the scattering anisotropy parameter (mean cosine of the scattering angle  $\theta$ )

$$g \equiv \langle \cos \theta \rangle = \int_0^{\pi} p(\theta) \cos \theta \cdot 2\pi \sin \theta d\theta.$$

The value of g varies in the range from -1 to 1; g = 0 corresponds to isotropic (Rayleigh) scattering, g = 1 to total forward scattering (Mie scattering at large particles), and g = -1 to total backward scattering.<sup>1-4,7-11,20,21</sup>

## 3. Integrating Sphere Technique

One of the indirect methods to determine optical properties of tissues in vitro is the integrating sphere technique.<sup>2,11,13-18,27-40,42-50,53-58,60-83</sup> Diffuse reflectance  $R_d$ , total  $T_t$ , and/or diffuse transmittance  $T_d$ , and collimated transmittance  $T_c$  are measured. In general, the absorption and scattering coefficients and anisotropy factor can be obtained from these data using an inverse method based on the radiative transfer theory. When the scattering phase function  $p(\theta)$  is available from goniophotometry, g can be readily calculated. In this case, for the determination of  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_s$  it is sufficient to measure  $R_d$  and  $T_t$  only. Sometimes in experiments with tissue and blood samples, a double-integrating sphere configuration is preferable, since in this case both reflectance and transmittance can be measured simultaneously and less degradation of the sample is expected during measurements. Nevertheless, in the case of a double-integrating sphere arrangement of the experiment in addition to the single-integrating sphere corrections of measured signals, multiple exchange of light between the spheres should be accounted for.<sup>29,37,74</sup>

#### 12 A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina & V. V. Tuchin

Some tissues (e.g., melanin-containing) and blood have high total attenuation coefficients in the visible and NIR spectral range. Therefore, the collimated transmittance measurement for such samples (e.g., the undiluted blood layer with a moderate thickness  $\approx 0.1 \text{ mm}$  is a technically difficult task.<sup>56</sup> To solve this problem, a powerful light source combined with a sensitive detector must be used.<sup>75</sup> Alternatively, it is possible to collect the collimated light together with some forward-scattered light using the third integrating sphere.<sup>76</sup> In this case, the collimated transmittance is separated from the scattered flux on the stage of the data processing in use, e.g., a MC technique<sup>60</sup> or a small angle approximation.<sup>79</sup> Another approach was exactly the same as was used in Refs. 43, 67, 69, 70. In these studies, the diffuse reflectance, total and diffuse transmittance have been measured, and IMC algorithm, taking into account geometry of the measurement, has been used for treatment of the experimental data.

# 4. Kubelka–Munk and Multi-Flux Approach

To separate the light beam attenuation due to absorption from the loss due to scattering the onedimensional (1D), two-flux Kubelka–Munk (KM) model can be used as the simplest approach to solve the problem. This approach has been widely used to determine the absorption and scattering coefficients of biological tissues, provided the scattering is significantly dominant over the absorption.  $^{2,11,20,24,63,64,73,85-87}$  The KM model assumes that light incident on a slab of tissue because of interaction with the scattering media can be modeled by two fluxes, counterpropagating in the tissue slab. The optical flux, which propagates in the same direction as the incident flux, is decreased by absorption and scattering processes and is also increased by backscattering of the counterpropagating flux in the same direction. Changes in counterpropagating flux are determined in an analogous manner. The fraction of each flux lost by absorption per unit path length is denoted as K, while the fraction lost due to scattering is called S. The main assumptions of the KM model include: K and Sparameters are assumed to be uniform throughout the tissue slab; all light fluxes are diffuse; and the amount of light lost from the edges of the sample during reflectance measurements is negligible. Basic KM model does not account for reflections at boundaries at which index of refraction mismatches exist.

Following the KM model and diffusion approximation of the RTE, the KM parameters were expressed in terms of light transport theory: the absorption and scattering coefficients and scattering anisotropy factor.<sup>2,86</sup> Thus, when scattering significantly prevails during absorption, a simple experimental method using modified KM model expressions can be successfully employed as

$$S = \frac{1}{bd} = \ln\left[\frac{1 - R_d(a - b)}{T_t}\right];$$
  

$$K = S(a - 1); \quad a = \frac{1 - T_t^2 + R_d^2}{2R_d}; \quad b = \sqrt{a^2 - 1};$$
  

$$K = 2\mu_a; \quad S = \frac{3}{4}\mu_s(1 - g) - \frac{1}{4}\mu_a;$$
  

$$\mu_t = \mu_a + \mu_s; \quad \mu'_s = \mu_s(1 - g) > \mu_a,$$
  
(3)

where  $\mu_t$  is determined based on Bouguer-Beer-Lambert law  $(T_c = \exp(-\mu_t l))$  from measured values of collimated transmittance  $T_c$ , where l is tissue sample thickness. Thus, all three parameters  $(\mu_a, \mu_s, \text{ and } g)$  can be found from the experimental data for total transmittance  $T_t$ , diffuse reflectance  $R_d$ , and collimated transmittance  $T_c$  of the sample.

Often, such simple methods as the KM mod $el^{13,15,16,28,30-44,47,56,62,67-70}$  or diffusion approxima $tion^{57,60,61,88,89}$  are used as the first step of the inverse algorithm for estimation of the optical properties of tissues and blood. The estimated values of the optical properties are then used to calculate the reflected and transmitted signals, employing one of the more sophisticated models of light propagation in tissue or blood. At the next step, the calculated values are compared with the measured ones. If the required accuracy is not achieved, the current optical properties are altered using one of the optimization algorithms. The procedures of altering the optical properties and calculating the reflected and transmitted signals are repeated until the calculated values match the measured values with the required accuracy.

# 5. IAD Method

The IAD method provides a tool for the rapid and accurate solution of inverse scattering problem.<sup>15,16,25-40,42,44,62,66,77,78</sup> It is based on the general method for the solution of the transport equation for plane-parallel layers suggested by van de Hulst<sup>90</sup> and introduced to tissue optics by Prahl.<sup>30,78,91</sup> An important advantage of the IAD method when applied to tissue optics is the possibility of rapidly obtaining iterative solutions with the aid of up-to-date microcomputers; moreover, it is flexible enough to take into account anisotropy of scattering and the internal reflection from the sample boundaries. The method includes the following steps:

- (1) The choice of optical parameters to be measured;
- (2) Counting reflections and transmissions;
- (3) Comparison of calculated and measured reflectance and transmittance; and
- (4) Repetition of the procedure until the estimated and measured values coincides with the desired accuracy.

In principle, the method allows for any intended accuracy to be achieved for all the parameters being measured, provided the necessary computer time is available. An error of 3% or less is considered acceptable.<sup>30</sup> Also, the method may be used to directly correct experimental findings obtained with the aid of integrating spheres. The term "doubling" in the name of the method means that the reflection and transmission estimates for a layer at certain ingoing and outgoing light angles may be used to calculate both the transmittance and reflectance for a layer twice as thick by means of superimposing one upon the other and summing the contributions of each layer to the total reflectance and transmittance. Reflection and transmission in a layer having an arbitrary thickness are calculated in consecutive order, first for the thin layer with the same optical characteristics (single scattering), then by consecutive doubling of the thickness, for any selected layer. The term "adding" indicates that the doubling procedure may be extended to heterogeneous layers for modeling multilayer tissues or taking into account internal reflections related to abrupt change in refractive index.<sup>30</sup>

The adding-doubling technique is a numerical method for solving the 1D transport equation in slab geometry.<sup>30,78,86</sup> It can be used for media with an arbitrary phase function and arbitrary angular distribution of the spatially uniform incident radiation. Thus, finite beam size and side losses of light cannot be taken into account. The method is based on the observation that for an arbitrary incident radiance angular distribution  $I_{\rm in}(\eta_c)$ , where  $\eta_c$  is the cosine of the polar angle, the angular distribution of the reflected radiance (normalized to an incident diffuse flux) is given by Prahl *et al.*<sup>30,78,86</sup>:

$$I_{\rm ref}(\eta_c) = \int_0^1 I_{\rm in}(\eta_c') R(\eta_c', \eta_c) 2\eta_c' d\eta_c', \qquad (4)$$

where  $R(\eta'_c, \eta_c)$  is the reflection redistribution function determined by the optical properties of the slab.

The distribution of the transmitted radiance can be expressed in a similar manner, with obvious substitution of the transmission redistribution function  $T(\eta'_c, \eta_c)$ . If M quadrature points are selected to span over the interval (0, 1), the respective matrices can approximate the reflection and transmission redistribution functions:

$$R(\eta'_{ci}, \eta_{cj}) \to R_{ij}; \quad T(\eta'_{ci}, \eta_{cj}) \to T_{ij}.$$
 (5)

These matrices are referred to as the reflection and transmission operators, respectively. If a slab with boundaries indexed as 0 and 2 is comprised of two layers, (01) and (12), with an internal interface 1 between the layers, the reflection and transmission operators for the whole slab (02) can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T}^{02} &= \mathbf{T}^{12} (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{R}^{10} \mathbf{R}^{12})^{-1} \mathbf{T}^{01}, \\ \mathbf{R}^{20} &= \mathbf{T}^{12} (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{R}^{10} \mathbf{R}^{12})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{10} \mathbf{T}^{21} + \mathbf{R}^{21}, \\ \mathbf{T}^{20} &= \mathbf{T}^{10} (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{R}^{12} \mathbf{R}^{10})^{-1} \mathbf{T}^{21}, \\ \mathbf{R}^{02} &= \mathbf{T}^{10} (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{R}^{12} \mathbf{R}^{10})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{12} \mathbf{T}^{01} + \mathbf{R}^{01}, \end{split}$$
(6)

where  $\mathbf{E}$  is the identity matrix defined in this case as

$$\mathbf{E}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\eta_{ci}w_i}\delta_{ij},\tag{7}$$

where  $w_i$  is the weight assigned to the *i*th quadrature point and  $\delta_{ij}$  is a Kronecker delta symbol,  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if i = j, and  $\delta_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .

The definition of the matrix multiplication also slightly differs from the standard. Specifically

$$(\mathbf{AB})_{ik} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_{ij} 2\eta_{cj} w_j B_{jk}.$$
 (8)

Equation (6) allows one to calculate the reflection and transmission operators of a slab when those of the comprising layers are known. The idea of method is to start with a thin layer for which the RTE can be simplified and solved with relative ease, producing the reflection and transmission operators for the thin layer, then to proceed by doubling the thickness of the layer until the thickness of the whole slab is reached. Several techniques exist for layer initialization. The single-scattering equations for reflection and transmission for the HGF are given by van de Hulst<sup>90</sup> and Prahl.<sup>78</sup> The refractive index mismatch can be taken into account by adding effective boundary layers of zero thickness and having the reflection and transmission operators determined by Fresnel's formulas. The total transmittance and reflectance of the slab are obtained by straightforward integration of Eq. (4). Different methods of performing the integration and the IAD program provided by Prahl<sup>30,78,91</sup> allows one to obtain the absorption and the scattering coefficients from the measured diffuse reflectance  $R_d$  and total transmittance  $T_t$  of the tissue slab. This program is the numerical solution to the steady-state RTE [Eq. (1)] realizing an iterative process, which estimates the reflectance and transmittance from a set of optical parameters until the calculated reflectance and transmittance match the measured values. Values for the anisotropy factor q and the refractive index n must be provided to the program as input parameters.

It was shown that using only four quadrature points, the IAD method provides optical parameters that are accurate to within 2-3%,<sup>30</sup> as was mentioned earlier; higher accuracy, however, can be obtained by using more quadrature points, but it would require increased computation time. Another valuable feature of the IAD method is its validity for the study of samples with comparable absorption and scattering coefficients,<sup>30</sup> since other methods based on only diffusion approximation are inadequate. Furthermore, since both anisotropic phase function and Fresnel reflection at boundaries are accurately approximated, the IAD technique is well suited to optical measurements of biological tissues and blood held between two glass slides. The adding-doubling method provides accurate results in cases when the side losses are not significant, but it is less flexible than the MC technique.

The IAD method has been successfully applied to determine optical parameters of blood<sup>92</sup>; human and animal dermis<sup>16,39,40,77,93</sup>; brain tissues<sup>28,94</sup>; colon tissues<sup>36</sup>; bronchial tissue<sup>31</sup>; ocular tissues such as retina,<sup>33,34</sup> choroids, sclera, conjunctiva, and ciliary body<sup>16,44,62</sup>; mucous tissue<sup>38</sup>; muscle tissue<sup>93</sup>; subcutaneous tissue<sup>39,40</sup>; cranial bone<sup>42</sup>; aorta<sup>16</sup>; and other soft tissues in the wide range of the wavelengths.<sup>2,11</sup>

## 6. IMC Method

Both the real geometry of the experiment and the tissue structure may be complicated. Therefore, IMC method should be used if reliable estimates are to be obtained. A number of algorithms to use the IMC method are available now in the literature. 5,11,13,41,43,45-49,51-61,67-70,95-113 Many researchers use the MC simulation algorithm and program provided by Jacques and Wang.<sup>59,111,112</sup> Among the first designed IMC algorithms, a similar algorithm for determining all the three optical parameters of the tissue  $(\mu_a, \mu_s, \text{ and } g)$ based on the *in vitro* evaluation of the total transmittance, diffuse reflectance, and collimated transmittance using a spectrophotometer with be also integrating spheres,  $\operatorname{can}$ mentioned. 5,13,43,45-49,53-58,60,61,67-71,95,98,102,103,108 The initial approximation (to speed up the procedure) was achieved with the help of the Kubelka-Munk theory, specifically its four-flux variant.  $^{13,43,45,47,54-56,67-70}$  The algorithms take into consideration the sideways loss of photons, which becomes essential in sufficiently thick samples. Similar results were obtained using the condensed IMC method.<sup>5,46,49,53,71,102,103</sup>

The MC technique is employed as a method to solve the forward problem in the inverse algorithm for the determination of the optical properties of tissues and blood. The MC method is based on the formalism of the RTT, where the absorption coefficient is defined as a probability of a photon to be absorbed per unit length, and the scattering coefficient is defined as the probability of a photon to be scattered per unit length. Using these probabilities, a random sampling of photon trajectories is generated.

The basic algorithm for the generation of photon trajectories can be shortly described as follows.<sup>59,74,111,112</sup> A photon described by three spatial coordinates and two angles  $(x, y, z, \theta, \phi)$  is assigned its weight  $W = W_0$  and placed in its initial position, depending on the source characteristics. The step size *s* of the photon is determined as  $s = -\ln(\xi)/\mu_t$ , where  $\xi$  is a random number between 0 and 1. The direction of the photon's next movement is determined by the scattering phase function substituted as the probability density distribution. Several approximations for the scattering phase function of tissue and blood have been used in MC simulations. These include the two empirical phase functions widely used to approximate the scattering phase

function of tissue and blood, Henyey–Greenstein phase function (HGPF) [see Eq. (2)], the Gegenbauer kernel phase function (GKPF),<sup>113–115</sup> and theoretical Mie phase function.<sup>116</sup> The HGPF has one parameter g that may be represented as the infinite series of Legendre polynomials  $P_n^1(\cos\theta)$ ,

$$p_{\rm HG}(\theta) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) f_n P_n^1(\cos\theta), \qquad (9)$$

where  $f_n = g^n$  is the *n*th order moment of the phase function.

The GKPF has two variable parameters,  $\alpha$  and g:

$$p_{\rm GK}(\theta) = K[1 + g^2 - 2g\cos(\theta)]^{-(\alpha+1)},$$
 (10)

where

$$\begin{split} K &= \alpha g \pi^{-1} (1-g^2)^{2\alpha} [(1+g)^{2\alpha} - (1-g)^{2\alpha}]^{-1}, \\ \alpha &> -1/2, \quad |g| \leq 1. \end{split}$$

The GKPF is a generalization of the HGPF and can be reduced to HGPF by setting  $\alpha = 0.5$ . The GKPF may be represented as the infinite series of Gegenbauer polynomials,  $C_n^{\alpha 114,115}$ :

$$p_{\rm GK}(\theta) = \frac{2K}{(1-g^2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{n}{\alpha}\right) C_n^{\alpha}[\cos(\theta)]g^n.$$
(11)

The HGPF and GKPF are widely employed in radiative transport calculations for the description of the single-scattering process in whole blood<sup>56,67-70,74,75,92,113</sup> and tissues<sup>5,13,15,16,25,28-49,</sup> <sup>53,57-62,77,93-98,100,101,103,106-109,117</sup> because of their mathematical simplicity. However, it is clear that the HGPF and GKPF cannot be used for accurate calculations of the angular light distribution scattered by a single particle. For some calculations, theoretical Mie phase function may be useful<sup>116</sup>:

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{k^2 r^2} (|S_1|^2 + |S_2|^2), \qquad (12)$$

where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are functions of the polar scattering angle and can be obtained from the Mie theory as

$$S_{1}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} \{ a_{n} \pi_{n}(\cos \theta) + b_{n} \tau_{n}(\cos \theta) \},$$
  

$$S_{2}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)} \{ b_{n} \pi_{n}(\cos \theta) + a_{n} \tau_{n}(\cos \theta) \}.$$
(13)

The parameters  $\pi_n$  and  $\tau_n$  represent

$$\pi_n(\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{\sin\theta} P_n^1(\cos\theta),$$
  

$$\tau_n(\cos\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} P_n^1(\cos\theta),$$
(14)

where  $P_n^1(\cos\theta)$  is the associated Legendre polynomial. The following recursive relationships are used to calculate  $\pi_n$  and  $\tau_n$ :

$$\pi_n = \frac{2n-1}{n-1} \pi_{n-1} \cos \theta - \frac{n}{n-1} \pi_{n-2}, \qquad (15)$$
  
$$\tau_n = n \pi_n \cos \theta - (n+1) \pi_{n-1},$$

and the initial values are

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 = 1, \quad \pi_2 = \cos \theta, \\ \tau_1 = \cos \theta, \quad \tau_2 = 3 \cos 2\theta. \end{cases}$$
(16)

The coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are defined as:

$$a_{n} = \frac{\psi_{n}(\alpha)\psi_{n}'(m\alpha) - m\psi_{n}(m\alpha)\psi_{n}'(\alpha)}{\zeta_{n}(\alpha)\psi_{n}'(m\alpha) - m\psi_{n}(m\alpha)\zeta_{n}'(\alpha)}$$

$$b_{n} = \frac{m\psi_{n}(\alpha)\psi_{n}'(m\alpha) - \psi_{n}(m\alpha)\psi_{n}'(\alpha)}{m\zeta_{n}(\alpha)\psi_{n}'(m\alpha) - \psi_{n}(m\alpha)\zeta_{n}'(\alpha)}$$

$$m = n_{p}/n_{0}, \quad \alpha = 2\pi a n_{0}/\lambda_{0}$$
(17)

where *a* is the radius of spherical particles,  $\lambda_0$  is the light wavelength in vacuum,  $\psi_n, \zeta_n, \psi'_n$ , and  $\zeta'_n$  are the Riccati-Bessel functions of the first and second kind,  $n_0$  is the refractive index of the ground material, and  $n_p$  is the refractive index of scattering particles.

For the HGPF, the random scattering angle  $\theta_{rnd}^{HG}$  is given by

$$\theta_{rnd}^{HG} = \arccos\left\{\frac{1}{2g} \left[1 + g^2 - \left(\frac{1 - g^2}{1 - g + 2g\xi}\right)^2\right]\right\}.$$
(18)

For the GKPF, the random scattering angle  $\theta_{rnd}^{GK}$  is determined as  $^{113}$ 

$$\theta_{rnd}^{GK} = \arccos\left[(1+g^2 - 1/\sqrt[\alpha]{\zeta_{rnd}})/2g\right], \quad (19)$$

where  $\zeta_{rnd} = 2\alpha g \xi / K + (1+g)^{-2\alpha}$ , and  $\alpha$  and K are defined in Eq. (10).

If experimental scattering phase function is known for the discrete set of scattering angles  $\theta_i, f(\theta) = f(\theta_i)$ , it can be determined in the total angular range using the spline-interpolation technique. Then, the value of the function  $F_n = \int_0^{\theta_n} f(\theta) d\theta$  can be calculated numerically for any value of  $\theta_n$ . It is easy to see that  $F_n$  is a non-decreasing function that is mapping the interval (0, 1). Therefore, when random value  $\gamma$  is sampled,  $\theta_{rnd}^{exp}$  is determined by setting  $F_n = \xi$ .

The Mie phase function can be tabulated and treated in the same way as the experimental phase function.<sup>113</sup> In most cases, azimuthal symmetry is assumed. This leads to  $p(\phi) = 1/2\pi$  and, consequently,  $\phi_{rnd} = 2\pi\xi$ . At each step, the photon loses part of its weight due to absorption:  $W = W(1 - \Lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda = \mu_s/\mu_t$  is the albedo of the medium.

When the photon reaches the boundary, part of its weight is transmitted according to the Fresnel equations. The amount transmitted through the boundary is added to the reflectance or transmittance. Since the refraction angle is determined by the Snell's law, the angular distribution of the outgoing light can be calculated. The photon with the remaining part of the weight is specularly reflected and continues its random walk.

When the photon's weight becomes lower than a predetermined minimal value, the photon can be terminated using the "Russian roulette" procedure.<sup>59,60,111,112,118,119</sup> This procedure saves time, since it does not make sense to continue the random walk of the photon, which will not essentially contribute to the measured signal. On the other hand, it ensures that the energy balance is maintained throughout the simulation process.

The MC method has several advantages over the other methods because it may take into account mismatched medium-glass and glass-air interfaces, losses of light at the edges of the sample, any phase function of the medium, and the finite size and arbitrary angular distribution of the incident beam. If the collimated transmittance is measured, then the contribution of scattered light into the measured collimated signal can be accounted for.<sup>11,59,60</sup> The only disadvantage of this method is the long time needed to ensure good statistical convergence, since it is a statistical approach. The standard deviation of a quantity (diffuse reflectance, transmittance, etc.) approximated by MC technique decreases proportionally to  $1/\sqrt{N}$ , where N is the total number of launched photons.

It is worthy of note that stable operation of the algorithm was maintained by generation of  $10^5$  to  $5 \times 10^5$  photons per iteration. Two to five iterations were usually necessary to estimate the optical parameters with approximately 2% accuracy. The computer time required can be reduced not only by

the condensed IMC method<sup>46</sup> but also by means of graphical solution of the inverse problem<sup>99–101</sup> or by means of generating a look-up table<sup>5,49,53,71,102,103,105</sup> following a preliminary MC simulation. In the last case, a linear or spline interpolations<sup>120</sup> between the data points can be used to improve the accuracy of the selection process.

In general, in vivo  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu'_s$  values for human skin proved to be significantly smaller than those obtained in vitro (about 10 and two times, respectively).<sup>11,46,53,58,95,121,122</sup> For  $\mu_a$ , the discrepancy may be attributed to the low sensitivity of the double-integrating sphere, and goniometric techniques have been applied for *in vitro* measurements at weak absorption combined with strong scattering  $(\mu_a \ll \mu_s)$  and the sample preparation methods. For  $\mu'_s$ , the discrepancy may be related to the strong dependence of the method on variations in the relative refractive index of scatterers and the ground medium of the tissue  $m, \mu'_s \sim (m-1)^2$ . which can be quite different for living and sampled tissue.<sup>99,123</sup> The *ex vivo* measurements using the integrating sphere technique with corresponding IMC models, and very carefully prepared human tissue samples allow for accurate evaluation of  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu'_s$ , which are very close to *in vivo* measurements.<sup>13,14,53,58,95,121,122,124</sup>

# 7. Direct Measurement of the Scattering Phase Function

Direct measurement of the scattering phase function  $p(\theta)$  is important for the choice of an adequate model for the tissue being examined.<sup>5,8,11,74,113,125</sup> The scattering phase function is usually determined from goniophotometric measurements in relatively thin tissue samples.<sup>3,5,11,24,32–34,71,92,102,113,126–141</sup> measured scattering angular dependence The be approximated either by can the HGPF<sup>24,32–34,76,128,129,136,138,139</sup> [see Eq. (2)] or by a set of HGPFs, with each function characterizing the type of scatterers and specific contribution to the angular dependence [see Eq. (9)].<sup>11,127</sup> In the limiting case of a two-component model of a medium containing large and small (compared with the wavelength) scatterers, the angular dependence is represented in the form of anisotropic and isocomponents.<sup>19,24,39,43,102,124,129,132,133,137,139</sup> tropic Other approximating functions are equally useful, e.g., those obtained from the Rayleigh–Gans approximation,<sup>124</sup> ensuing from the Mie theory,<sup>96,97,134,138</sup> or a two-parameter GKPF [HGPF is a special simpler case of this phase function, see Eq. (10)].<sup>72,113,128</sup> Some of these types of approximations were used to find the dependence of the scattering anisotropy factor g for dermis and epidermis on the wavelengths in the range from 300 to 1,300 nm, which proved to coincide fairly well with the empirical formula,<sup>86</sup>

$$g_e \sim g_d \sim 0.62 + \lambda \times 0.29 \times 10^{-3},$$
 (20)

on the assumption of a 10% contribution of isotropic scattering (at wavelength 633 nm).<sup>139</sup> The wavelength  $\lambda$  is given in nanometers. Another form of the spectral dependence of anisotropy factor can be presented as

$$g(\lambda) = A + B(1 - \exp(-(\lambda - C)/D)), \qquad (21)$$

where A, B, C, D are some of the empirical constants.

The experimental values of anisotropy factor g for many types of human and animal tissues are presented in Tables 1–3 and approximated using Eq. (21).

It should be noted that the correct prediction of light transport in tissues depends on the exact form of the phase function used for calculations.<sup>5,11,67,74,101,113</sup> Simulations performed with different forms of  $p(\theta)$  (HGPF, Mie, and GKPF) with the same value of  $\langle \cos(\theta) \rangle$  result in the collection of significantly different fractions of the incident photons, particularly when small numericalaperture delivery and collection fibers (small sourcedetection separation) are employed.<sup>72,74,97,113</sup>

Moreover, for media with high anisotropy factors, precise measurements of the scattering phase function in the total angle range from 0 to 180 degrees is a difficult technical task, demanding an extremely large dynamic range of measuring equipment. Most of the scattered radiation lies in the range from 0 to

Table 1. Optical properties of human and animal skin tissues measured *in vitro* and *ex vivo* (root mean square (RMS) values are given in parentheses) (IS, single integrating sphere; DIS, double integrating sphere).

| Tissue         | $\lambda$ , nm | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | g | $\mu_s', \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Remarks   |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
| Caucasian skin | 400            | 3.76(0.35)                |                           |   | 71.8(9.4)                  | IS, IAD; whole skin; in the spectral                                    |
| (n = 21)       | 500            | 1.19(0.16)                |                           |   | 32.5(4.2)                  | range of 400–2,000 nm: $\mu'_{s} = 1.1 \times$                          |
|                | 600            | 0.69(0.13)                | _                         |   | 21.8(3.0)                  | $10^{12}\lambda^{-4} + 73.7\lambda^{-0.22}, [\lambda]$ in               |
|                | 700            | 0.48(0.11)                | —                         |   | 16.7(2.3)                  | nanometers <sup>39</sup>  |
|                | 800            | 0.43(0.11)                |                           |   | 14.0(1.9)                  |   |
|                | 900            | 0.33(0.02)                | _                         |   | 15.7(2.1)                  |   |
|                | 1,000          | 0.27(0.03)                | —                         |   | 16.8(2.8)                  |   |
|                | $1,\!100$      | 0.16(0.04)                | —                         |   | 17.1(2.7)                  |   |
|                | 1,200          | 0.54(0.04)                |                           |   | 16.7(2.9)                  |   |
|                | $1,\!300$      | $0.41 \ (0.07)$           | —                         |   | 14.7(2.6)                  |   |
|                | $1,\!400$      | 1.64(0.31)                | —                         |   | 14.3(3.7)                  |   |
|                | 1,500          | 1.69(0.35)                | —                         |   | 14.4(3.8)                  |   |
|                | $1,\!600$      | 1.19(0.22)                |                           |   | 14.2(3.4)                  |   |
|                | 1,700          | 1.55 (0.28)               | —                         |   | 14.7 (3.5)                 |   |
|                | 1,800          | 1.44(0.22)                |                           |   | 13.4(2.9)                  |   |
|                | 1,900          | 2.14(0.28)                |                           |   | 12.2(3.1)                  |   |
|                | 2,000          | 1.74(0.29)                | —                         | — | 12.0(2.9)                  |   |
| Caucasian skin | 400            | 13.48                     | _                         |   | 34.28                      | IS, IAD; whole skin; in the spectral                                    |
| (n=3)          | 500            | 6.19                      |                           |   | 25.05                      | range of 400–1,800 nm: $\mu'_s = 2.85$                                  |
|                | 600            | 3.77                      |                           |   | 18.67                      | $\times 10^7 \lambda^{-2.311} + 209.311 \lambda^{-0.518}, [\lambda]$ in |
|                | 700            | 2.41                      |                           |   | 14.82                      | nanometers, data from graphs of   |
|                | 800            | 1.94                      | —                         |   | 12.42                      | Ref. 16   |
|                | 900            | 1.76                      | _                         |   | 10.57                      |   |
|                | 1,000          | 1.55                      | —                         |   | 9.23                       |   |
|                | 1,100          | 1.33                      |                           |   | 7.91                       |   |
|                | 1,200          | 1.76                      | _                         | _ | 7.11                       |   |
|                | 1,300          | 1.76                      | _                         | _ | 6.60                       |   |
|                | 1,400          | 10.29                     |                           |   | 6.21                       |   |

Table 1. (Continued)

| Tissue             | $\lambda$ , nm | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | g                 | $\mu_s', \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Remarks  |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
|                    | 1,500          | 16.21                     | _                         |                   | 5.47                       |  |
|                    | $1,\!600$      | 5.44                      |                           | —                 | 5.87                       |  |
|                    | 1,700          | 4.11                      |                           | —                 | 5.59                       |  |
|                    | 1,800          | 6.05                      |                           |                   | 5.68                       |  |
| Caucasian skin     | 1,000          | 0.98                      |                           | —                 | 12.58                      | DIS, IAD; whole skin; data from                                |
| (n = 22)           | $1,\!100$      | 0.98                      |                           | _                 | 11.77                      | graphs of Ref. 77; in the spectral                             |
|                    | 1,200          | 1.87                      |                           | _                 | 11.08                      | range of 1,000–1,250 nm: $\mu'_s =$                            |
|                    | $1,\!300$      | 1.77                      |                           | —                 | 10.69                      | $7.59 \times 10^7 \lambda^{-2.503} + 165.86 \lambda^{-0.402},$ |
|                    | $1,\!400$      | 7.94                      |                           | —                 | 11.39                      | $[\lambda]$ in nanometers                                      |
|                    | 1,500          | 13.1                      |                           | —                 | 11.38                      |  |
|                    | $1,\!600$      | 5.2                       |                           | —                 | 10.10                      |  |
|                    | 1,700          | 4.85                      |                           | —                 | 9.96                       |  |
|                    | 1,800          | 6.5                       |                           | _                 | 9.96                       |  |
|                    | 1,900          | 13.0                      |                           | —                 | 10.63                      |  |
| Rabbit skin        | 630            | $0.94 \ (0.13)$           | 213(21)                   | $0.812 \ (0.017)$ | 40(2.2)                    | DIS, $IAD^{93}$  |
| (epidermis +       | 632.8          | $0.33\ (0.02)$            | 306(12)                   | $0.898\ (0.007)$  | 31.6(2.2)                  |  |
| dermis)            | 790            | 0.70~(0.07)               | 321 (8)                   | $0.94 \ (0.003)$  | 18.4(0.5)                  |  |
| Piglet skin        | 632.8          | 1.0(0.1)                  | 492 (17)                  | 0.953(0.001)      | 22.7(0.8)                  | DIS, $IAD^{93}$  |
| (epidermis +       | 790            | 2.4(0.2)                  | 409 (14)                  | 0.952(0.001)      | 19.3(0.6)                  | ,  |
| dermis)            | 850            | 1.6(0.1)                  | 403 (20)                  | 0.962(0.005)      | 14.3(1.5)                  |  |
| Stratum corneum    | 350            | 25.92                     | 500                       | 0.902             | 48.99                      | Data from graphs of Ref. 154 (with                             |
| Strattan cornean   | 400            | 17.28                     | 500                       | 0.903             | 48.44                      | reference to Refs. 86, 139, 141): in                           |
|                    | 450            | 11.63                     | 500                       | 0.910             | 45.24                      | the spectral range of $400-700$ nm:                            |
|                    | 500            | 10.47                     | 500                       | 0.916             | 41.93                      | q = 0.918 + 0.304  |
|                    | 550            | 9.83                      | 500                       | 0.923             | 38.69                      | $(1 - \exp(-(\lambda - 507.4)/2404))$                          |
|                    | 600            | 8.67                      | 500                       | 0.93              | 35.02                      |  |
|                    | 650            | 8.21                      | 500                       | 0.936             | 32.21                      |  |
|                    | 700            | 8.15                      | 500                       | 0.942             | 28.93                      |  |
| Epidermis (lightly | 350            | 9.99/30.16/69.8           | 210.4                     | 0.702             |                            | Data from graphs of Ref. 154 (with                             |
| pigmented/         | 400            | 6.77/20.2/46.67           | 156.3                     | 0.712             |                            | reference to Refs. 86, 139, 141);                              |
| medium             | 450            | 4.41/13.5/31.52           | 121.6                     | 0.728             |                            | in the spectral range of                                       |
| pigmented/         | 500            | 2.58/9.77/21.82           | 93.01                     | 0.745             |                            | 350-700  nm: g = 0.745 + 0.546                                 |
| highly             | 550            | 1.63/6.85/16.13           | 74.70                     | 0.759             | —                          | $(1 - \exp(-(\lambda - 500)/1806));$                           |
| pigmented)         | 600            | 1.47/5.22/12.35           | 63.76                     | 0.774             | —                          | $\mu_s = 1.752 \times 10^8 \lambda^{-2.33} +$                  |
|                    | 650            | 1.2/3.68/9.15             | 55.48                     | 0.787             | —                          | $134.67\lambda^{-0.494}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers              |
|                    | 700            | 1.06/3.07/7.11            | 54.66                     | 0.804             |                            |  |
| Human epidermis    | 400            | 26.36                     | _                         | _                 | 31.73                      | IS, 1D diffusion approximation;                                |
| (n = 10)           | 450            | 13.84                     |                           | —                 | 30.11                      | Caucasian skin; in the spectral                                |
|                    | 500            | 7.79                      |                           | —                 | 28.27                      | range of 400–800 nm: $\mu_s' = 1.175 \times$                   |
|                    | 550            | 5.73                      |                           | _                 | 26.82                      | $10^{3}\lambda^{-0.6}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers; data          |
|                    | 600            | 3.01                      |                           | —                 | 25.29                      | from graphs of Ref. 50   |
|                    | 650            | 1.58                      |                           | —                 | 24.14                      |  |
|                    | 700            | 0.89                      |                           | —                 | 22.97                      |  |
|                    | 750            | 0.49                      |                           | —                 | 22.09                      |  |
|                    | 800            | 0.35                      | _                         | —                 | 21.27                      |  |
| Human epidermis    | 400            | 12.96(1.44)               | _                         |                   | 106.2(11)                  | IS, IMC, in the spectral range of                              |
| (n = 7)            | 500            | 7.07 (0.66)               |                           | —                 | 70.6(7)                    | 370–1,400 nm: $\mu'_s = 1.08 \times$                           |
|                    | 600            | 3.08(0.76)                |                           | —                 | 51.4(5.0)                  | $10^8\lambda^{-2.364} + 135.71\lambda^{-0.267},$               |
|                    | 700            | 2.58(0.77)                |                           |                   | 42.7(4.1)                  | $[\lambda]$ in nanometers <sup>57</sup>                        |
|                    | 800            | 1.71 (0.59)               |                           | —                 | 36.8(3.6)                  |  |

|  | Tissue                     |
|--|----------------------------|
| pen Access articles.   |                            |
| om<br>permitted, except for OJ                                 | Human dermis               |
| from www.worldscientific.c<br>and distribution is strictly not | Human dermis $(n=8)$       |
| Sci. 2011.04:9-38. Downloadec<br>40L0GY on 10/24/18. Re-use :  | Caucasian dermis           |
| J. Innov. Opt. Health<br>OF SCIENCE AND TECHN                  | (n = 12)<br>Negroid dermis |
| UAZHONG UNIVERSITY   | (n = 5)Caucasian           |

Table 1. (Continued)

| Tissue           | $\lambda$ , nm | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | g     | $\mu'_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Remarks  |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------|--|
|                  | 900            | 0.80(0.45)                |                           |       | 33.6 (3.5)                 |  |
|                  | 1,000          | 0.45 (0.28)               |                           | —     | 30.6(3.4)                  |  |
|                  | 1,100          | 0.17(0.14)                |                           |       | 29.2(3.2)                  |  |
|                  | 1,200          | 0.71(0.44)                |                           |       | 26.5(3.1)                  |  |
|                  | 1,300          | 0.71(0.42)                |                           |       | 25.7(3.1)                  |  |
|                  | 1,400          | 15.53(2.5)                |                           |       | 27.5(3.6)                  |  |
|                  | 1,500          | 23.69(3.5)                |                           | _     | 28.3(4.2)                  |  |
|                  | 1,600          | 7.49(1.64)                |                           |       | 23.0(3.3)                  |  |
| Human dermis     | 350            | 20.74                     | 212.7                     | 0.715 |                            | Data from graphs of Ref. $154$ (with                           |
|                  | 400            | 13.82                     | 159.9                     | 0.715 |                            | reference to Refs. $86$ , $139$ , $141$ ); in                  |
|                  | 450            | 9.31                      | 124.1                     | 0.715 |                            | the spectral range of $350-700$ nm:                            |
|                  | 500            | 8.37                      | 92.24                     | 0.715 |                            | $g = 0.715 + 3.8 \times 10^{-4} (1 - $                         |
|                  | 550            | 7.86                      | 77.22                     | 0.715 |                            | $\exp(-(\lambda - 542)/1129)); \mu_s =$                        |
|                  | 600            | 6.94                      | 63.09                     | 0.715 |                            | $1.752 \times 10^8 \lambda^{-2.33} + 134.67 \lambda^{-0.494},$ |
|                  | 650            | 6.57                      | 55.98                     | 0.715 |                            | $[\lambda]$ in nanometers                                      |
|                  | 700            | 6.52                      | 53.62                     | 0.715 |                            |  |
| Human dermis     | 400            | 9.13(1.18)                | _                         |       | 76.8(11)                   | IS, IMC, in the spectral range of                              |
| (n = 8)          | 500            | 3.36(0.43)                |                           |       | 46.2 (4.6)                 | $370-1,400 \text{ nm}: \mu'_s = 1.19 \times$                   |
|                  | 600            | 1.72(0.24)                |                           |       | 32.2(2.9)                  | $10^8\lambda^{-2.427} + 71.476\lambda^{-0.258},$               |
|                  | 700            | 1.53 (0.25)               |                           | —     | 26.4(2.5)                  | $[\lambda]$ in nanometers <sup>57</sup>                        |
|                  | 800            | 1.22(0.21)                |                           | _     | 22.5(2.3)                  |  |
|                  | 900            | 0.83(0.17)                |                           | _     | 20.1(2.3)                  |  |
|                  | 1,000          | 0.79(0.18)                |                           |       | 18.6(2.2)                  |  |
|                  | $1,\!100$      | $0.46\ (0.17)$            |                           |       | 17.6(2.1)                  |  |
|                  | 1,200          | 1.33(0.22)                |                           |       | 16.6(2.0)                  |  |
|                  | $1,\!300$      | 1.19(0.24)                |                           |       | 16.2(2.0)                  |  |
|                  | $1,\!400$      | 11.7(1.14)                |                           |       | 18.6(1.9)                  |  |
|                  | 1,500          | 17.5(1.48)                |                           |       | 19.9(2.0)                  |  |
|                  | $1,\!600$      | $6.63 \ (0.57)$           | _                         |       | 15.9(1.8)                  |  |
| Caucasian dermis | 633            | 0.32                      | _                         | _     | 26.99                      | IS, IMC; data from graphs of Ref. 58;                          |
| (n = 12)         | 700            | 0.12                      |                           |       | 23.02                      | in the spectral range of                                       |
|                  | 750            | 0.09                      |                           |       | 20.62                      | 620–1,000 nm: $\mu'_s = 1.66 \times$                           |
|                  | 800            | 0.02                      |                           |       | 18.80                      | $10^5 \lambda^{-1.356}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers               |
|                  | 850            | 0.01                      |                           |       | 17.41                      |  |
|                  | 900            | 0.03                      |                           |       | 16.18                      |  |
|                  | 950            | 0.22                      |                           |       | 15.10                      |  |
|                  | 1,000          | 0.39                      | —                         |       | 14.68                      |  |
| Negroid dermis   | 633            | 2.45                      | _                         |       | 32.29                      | IS, IMC; data from graphs of Ref. 58;                          |
| (n = 5)          | 700            | 1.51                      |                           |       | 27.24                      | in the spectral range of                                       |
|                  | 750            | 1.12                      |                           | _     | 24.02                      | $620-1,000 \text{ nm}: \mu'_s = 3.33 \times$                   |
|                  | 800            | 0.80                      |                           |       | 21.26                      | $10^5 \lambda^{-1.438}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers               |
|                  | 850            | 0.61                      |                           |       | 19.70                      |  |
|                  | 900            | 0.46                      |                           |       | 18.55                      |  |
|                  | 950            | 0.49                      |                           |       | 17.67                      |  |
|                  | $1,\!000$      | 0.49                      | —                         |       | 16.83                      |  |
| Caucasian        | 450            | 5.13                      | 134.9                     | 0.054 |                            | IS, IAD: data from graph of Ref. 78; in                        |
| bloodless        | 500            | 3.45                      | 119.9                     | 0.120 |                            | the spectral range of 450–800 nm:                              |
| dermis           | 550            | 2.28                      | 108.1                     | 0.288 |                            | $\mu_{e} = 2.97 \times 10^{5} \lambda^{-1.257} [\lambda]$ in   |
|                  | 600            | 1.81                      | 97.38                     | 0.410 |                            | nanometers: in the spectral range of                           |
|                  | 650            | 1.44                      | 87.89                     | 0.461 |                            | $500-800 \mathrm{nm}: q = 0.334 +$                             |
|                  | 700            | 1.16                      | 78.48                     | 0.50  |                            | $0.217(1 - \exp(-(\lambda - 567)/90.76)).$                     |
|                  | 750            | 1.03                      | 72.29                     | 0.519 |                            | $[\lambda]$ in nanometers                                      |
|                  | 800            | 0.88                      | 65.89                     | 0.531 |                            | L J  |
|                  |                |                           |                           |       |                            |  |

|   | Table 1. (Continuea)                                      |   |  |  |                                       |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Tissue                                      | $\lambda$ , nm  | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$   | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  | g  | $\mu_s', \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$            | Remarks   |  |  |  |  |
| Caucasian<br>bloodless<br>dermis $(n = 5)$  | 633   | 2.7   | 187  | 0.82   | 33.66                                 | IS, 1D-diffusion approximation $^{139}$   |  |  |  |  |
| Caucasian<br>bloodless<br>dermis $(n = 30)$ | 350<br>400<br>450<br>633                                  | 23.2<br>9.5<br>6.3<br>2.7   | 147.2<br>136.1<br>130.8<br>90.3                                    | $\begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.62 \end{array}$                                | 127.2<br>106.7<br>81.2<br>33.9        | IS, 1D-diffusion approximation; data<br>from graphs of Ref. 158 (with<br>references to Zrakit D.:<br>unpublished Masters report,<br>Massachusetts Institute of<br>Technology, 1986); in the spectral<br>range of 350-650 nm: $g = 0.438 +$<br>$0.475(1-\exp(-(\lambda-494.6)/282.15)),$<br>$\mu'_s = 5.86 \times 10^5 \lambda^{-1.391} -$<br>$18.66 \lambda^{0.115}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers |  |  |  |  |
| Piglet skin dermis                          | 632.8<br>790<br>850                                       | $\begin{array}{c} 0.89 \ (0.1) \\ 1.8 \ (0.2) \\ 0.33 \ (0.03) \end{array}$         | $\begin{array}{c} 289 \ (7) \\ 254 \ (5) \\ 285 \ (5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.926 \ (0.002) \\ 0.945 \ (0.001) \\ 0.968 \ (0.001) \end{array}$       | $21.1 (0.4) \\ 13.9 (0.3) \\ 9 (0.2)$ | DIS, $IAD^{93}$   |  |  |  |  |
| Porcine skin dermis $(n = 44)$              | 900<br>1,000<br>1,100<br>1,200<br>1,300<br>1,400<br>1,500 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.17 \\ 1.74 \\ 1.04 \\ 9.11 \\ 7.32 \end{array}$ | $282.6 \\ 270.4 \\ 267.2 \\ 263.9 \\ 262.8 \\ 246.2 \\ 259.6$      | $\begin{array}{c} 0.904 \\ 0.904 \\ 0.904 \\ 0.903 \\ 0.903 \\ 0.872 \\ 0.873 \end{array}$ |                                       | IS, IMC; post-mortem time 2 ~ 10 h;<br>data from graphs of Ref. 98; in the spectral range of 1,000-1,300 nm:<br>$\mu_s = 440.2\lambda^{-0.072}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers  |  |  |  |  |
| Porcine skin dermis $(n = 40)$              | 3254425326338501,0641,3101.557                            | 5.6<br>1.9<br>1.4<br>0.7<br>1.6<br>3.1<br>6.2<br>10.4                               | 220<br>89<br>69<br>58<br>90<br>26<br>40<br>21                      | $\begin{array}{c} 0.38\\ 0.36\\ 0.64\\ 0.72\\ 0.88\\ 0.86\\ 0.87\\ 0.82\end{array}$        |                                       | IS, IMC; data from graphs of Ref. 150;<br>in the spectral range of<br>325-1,557  nm: g = 0.653 +<br>$0.219(1-\exp(-(\lambda-530.2)/242.8));$<br>$\mu_s = 3.286 \times 10^8 \lambda^{-2.487} +$<br>$80.454 \lambda^{-0.215}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. (Continued)

Table 2. Optical properties of human and animal subcutaneous tissues measured *in vitro* and *ex vivo* (RMS values are given in parentheses) (IS, single integrating sphere).

| Tissue                   | $\lambda$ , nm | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | g | $\mu_s', \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Remarks  |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| Subdermis (primarily     | 633            | 0.12                      | _                         | _ | 12.58                      | IS, IMC; data from graphs of Ref. 58; in the                                 |
| globular fat cells)      | 700            | 0.09                      |                           |   | 12.10                      | spectral range of $620-1,000 \mathrm{nm}, \mu'_s =$                          |
| (n = 12)                 | 750            | 0.09                      | _                         |   | 11.75                      | $139.24\lambda^{-0.373}, [\lambda]$ in nanometers                            |
|                          | 800            | 0.08                      |                           |   | 11.40                      |  |
|                          | 850            | 0.09                      |                           |   | 11.17                      |  |
|                          | 900            | 0.12                      |                           |   | 10.95                      |  |
|                          | 950            | 0.15                      |                           |   | 10.81                      |  |
|                          | 1,000          | 0.12                      |                           |   | 10.71                      |  |
| Human subcutaneous       | 400            | 2.26(0.24)                | _                         |   | 13.4(2.8)                  | IS, IAD; in the spectral range of  |
| adipose tissue $(n = 6)$ | 500            | 1.49(0.06)                |                           |   | 13.8(4.0)                  | $600-1,500 \text{ nm}: \mu'_s = 1.05 \times 10^3 \lambda^{-0.68}, [\lambda]$ |
| × ,                      | 600            | 1.18(0.02)                | —                         | — | 13.4(4.7)                  | in nanometers <sup>39</sup>  |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Tissue             | $\lambda$ , nm | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | g | $\mu_s', \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Remarks   |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|
|                    | 700            | $1.11 \ (0.05)$            | _                         |   | 12.2 (4.4)                 |   |
|                    | 800            | 1.07(0.11)                 |                           |   | 11.6(4.6)                  |   |
|                    | 900            | 1.07(0.07)                 |                           |   | 10.0(3.4)                  |   |
|                    | 1,000          | 1.06(0.06)                 |                           | — | 9.39(3.3)                  |   |
|                    | 1,100          | 1.01 (0.05)                |                           |   | 8.74 (3.3)                 |   |
|                    | 1,200          | 1.06(0.07)                 |                           |   | 7.91(3.2)                  |   |
|                    | 1,300          | 0.89(0.07)                 |                           |   | (.81 (3.2) (7.51 (2.2))    |   |
|                    | 1,400<br>1,500 | 1.08(0.03)<br>1.05(0.02)   |                           | _ | 7.31(3.3)<br>7.36(3.4)     |   |
|                    | 1,500          | 1.03(0.02)                 |                           |   | 7.30(3.4)<br>7.16(3.2)     |   |
|                    | 1,000<br>1,700 | 1.26(0.04)                 |                           |   | 7.10(3.2)<br>7.53(3.3)     |   |
|                    | 1,700          | 1.20(0.01)<br>1.21(0.01)   |                           |   | 7.55(3.5)<br>7.50(3.48)    |   |
|                    | 1,000          | 1.21(0.01)<br>1.62(0.06)   |                           |   | 8.72(4.2)                  |   |
|                    | 2,000          | 1.02(0.00)<br>1.43(0.09)   |                           |   | 8.72(4.2)<br>8.24(4.0)     |   |
| Uuman auhautanaaua | 400            | 15.09(0.03)                |                           |   | 40.5(6.5)                  | IS IMC in the greatral range of   |
| ndinoso tissuo     | 400<br>500     | 15.98(3.2)<br>5 50(0.60)   |                           |   | 49.0(0.0)                  | $270 \pm 1200 \text{ pm}; u' = 1.08 \times 108  -2.525  \pm 100        $  |
| (n - 10)           | 500<br>600     | 5.50(0.09)<br>1.80(0.40)   |                           |   | 33.4(4.3)<br>37.0(2.2)     | $570-1,500$ IIII: $\mu_s = 1.08 \times 10^{-7} \lambda^{-2.020} + 157,404 \lambda^{-0.345}$ [ $\lambda$ ] in non-metano <sup>57</sup> |
| (n = 10)           | 700            | 1.69(0.40)<br>1.97(0.24)   |                           |   | 27.0(3.2)                  | $157.494\lambda^{-0.010}$ , $[\lambda]$ in nanometers   |
|                    | 200            | 1.27 (0.24)<br>1.08 (0.22) |                           |   | 23.0(2.3)                  |   |
|                    | 000            | 1.08(0.23)                 |                           |   | 20.2(2.1)                  |   |
|                    | 1 000          | 0.95(0.22)                 |                           |   | 10.0 (1.0)<br>17.4 (1.7)   |   |
|                    | 1,000          | 0.89(0.23)<br>0.74(0.22)   |                           |   | 17.4(1.7)<br>16.6(1.5)     |   |
|                    | 1,100<br>1,200 | 1.65(0.22)                 |                           |   | 16.0(1.5)<br>16.1(1.5)     |   |
|                    | 1,200<br>1,200 | 1.05(0.30)<br>1.05(0.27)   |                           |   | 15.1(1.5)<br>15.8(1.4)     |   |
|                    | 1,300<br>1,400 | 6.27(0.88)                 |                           |   | 15.8(1.4)<br>16.8(1.6)     |   |
|                    | 1,400<br>1 500 | 8.52(1.46)                 |                           |   | 17.6(1.8)                  |   |
|                    | 1,500<br>1.600 | 3.60(0.61)                 | _                         | _ | 15.7(1.6)                  |   |
| Pat subsutancous   | 400            | 2.25(1.24)                 |                           |   | 10.9(6.2)                  | IS IAD, in the greatral range of  |
| nat subcutaneous   | 400<br>500     | 2.23(1.34)                 |                           |   | 19.0 (0.3)<br>14.3 (4.1)   | $600 \pm 1.400 \text{ nm}; u' = 25.51 ^{-0.12} ^{11} \text{ in}$  |
| (n-10)             | 500<br>600     | 0.04(0.34)<br>0.64(0.33)   |                           |   | 14.0(4.1)<br>12.2(3.5)     | $\mu_s = 25.51 \lambda^{-4}$ , [ $\lambda$ ] III  |
| (n = 10)           | 700            | 0.04(0.33)<br>0.75(0.36)   |                           |   | 12.2 (3.3)<br>11.4 (3.2)   | nanometers  |
|                    | 800            | 1.05(0.30)                 |                           |   | 11.4(3.2)<br>11.0(3.1)     |   |
|                    | 000            | 1.05(0.47)<br>1.25(0.55)   |                           |   | 11.0(3.1)<br>10.8(3.0)     |   |
|                    | 1 000          | 1.25(0.55)<br>1.43(0.61)   |                           |   | 10.8(3.0)<br>10.9(3.0)     |   |
|                    | 1,000          | 1.43(0.61)                 |                           |   | 10.9(3.0)<br>10.6(2.8)     |   |
|                    | 1,100<br>1,200 | 2.07(0.01)                 |                           |   | 10.0(2.0)<br>11.2(2.0)     |   |
|                    | 1,200<br>1,300 | 1 43 (0.64)                |                           |   | 10.5(2.8)                  |   |
|                    | 1,000<br>1 400 | 2.29(1.20)                 |                           |   | 10.7(3.0)                  |   |
|                    | 1,100<br>1,500 | 2.23(1.20)<br>2.03(1.07)   |                           |   | 10.1 (0.0)<br>10.3 (3.0)   |   |
|                    | 1,000          | 1 40 (0.72)                |                           |   | 9.33(2.7)                  |   |
|                    | 1,000<br>1,700 | 3.04(1.69)                 |                           |   | 11.6(3.4)                  |   |
|                    | 1,800          | 2.67(1.43)                 |                           |   | 10.8(3.2)                  |   |
|                    | 1,900          | 4.55(2.65)                 |                           |   | 13.8(4.5)                  |   |
|                    | 2,000          | 3.99(2.28)                 |                           |   | 12.7 (4.2)                 |   |
|                    | 2,100          | 2.76(1.53)                 |                           |   | 11.3(3.6)                  |   |
|                    | 2,200          | 2.65(1.48)                 |                           |   | 12.2(3.6)                  |   |
|                    | 2,300          | 6.92(3.67)                 |                           |   | 22.7(6.0)                  |   |
|                    | 2,400          | 6.54(3.52)                 |                           |   | 24.0(6.1)                  |   |
|                    | 2,500          | 5.58(3.04)                 |                           |   | 23.9(6.4)                  |   |

Table 3. The optical properties of muscle tissues measured in vitro and ex vivo (RMS values are given in parentheses).

| Tissue           | $\lambda$ , nm | $\mu_a, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | $\mu_s, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | g                 | $\mu_s', \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Remarks  |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Muscle $(n = 1)$ | 633            | 1.23                      |                           | _                 | 8.94                       | IS, IMC; data from graphs of Ref. 58; in the   |
|                  | 700            | 0.48                      | _                         | —                 | 8.18                       | spectral range of 620–1,000 nm: $\mu'_s = 7.67$  |
|                  | 750            | 0.41                      |                           | —                 | 7.71                       | $\times 10^{3} \lambda^{-1.045}$ , [ $\lambda$ ] in nanometers                         |
|                  | 800            | 0.28                      |                           | —                 | 7.04                       |  |
|                  | 850            | 0.3                       |                           | —                 | 6.67                       |  |
|                  | 900            | 0.32                      |                           | —                 | 6.21                       |  |
|                  | 950            | 0.46                      |                           | —                 | 5.9                        |  |
|                  | $1,\!000$      | 0.51                      |                           | _                 | 5.73                       |  |
| Muscle           | 630            | 1.4(0.2)                  | 110(5)                    | 0.846(0.009)      | 16.5(0.7)                  | Rabbit; DIS, $IAD^{93}$  |
|                  | 632.8          | 0.74(0.06)                | 140(6)                    | $0.968 \ (0.002)$ | 4.4(0.3)                   |  |
|                  | 790            | 2.3(0.2)                  | 157(11)                   | $0.95\ (0.005)$   | 6.8(0.7)                   |  |
| Muscle           | 630            | 1.2(0.1)                  | 239(16)                   | 0.732(0.013)      | 62.1(2)                    | Piglet; DIS, $IAD^{93}$  |
|                  | 632.8          | 0.59(0.01)                | 179(12)                   | 0.858(0.012)      | 24.7 (0.7)                 | 8, ,   |
| Muscle $(n = 9)$ | 500            | 1.17                      | 89.2                      | 0.903             | _                          | Rat; IS, IMC   |
| \ /              | 550            | 1.66                      | 88.2                      | 0.909             |                            | Data from graphs of Ref. 152; in the spectral  |
|                  | 600            | 0.95                      | 83.3                      | 0.926             |                            | range of 550-800 nm, $\mu_s = 2.39 \times$   |
|                  | 650            | 0.56                      | 79.0                      | 0.930             |                            | $10^{7}\lambda^{-2.215} + 376.94\lambda^{-0.274}; q = 0.883 +$                         |
|                  | 700            | 0.52                      | 73.56                     | 0.930             |                            | $0.051 \ (1 - \exp(-(\lambda - 469.3)/84.11)), [\lambda]$ in                           |
|                  | 750            | 0.52                      | 71.30                     | 0.931             |                            | nanometers   |
|                  | 800            | 0.54                      | 66.70                     | 0.930             |                            |  |
| Beef muscle      | 450            | 1.41                      |                           | _                 | 7.17                       | Spatial resolved reflectance, diffusion  |
|                  | 500            | 1.49                      | _                         | —                 | 8.22                       | approximation; data from graphs of   |
|                  | 550            | 1.43                      | _                         | —                 | 5.55                       | Ref. 177; in the spectral range of   |
|                  | 600            | 1.39                      |                           | —                 | 6.72                       | $600-950 \text{ nm}: \mu'_s = 3.65 \times 10^4 \lambda^{-1.341}, [\lambda] \text{ in}$ |
|                  | 650            | 0.41                      |                           | —                 | 6.11                       | nanometers   |
|                  | 700            | 0.16                      |                           | —                 | 5.56                       |  |
|                  | 750            | 0.11                      | _                         |                   | 5.11                       |  |
|                  | 800            | 0.09                      |                           | —                 | 4.71                       |  |
|                  | 850            | 0.11                      |                           |                   | 4.35                       |  |
|                  | 900            | 0.15                      |                           | —                 | 4.09                       |  |
|                  | 950            | 0.26                      |                           |                   | 3.57                       |  |

30 degrees, counting from the direction of the incident beam. In addition, measurements at angles close to 90 degrees are strongly affected by scattering of higher orders, even for the samples of moderate optical thickness.<sup>142</sup>

# 8. Optical Properties of Tissues

The above-discussed methods and techniques were successfully applied for estimation of optical properties of a wide number of tissues. Evidently, many types of animal and human tissues may have very close optical properties, but some specificity is expected. Early published data on optical properties of both human and animal tissues are presented in Refs. 2, 13–19, 28, 31–44, 47, 50–58, 61–64, 66-84, 86-88, 92-99, 102, 103, 122, 124, 139, 141, 143-158.

Measurements of skin and subcutaneous tissues optical properties taken *in vitro* and *ex vivo* by different research groups are summarized in Tables 1-3. Data presented in the tables reflect well the situation in the field of the tissue optical parameters measurements. It is clearly observed that major attention was paid to investigations of optical properties of skin tissues because of great importance and perspectives of optical tomography of subcutaneous tumors and optical monitoring and treatment of cutaneous diseases. Nevertheless, in general, not many data for optical transport parameters are available in the literature. Moreover, these data are dependent on the tissue preparation technique, sample storage procedure, applied measuring method and inverse problem-solving algorithm, measuring ep instrumentation noise, and systematic errors. 1.3

# 8.1. Skin tissues optical properties

Skin presents a complex heterogeneous medium where blood and pigment content are spatially distributed variably in depth.<sup>159,160</sup> Skin consists of three main visible layers from surface: epidermis  $(100-150 \,\mu\text{m}$  thick, the blood-free layer), dermis  $(1-4 \,\text{mm}$  thick, vascularized layer), and subcutaneous fat (hypodermis or adipose tissue) (from 1 mm to 6 mm thick, depending on the body site).

The randomly inhomogeneous distribution of blood and various chromophores and pigments in skin produces variations of average optical properties of skin layers. Nonetheless, it is possible to define the regions in the skin, where the gradient of skin cells structure, chromophores, or blood amounts changing with a depth equals roughly zero.<sup>161</sup> This allows subdividing these layers into sublayers regarding the physiological nature, physical and optical properties of their cells, and pigments content. The epidermis can be subdivided into the two sublayers: non-living and living epidermis, and consist of four distinct cell types: keratinocytes (produce keratin), melanocytes (produce melanin), Langerhans cells, and Merkel cells. The predominant cells are the keratinocytes and they are arranged in five strata: the stratum corneum, stratum lucidum, stratum granulosum, stratum spinosum, and stratum basale. The non-living epidermis or stratum corneum (~20  $\mu m$  thick) consists of only dead squamous cells, which are highly keratinized with a high lipid ( $\sim 20\%$ ) and protein ( $\sim 60\%$ ) content, and has a relatively low ( $\sim 20\%$ ) water content.<sup>159–162</sup> Living epidermis (~100  $\mu$ m thick) contains most of the skin pigmentation, mainly melanin, which is produced in the melanocytes occurring in the stratum basale, and it is found in membranous particles called melanosomes.<sup>163</sup> There are two types of this pigment: the red/yellow phaeomelanin and a brown/back eumelanin.<sup>163</sup> The ratio between the concentration of phaeomelanin and eumelanin present in human skin varies from individual to individual, with much overlap between skin types. Parsad *et al.*<sup>164</sup> reported the values between 0.049 and 0.36. The melanin absorption level depends on how many melanosomes per unit volume are in epidermis. The volume fraction of the epidermis occupied by melanosomes varies from 1.3% (lightly pigmented specimens) to 43% (darkly pigmented specimens).<sup>19</sup> A basal lamina separates the epidermis from the dermis.

Dermis is a vascularized layer and the main absorbers in the visible spectral range are the blood hemoglobin,  $\beta$ -carotene (0.22-0.63 nmol/g<sup>165</sup>) and bilirubin. In the IR spectral range, absorption properties of skin dermis are defined by absorption of water. The scattering properties of the dermis are mainly defined by the fibrous structure of the tissue, where collagen fibrils are packed in collagen bundles and have lamellae structure. The light scatters on both single fibrils and scattering centers, which are formed by the interlacement of the collagen fibrils and bundles. The dermis consists of two structurally different layers, papillary and reticular, which differ principally by the size of collagen fibers and blood content. The small size of the collagen fibers in the papillary dermis (diameter of an order of magnitude less than visible light wavelength) makes this layer highly backscattering. Within the reticular dermis, the large size of collagen fiber bundles causes highly forward-directed scattering. Thus, any light which reaches this layer is passed on deeper into the skin and contributes to some extent to the spectrum reflected from the skin.<sup>166</sup> The blood volume fraction in the skin varies from  $0.2\%^{19}$  to  $\sim 4\%$ .<sup>167</sup> The volume fraction of water in the dermis is estimated as 70%,<sup>77</sup> 75%,<sup>168</sup> 65%,<sup>169</sup> and 65.1-75.8%,<sup>170</sup> which, on average, yields  $70.2 \pm 5.2\%$ . To sum up, the average scattering properties of the skin are defined by the scattering properties of the dermis because of relatively big thickness of the layer (up to 4 mm) and comparable scattering coefficients of the epidermis and the reticular dermis. Absorption of hemoglobin and water of skin dermis, and melanin and lipids of skin epidermis define absorption properties of the whole skin.

The *in vitro* and *ex vivo* skin tissues' optical properties have been measured with integrating sphere technique in the visible and NIR spectral ranges<sup>16,39,50,57,58,77,78,86,93,98,139,141,150,154,158</sup> and the results are summarized in Table 1.

# 8.2. Subcutaneous adipose tissue optical properties

The subcutaneous adipose tissue is formed by aggregation of fat cells (adipocytes) containing

stored fat (lipids) in the form of a number of small droplets for lean or normal humans and a few or even single big drop in each cell for obese humans; and the lipids are mostly presented by triglycerides.<sup>171,172</sup> The diameters of the adipocytes are in the ranges from 15  $\mu$ m to 250  $\mu$ m<sup>173</sup> and their mean diameter varied from 50  $\mu m^{171}$  to 120  $\mu m^{.172}$  In the spaces between the cells, there are blood capillaries (arterial and venous plexus), nerves, and reticular fibrils connecting each cell and providing metabolic activity of fat tissue.<sup>171,172</sup> Absorption of the human adipose tissue is defined by absorption of hemoglobin, lipids, and water  $(\sim 10.9 \pm 1.4\%)$ .<sup>174</sup> The main scatterers of adipose tissue are spherical droplets of lipids, which are uniformly distributed within adipocytes.

The adipose tissue optical properties have been measured with integrating sphere technique in the visible and NIR spectral ranges<sup>39,40,57,58</sup> and the results are summarized in Table 2.

# 8.3. Muscle optical properties

Muscle is one of the most abundant tissues in the human body and knowledge of its optical properties is very important for therapeutic and diagnostic applications. It is well understood that muscle is made up of individual components known as muscle fibers. These fibers are made from myofibrils, which are long cylinders of about  $1-2 \,\mu m$  diameter.<sup>175</sup> The term "muscle" refers to multiple bundles of muscle fibers held together by connective tissue. The gap among the myofibrils is full of sarcoplasma consisting of cellular organelles. Absorption of the muscle tissue is defined by absorption of hemoglobin and water  $(52.0 \pm 0.3\%^{174} \text{ or } 73 \pm 0.5\%^{176})$ . The optical properties of muscle tissue have been measured in the visible and NIR spectral ranges 58,93,152,177 and these data are summarized in Table 3.

# 9. Refractive Index Measurements

Measuring refractive indices in tissues and their constituent components is an important focus of interest in tissue optics because the index of refraction determines light reflection and refraction at the interfaces between air and tissue, detecting fiber and tissue, and tissue layers; it also strongly influences light propagation and distribution within tissues, defines the speed of light in tissue, and governs how the photons migrate.<sup>3,4,7-11,19,21,111,115,116</sup> Although these studies have a rather long history, the mean values of refractive indices for many tissues are missing in the literature. According to Ref. 11, most of them have refractive indices for visible light in the 1.335–1.620 range (e.g. 1.55 in the stratum corneum, 1.620 in enamel, and 1.386 at the lens surface). It is worthwhile noting that in vitro and in vivo measurements may differ significantly. For example, the refractive index in rat mesenteric tissue in vitro was found to be 1.52 compared with only 1.38 in the in vivo study. This difference can be accounted by the decreased refractivity of ground matter,  $n_0$ , due to impaired hydration. Indeed, the optical properties of tissues, including refractive indices, are known to depend on water content. The refractive indices of water over a broad wavelength range from 200 nm to 200  $\mu$ m have been reported in Ref. 178.

To model tissue by a mixture of water and a bioorganic compound of a tissue is more adequate. For instance, the refractive index of human skin can be approximated by a 70/30 mixture of water and protein.<sup>77</sup> Assuming that a protein has a constant refractive index value of 1.5 over the entire wavelength range, the authors of Ref. 77 have suggested the following expression for estimation of skin index of refraction:

$$n_{\rm skin}(\lambda) = 0.7(1.58 - 8.45 \times 10^{-4} \lambda + 1.1 \times 10^{-6} \lambda^2) - 7.19 \times 10^{-10} \lambda^3 + 2.32 \times 10^{-13} \lambda^4 - 2.98 \times 10^{-17} \lambda^5) + 0.3 \times 1.5,$$
(22)

where wavelength  $\lambda$  is in nanometers.

For different parts of a biological cell, values of refractive index in the NIR range can be estimated as follows: extracellular fluid, n = 1.35 - 1.36; cytoplasm, 1.360–1.375; cell membrane, 1.46; nucleus, 1.38–1.41; mitochondria and organelles, 1.38–1.41; and melanin, 1.6-1.7.<sup>11,146,147</sup> Scattering arises from mismatches in refractive index of the components that make up the cell. Organelles and subcomponents of organelles having refractive indices different from their surroundings are expected to be the primary sources of cellular scattering. In tissues where cells are surrounded by other cells or tissue structures of similar index, certain organelles become the important scatterers.<sup>9–11</sup> Mitochondria  $(0.5-1.5 \,\mu\text{m in di-}$ ameter), lysosomes  $(0.5 \,\mu m)$ , and peroxisomes  $(0.5 \,\mu\mathrm{m})$  are very important scatterers whose size, relative to the wavelength of light, suggests that they must make a significant contribution to backscattering. Granular melanin, traditionally thought of as an absorber, must be considered an important scatterer because of its size and high refractive index.<sup>32,41,179</sup> Structures consisting of membrane layers, such as the endoplasmic reticulum or Golgi apparatus, may prove significant because they contain index fluctuations of high spatial frequency and amplitude. Besides cell components, fibrous tissue structures such as collagen and elastin fibers must be considered as important scatterers.

Refractivity measurements in a number of strongly scattering tissues at 633 nm performed with a fiber-optic refractometer.<sup>180</sup> The method is based on a simple concept: that the cone of light originating from an optical fiber is dependent on the refractive indices of the cladding material, core material (quartz), and air into which the cone of light emerges. The cladding on a 1 mm-core-diameter optical fiber was stripped from the fiber, and the tissue for which the index is to be measured was substituted for the cladding. With the index for air  $(n_0)$  and the quartz fiber  $(n_a)$  known, along with the emitted angular light distribution  $(\theta)$  measured at the optical fiber's output, the following equation for the determination of tissue index of refraction (n) can be derived from the expression for the fiber numerical aperture  $(NA)^{180}$ :

$$n = (n_q^2 - [n_0 \sin \theta]^2)^{1/2}.$$
 (23)

Using this simple and sensitive technique, it was found that fatty tissue has the largest refractive index (1.455), followed by kidney (1.418), muscular tissue (1.41), and then blood and spleen (1.4).<sup>180</sup> The lowest refractive indices were found in lungs and liver (1.38 and 1.368, respectively).<sup>180</sup>

The principle of total internal reflection at laser beam irradiation is also used for tissue and blood refraction measurements.<sup>181,182</sup> A thin tissue sample is sandwiched between two right-angled prisms that are made of ZF5 glass with a high refractive index,  $n_0 = 1.70827$ , and angle,  $\alpha = 29°55'41.4''$ . For an incident laser beam polarized in the *S*-plane, for the determination of the mean refractive index of tissues, Eq. (24) is valid:<sup>181</sup>

$$n = \sin i_t \times \cos \alpha + \sin \alpha \times [n_0^2 - \sin^2 i_t]^{1/2} \quad (24)$$

where the incident angle of total reflectance  $i_t$  is a measurable parameter.

Measurements for fresh animal tissues and human blood at four laser wavelengths of 488 nm, 632.8 nm, 1079.5 nm, and 1341.4 nm and room temperature were presented in the form of Cauchy dispersion equation<sup>181</sup>

$$n(\lambda) = A + B\lambda^{-2} + C\lambda^{-4} \tag{25}$$

with  $\lambda$  in nanometers; values of the Cauchy coefficients are presented in Table 4.

Because the refractive index of tissue and blood components defines their scattering properties, measured scattering parameters may have an advantage to evaluate the refractive index of tissue and blood components and their mean values. For a monodisperse system of spherical scatterers, the reduced scattering coefficient can be described by the following expression

$$\mu'_{s} = N_{0}\pi a F(f_{s})Q_{s}(n_{s}, n_{0}, a, \lambda)(1-g), \qquad (26)$$

where  $N_0$  is the number of scatterers in a unit volume, a is their radius,  $F(f_s)$  is the function accounting for the density of particle packing,  $f_s$  is the volume fraction of scatterers,  $n_s$  is the refractive index of the scatterers,  $n_0$  is the refractive index of the ground material,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength, and  $Q_s$  and g are factors of scattering efficiency and anisotropy, which are calculated from Mie theory.<sup>116</sup>

Determination of the reduced scattering coefficient of a tissue sample using integrating sphere or spatially resolved techniques and corresponding algorithms for extraction of the scattering coefficient, such as IAD or IMC methods, the knowledge of the refractive indices of the scatterers and the ground material at one of the wavelengths, as well as experimental or theoretical estimations for mean radius of the scatterers, allows one to solve the inverse problem and reconstruct the spectral dependence of the refractive index of the scatterers

Table 4. Values of Cauchy coefficients of dispersion in Eq. (25).  $^{181}$ 

| Tissue sample  | A  | $B 	imes 10^{-3}$   | $C 	imes 10^{-9}$   |
|--|--|---|---|
| Porcine $\operatorname{muscle}_{\parallel}$<br>Porcine $\operatorname{muscle}_{\perp}$ | $1.3694 \\ 1.3657$                         | 0.073223<br>1.5123  | 1.8317<br>1.5291  |
| Porcine adipose<br>Ovine $muscle_{\parallel}$<br>Ovine $muscle_{\perp}$                | $     1.4753 \\     1.3716 \\     1.3682 $ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.3902 \\ 5.8677 \\ 8.7456 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.92385 \\ 0.43999 \\ -0.16532 \end{array}$ |

for a given spectral dependence of the refractive index of the ground material. Similar measurements and theoretical estimations done for a tissue sample before and after its prolonged bathing in saline or other biocompatible liquid with known optical characteristics allow one to evaluate the spectral dependencies of the refractive index of the scatterers and the ground material.<sup>183,184</sup>

Let us consider a few examples. The major scatterers in human sclera are long collagen fibers with a wide range of diameters and a mean value of 100 nm.<sup>11</sup> Fibers are arranged quasi-randomly in the bundles.<sup>11,185</sup> Due to the characteristic structure sizing and multiple crossings of bundles, this system can be approximated by a monodisperse system of spherical scatterers with similar spectral properties. In that case, the Mie-equivalent scatterer radius is equal to 250 nm.<sup>183</sup> Using experimental spectral dependence for the reduced scattering coefficient and accounting for a scleral sample that has been placed into a physiological solution for a long time, the interstitial fluid was therefore replaced by a physiological solution whose refractive index is close to water, and the spectral dependence for refractive index of the scatterers was reconstructed. The following approximated formula for the refractive index of the material of effective scatterers of scleral tissue valid within the spectral range from 400 nm to 800 nm was obtained as a final result of the reconstruction<sup>183</sup>:

$$n_c(\lambda) = 1.4389 + 1.588 \times 10^4 \lambda^{-2} - 1.4806$$
$$\times 10^9 \lambda^{-4} + 4.3917 \times 10^{13} \lambda^{-6}, \qquad (27)$$

where  $\lambda$  is in nanometers.

In fact, this dispersion relation should be close to the spectral dependence of the index of refraction of hydrated collagen because 75% of sclera's dry weight is due to collagen. The estimated value of the refractive index of normally hydrated scleral collagen (68% of hydration for a whole tissue) of n = 1.474, corresponding to direct refraction measurements for whole sclera at a wavelength of 589 nm, is well fitted to the value calculated from this semiempirical relation.<sup>11</sup>

The similar analysis of experimental data of the scattering properties of normal and immersed rat skin in the spectral range from 400 nm to 700 nm allows one to reconstruct spectral dependences of both refractive indices for material of effective scatterers  $n_{ss}(\lambda)$  and ground (interstitial liquid)

material  $n_{si}(\lambda)$  as<sup>184</sup>

$$n_{ss}(\lambda) = 1.4776 - 1.7488 \times 10^4 \lambda^{-2} + 6.727 \\ \times 10^9 \lambda^{-4} - 3.339 \times 10^{14} \lambda^{-6}$$
(28)

$$n_{si}(\lambda) = 1.351 + 2.1342 \times 10^{3} \lambda^{-2} + 5.7893 \\ \times 10^{8} \lambda^{-4} - 8.1548 \times 10^{13} \lambda^{-6}$$
(29)

Using the law of Gladstone and Dale (which states that resulting value of refractive index of mixed substance represents as average of the refractive indices of the components related to their volume fraction, i.e.,  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i f_i$ ;  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i = 1$ , where  $n_i$ and  $f_i$  are the refractive index and volume fraction of the individual components, respectively, and Nis the number of the components<sup>142</sup>), and using these expressions, one can derive the dispersion formula for a whole skin as<sup>184</sup>

$$n_{\rm skin}(\lambda) = 1.309 - 4.346 \times 10^2 \lambda^{-2} + 1.6065$$
$$\times 10^9 \lambda^{-4} - 1.2811 \times 10^{14} \lambda^{-6}. \tag{30}$$

This is a more precise formula for describing the refractive index of skin than Eq. (22), which was obtained from the simplest suppositions for a skin model as a mixture of water and proteins with a constant refractive index.

For tissue optics, this is of great importance to know the dispersion properties of melanin, which is present in skin, hairs, eye sclera, and iris, and other tissues. Melanin granules are the major backreflecting particles in optical coherence tomography (OCT) and small-scale spatially resolved spectroscopy of skin. The above-described spectroscopic studies of water suspensions of natural melanin, where the mean radius of particles was determined using electronic microscopy, allow us to solve the inverse problem and to reconstruct the wavelength dependence of the refractive index of melanin particles in the range from 350 nm to 800 nm as<sup>179</sup>

$$n_{\rm mel} = 1.684 - 1.8723 \times 10^4 \lambda^{-2} + 1.0964 \\ \times 10^{10} \lambda^{-4} - 8.6484 \times 10^{14} \lambda^{-6}$$
(31)

The OCT is a newly developed modality that allows one to evaluate the scattering and absorption properties of tissue *in vivo* within the limits of an OCT penetration depth of 1-3 mm. The principles and applications of OCT are described in detail in Refs. 11, 186–191. In its simplest form, this method assumes that backscattered light from a tissue

$$I_b \cong I_0 \exp[-2(\mu_a + \mu_s)z] \tag{32}$$

where  $(\mu_a + \mu_s)$  is the total attenuation coefficient and 2z is the round-trip distance of light backscattered at a depth z. For most tissues in the NIR,  $\mu_a \ll \mu_s$ ; thus,  $\mu_s$  can be estimated as

$$\mu_s \approx \frac{1}{2z(\ln[I_b(z)/I_0])} \tag{33}$$

or as the slope of a graph  $\ln[I_b(z)/I_0]$  versus z. Experimental data for tissue OCT images show that the logarithmically scaled average for multiple indepth scans' backscattered intensity,  $\ln(I_b(z))$ , decays exponentially; thus, by performing a linear regression on this curve, the scattering coefficient can be determined. More comprehensive algorithms pertaining to multiple scattering effects and properties of a small-angle scattering phase function are available in the literature.<sup>192-195</sup>

The OCT dynamic and spatially confined measurements of refractive index and scattering coefficients of tissue and blood are very important for the monitoring of physiological changes in living tissues. The OCT provides simple and straightforward measurements of the index of refraction both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.<sup>193,194,196–199</sup> The in-depth scale of OCT images is determined by the optical path length  $\Delta z_{opt}$  between two points along the depth direction. Because a broadband light source is used, the optical path length is proportional to the group refractive index  $n_g$  and geometrical path length  $\Delta z$  as

$$\Delta z_{\rm opt} = n_g \Delta z. \tag{34}$$

Usually,  $n_g \cong n$ . This simple relation is valid for a homogeneous medium and can be used in *in vitro* studies when geometrical thickness of a tissue sample  $\Delta z$  is known.

Sometimes both the refractive index and thickness of a tissue sample should be measured simultaneously. In that case, a two-step procedure can be applied.<sup>197,198</sup> First, a stationary mirror is placed in the sample arm of an interferometer to get the geometric position of the mirror supposing that the group refractive index of air is 1 ( $z_1$ ). Then, a tissue sample with unknown index  $n_g$  and thickness dshould be placed before the mirror in the sample arm. Two peaks from the anterior ( $z_2$ ) and the posterior  $(z_3)$  surfaces of the sample will appear with the distance between them equal to a sample optical thickness [see Eq. (34)], and the position of the mirror  $(z_4)$  will be shifted by  $(n_g - 1)d$  due to the sample whose group refractive index is greater than that of air. Thus, the calculation of the geometrical thickness and the group refractive index proceeds as follows:

$$d = (z_3 - z_2) - (z_4 - z_1), \quad n_g = \frac{z_3 - z_2}{d}.$$
 (35)

For *in vivo* measurements of the index of refraction, a focus-tracking method that uses the OCT to track the focal-length shift that results from translating the focus of an objective along the optical axis within a tissue was introduced<sup>198</sup> and further developed.<sup>193,194,199</sup> For the refractive index evaluation, the coincidence of the maxima of the interference pattern and spatial focus, registered as a signal maximum, is needed. At least two points along the depth direction have to be probed to estimate a mean value of the refractive index between them. Usually, a multistep measurement is provided. The geometric average refractive index for a fiber/lens focus tracking system is defined by the following expression<sup>193,194</sup>

$$\bar{n} = \sqrt{n_g n} = \frac{n_{\rm obj}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta z_{L1}}{\Delta z_{\rm fiber}}}} \tag{36}$$

where  $n_{\rm obj}$  is the refractive index of the objective in the sample arm,  $\Delta z_{L1}$  is the change of position of the first objective lens, and  $\Delta z_{\rm fiber}$  is the fiber tip position in the sample arm. The difference between both refractive indices is usually small, only a few percent, and can be ignored in practice. For a piecewise homogeneous medium along the depth direction, the slope  $\Delta z_{L1}/\Delta z_{\rm fiber}$  has to be evaluated at the focus tracked condition.

A bifocal optical coherence refractometer, which is based on the measurements of the optical path length difference between two foci simultaneously, was recently suggested.<sup>200,201</sup> The main advantage of this technique is that it avoids the need to physically relocate the objective lens or the sample during an axial scan. At employment of a relatively low NA objective lens in the sample arm, the ratio of the optical path length difference between two foci, measured in the medium,  $\Delta z_{f-opt}$ , and in air,

#### 28 A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina & V. V. Tuchin

 $\Delta z_f$ , is described by the expression<sup>200</sup>

$$\frac{\Delta z_{f\text{-opt}}}{\Delta z_f} \approx n_g n \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} (NA)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right].$$
(37)

For a typical value of tissue index of refraction n = 1.4 and NA = 0.2, the second term in the square parentheses is of only 1% of the magnitude of the ratio. Accounting for this estimation and that

 $n_g\cong n,$  a much simpler relation, as used in Ref. 193, can be found as

$$\Delta z_{f\text{-opt}} \approx n^2 \Delta z_f. \tag{38}$$

Results of *in vitro* and *in vivo* measurements of phase and group refractive indices of skin and subcutaneous tissues using the technique discussed and some other techniques are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Experimental values of phase or group refractive indices of skin and subcutaneous tissues measured *in vitro* and *in vivo* (RMS values are given in parentheses).<sup>3,55,150,180-182,193,194,198,200,201,209</sup>

| Tissue   | $\lambda$ , nm | $n,n_g$           | Remarks   |
|--|----------------|-------------------|---|
| Human subcutaneous fat                                     | 456 - 1,064    | 1.44              | Ref. 55   |
| Porcine adipose  | 632.8          | 1.4699(0.0003)    | Ref. 205, total internal reflection   |
| Porcine adipose  | 632.8          | 1.464             | Ref. 206, total internal reflection, 12 samples   |
| Porcine adipose  | 650            | 1.382(0.006)      | Ref. 209, total internal reflection   |
| Bovine adipose   | 650            | 1.396(0.004)      | Ref. 209, total internal reflection   |
| Chicken fat  | 1,310          | 1.450(0.014)      | Ref. 207, OCT   |
| Porcine muscle   | 632.8          | 1.381             | Ref. 206, total internal reflection, 12 samples;<br>tissue striations-oriented parallel to<br>interface with cap-glass      |
| Porcine muscle   | 632.8          | 1.410             | Ref. 206, total internal reflection, 12 samples;<br>tissue striations-oriented perpendicular to<br>interface with cap-glass |
| Chicken muscle   | 1,310          | 1.399(0.013)      | Ref. 207, OCT   |
| Human muscle   | 456 - 1,064    | 1.37              | Ref. 55   |
| Canine muscle  | 633            | 1.400(0.006)      | Ref. 180  |
| Bovine muscle  | 633            | $1.412 \ (0.006)$ | Ref. 180  |
| Bovine muscle  | 592            | 1.382(0.004)      | Ref. 208, confocal microscopy   |
| Bovine $\text{muscle}_{\parallel}$                         | 650            | $1.377 \ (0.003)$ | Ref. 209 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
| Bovine $muscle_{\perp}$                                    | 650            | 1.414(0.003)      | Ref. 209 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
| Ovine muscle <sub>  </sub>                                 | 488            | 1.404(0.003)      | Ref. 181 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
|  | 632.8          | 1.389(0.002)      |   |
|  | 1079.5         | 1.378(0.004)      |   |
|  | 1341.4         | 1.375(0.003)      |   |
| $\text{Ovine muscle}_{\perp}$                              | 488            | 1.402(0.002)      | Ref. 181 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
|  | 632.8          | 1.389(0.002)      |   |
|  | 1079.5         | 1.375(0.003)      |   |
|  | 1341.4         | $1.373\ (0.003)$  |   |
| $\operatorname{Porcine} \operatorname{muscle}_{\parallel}$ | 488            | 1.402(0.002)      | Ref. 181 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
|  | 632.8          | $1.381 \ (0.002)$ |   |
|  | 1079.5         | 1.372(0.003)      |   |
|  | 1341.4         | 1.370(0.003)      |   |
| Porcine $\operatorname{muscle}_{\perp}$                    | 488            | 1.399(0.002)      | Ref. 181 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
|  | 632.8          | 1.379(0.002)      |   |
|  | 1079.5         | 1.370(0.002)      |   |
|  | 1341.4         | $1.367 \ (0.003)$ |   |
| $\operatorname{Porcine} \operatorname{muscle}_{\parallel}$ | 632.8          | $1.380\ (0.007)$  | Ref. 182 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
| Porcine $muscle_{\perp}$                                   | 632.8          | 1.460(0.008)      | Ref. 182 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
| Porcine muscle <sub>  </sub>                               | 650            | $1.387 \ (0.005)$ | Ref. 209 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
| Porcine $muscle_{\perp}$                                   | 650            | 1.418(0.003)      | Ref. 209 <sup>a</sup> , total internal reflection   |
| Stratum corneum of human skin                              | 400 - 700      | 1.55              | Ref. 3  |
| Stratum corneum of human skin                              | 1,300          | 1.51 (0.02)       | Ref. 198, OCT, optical path length<br>measurements  |

Table 5. (Continued)

| Tissue   | $\lambda$ , nm | $n,n_g$                        | Remarks  |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Stratum corneum of human skin<br>(palm of hand)              | 1,300          | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.47 \ (0.01)$ | Ref. 193, OCT  |
| Stratum corneum of human skin<br>(dorsal surface of a thumb) | 980            | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.50 \ (0.02)$ | Ref. 201, bifocal OCT refractometer  |
| Stratum corneum/epidermis                                    | 980            | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.34$          | Ref. 200, bifocal OCT refractometer  |
| Epidermis of human skin (palm of<br>hand granular layer)     | 1,300          | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.43 \ (0.02)$ | Ref. 193, OCT  |
| Epidermis of human skin (palm of hand basal laver)           | 1,300          | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.34~(0.02)$   | Ref. 193, OCT  |
| Epidermis of human skin (volar side<br>of lower arm)         | 1,300          | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.36 \ (0.01)$ | Ref. 193, OCT  |
| Epidermis of human skin                                      | 1,300          | 1.34(0.01)                     | Ref. 198, OCT, optical path length<br>measurements   |
| Dermis of human skin   | 1,300          | $n_g = 1.41 \ (0.03)$          | Ref. 198, OCT, optical path length<br>measurements   |
| Dermis of human skin   | 1,300          | $n_g = 1.4 \ (0.007)$          | Ref. 198, OCT, optical path length<br>measurements   |
| Upper dermis of human skin<br>(palm of hand)                 | 1,300          | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.41 \ (0.03)$ | Ref. 193, OCT  |
| Upper dermis of human skin<br>(volar side of lower arm)      | 1,300          | $(nn_g)^{1/2} = 1.43~(0.02)$   | Ref. 193, OCT  |
| Pig skin   | 1.300          | $(nn)^{1/2} - 1.415$           | Ref. 194. OCT  |
| Pot glin   | 456 1 064      | $(nn_g) = 1.410$               | Dof 55   |
| Mouse skin   | 450 - 1,004    | 1.42                           | Ref. 55  |
| Poreine drin (dermic)  | 450-1,004      | 1.40                           | Ref. 150 202 prior lagor refrectometer   |
| r orcine skin (derinis)                                      | 323            | 1.393                          | Reis. 150, 202, prisin laser refractometer   |
|  | 442<br>520     | 1.370                          |  |
|  | 002<br>622     | 1.559                          |  |
|  | 055            | 1.304                          |  |
|  | 850            | 1.364                          |  |
|  | 1,064          | 1.360                          |  |
|  | 1,310          | 1.357                          |  |
|  | 1,557          | 1.361                          |  |
| Human skin epidermis   | 325            | 1.489(S) 1.486(P)              | Ref. 203, prism laser refractometer with an<br>incident beam of S- or P-polarization                 |
|  | 442            | 1.449~(S)~1.447~(P)            |  |
|  | 532            | 1.448~(S)~1.446~(P)            |  |
|  | 633            | 1.433 (S) 1.433 (P)            |  |
|  | 850            | 1.417 (S) 1.416 (P)            |  |
|  | 1,064          | 1.432~(S)~1.428~(P)            |  |
|  | 1,310          | 1.425 (S) 1.421 (P)            |  |
|  | 1,557          | 1.404~(S)~1.400~(P)            |  |
| Human skin epidermis   | 1,300          | $1.3884 \ (0.0013)$            | Ref. 204, forearm of 20 Caucasian volunteers,<br>OCT   |
| Human skin dermis  | 325            | 1.401 (S) 1.403 (P)            | Ref. 203, prism laser refractometer with an<br>incident beam of <i>S</i> - or <i>P</i> -polarization |
|  | 442            | 1.4395~(S)~1.400~(P)           |  |
|  | 532            | 1.378~(S)~1.381~(P)            |  |
|  | 633            | 1.396~(S)~1.393~(P)            |  |
|  | 850            | 1.384~(S)~1.389~(P)            |  |
|  | 1,064          | 1.375~(S)~1.385~(P)            |  |
|  | $1,\!310$      | 1.358~(S)~1.364~(P)            |  |
|  | 1,557          | 1.363~(S)~1.367~(P)            |  |

*Note*: <sup>a</sup>Tissue sample labeled as  $\parallel$  and  $\perp$  are the same sample with the tissue fibers oriented in parallel and perpendicular to the interface, respectively.

## 10. Summary

We believe that this overview of tissue optical properties will provide users a possibility to predict optical properties of tissues under their interest and evaluate light distribution in the organ under examination or treatment. We have tried to collect as complete as possible data on optical properties of skin and subcutaneous tissues and presented some of these data in the form of approximation formulas as a function of the wavelength for easy use. In spite of this and availability of other reviews, 1-7,10,11,55evidently, the data collection and measurements should be continued in order to have more complete and precise information about different tissues in norm and pathology, to recognize age-related, disease-related, and treatment-related changes of optical properties. Data on blood optical properties can be found in the following Refs. 10-12, 54-56, 67-70, 72, 74, 75, 113, 128, 130, 131, 134, 181.

# Acknowledgments

The research described in this paper has been made possible by grants: 224014 Photonics4life-FP7-ICT-2007-2; RFBR-08-02-92224-NNSF\_a (RF-P.R. of China); and Governmental contracts 02.740.11.0484, 02.740.11.0770, and 02.740.11.0879.

#### References

- G. J. Mueller, D. H. Sliney (eds.), Dosimetry of Laser Radiation in Medicine and Biology, IS5, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (1989).
- W.-F. Cheong, S. A. Prahl, A. J. Welch, "A review of the optical properties of biological tissues," *IEEE J. Quantum Electr.* 26, 2166-2185 (1990).
- 3. F. A. Duck, *Physical Properties of Tissue:* A Comprehensive Reference Book, Academic Press, London (1990).
- A. J. Welch, M. C. J. van Gemert (eds.), *Tissue Optics*, Academic Press, New York (1992).
- P. van der Zee, "Methods for measuring the optical properties of tissue samples in the visible and nearinfrared wavelength range," in *Medical Optical Tomography: Functional Imaging and Monitoring*, G. Mueller, B. Chance, R. Alfano, *et al.* (eds.), pp. 166-192, SPIE Press, Institute Series, Vol. 11, Bellingham, WA (1993).
- G. Müller, A. Roggan (eds.), Laser-Induced Interstitial Thermotherapy, PM25, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (1995).

- M. H. Niemz, Laser-Tissue Interactions. Fundamentals and Applications, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2007).
- V. V. Tuchin, "Light scattering study of tissues," *Phys. — Uspekhi* 40, 495–515 (1997).
- V. V. Tuchin (ed.), Handbook of Optical Biomedical Diagnostics, PM107, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (2002).
- T. Vo-Dinh (ed.), *Biomedical Photonics Handbook*, CRC Press, Boca Raton (2003).
- V. V. Tuchin, Tissue Optics: Light Scattering Methods and Instruments for Medical Diagnosis, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (2007).
- V. V. Tuchin, "Optical spectroscopy of biological materials," in *Encyclopedia of Applied Spec*troscopy, D. L. Andrews (ed.), pp. 555–626, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim (2009).
- A. Roggan, D. Schadel, U. Netz, J.-P. Ritz, C. T. Germer, G. Müller, "The effect of preparation technique on the optical parameters of biological tissue," *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.* 69, 445–453 (1999).
- E. A. Genina, A. N. Bashkatov, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Effect of storage conditions of skin samples on their optical characteristics," *Opt. Spectrosc.* **107**, 934–938 (2009).
- E. Chan, T. Menovsky, A. J. Welch, "Effects of cryogenic grinding on soft-tissue optical properties," *Appl. Opt.* 35, 4526-4532 (1996).
- E. K. Chan, B. Sorg, D. Protsenko, M. O'Neil, M. Motamedi, A. J. Welch, "Effects of compression on soft tissue optical properties," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* 2, 943–950 (1996).
- I. F. Cilesiz, A. J. Welch, "Light dosimetry: Effects of dehydration and thermal damage on the optical properties of the human aorta," *Appl. Opt.* 32, 477–487 (1993).
- D. Zhu, Q. Luo, J. Cen, "Effects of dehydration on the optical properties of *in vitro* porcine liver," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 33, 226–231 (2003).
- S. L. Jacques, "Origins of tissue optical properties in the UVA, visible and NIR regions," in Advances in Optical Imaging and Photon Migration, R. R. Alfano, J. G. Fujimoto (eds.), pp. 364-371, OSA TOPS: Optical Society of America, Vol. 2, Washington, DC (1996).
- A. Ishimaru, Wave Propagation and Scattering in Random Media, IEEE Press, New York (1997).
- M. I. Mishchenko, L. D. Travis, A. A. Lacis, Scattering, Absorption, and Emission of Light by Small Particles, Cambridge University Press (2002).
- 22. C. Chandrasekhar, *Radiative Transfer*, Dover, Toronto, Ontario (1960).

- G. Yoon, A. J. Welch, M. Motamedi, C. J. Martinus, M. J. C. van Gemert, "Development and application of three-dimensional light distribution model for laser irradiated tissue," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* 23, 1721–1733 (1987).
- M. Chandra, K. Vishwanath, G. D. Fichter, E. Liao, S. J. Hollister, M.-A. Mycek, "Quantitative molecular sensing in biological tissues: An approach to non-invasive optical characterization," *Opt. Exp.* 14, 6157-6171 (2006).
- Y.-C. Chen, J. L. Ferracane, S. A. Prahl, "A pilot study of a simple photon migration model for predicting depth of cure in dental composite," *Dent. Mater.* 21, 1075–1086 (2005).
- 27. G. de Vries, J. F. Beek, G. W. Lucassen, M. J. C. van Gemert, "The effect of light losses in double integrating spheres on optical properties estimation," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* 5, 944–947 (1999).
- S. C. Gebhart, W.-C. Lin, A. Mahadevan-Jansen, "In vitro determination of normal and neoplastic human brain tissue optical properties using inverse adding-doubling," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 51, 2011–2027 (2006).
- J. W. Pickering, S. A. Prahl, N. van Wieringen, J. F. Beek, H. J. C. M. Sterenborg, M. J. C. van Gemert, "Double-integrating-sphere system for measuring the optical properties of tissue," *Appl. Opt.* 32, 399-410 (1993).
- S. A. Prahl, M. J. C. van Gemert, A. J. Welch, "Determining the optical properties of turbid media by using the adding-doubling method," *Appl. Opt.* 32, 559-568 (1993).
- J. Qu, C. MacAulay, S. Lam, B. Palcic, "Optical properties of normal and carcinomatous bronchial tissue," *Appl. Opt.* 33, 7397-7405 (1994).
- D. K. Sardar, M. L. Mayo, R. D. Glickman, "Optical characterization of melanin," J. Biomed. Opt. 6, 404-411 (2001).
- D. K. Sardar, F. S. Salinas, J. J. Perez, A. T. C. Tsin, "Optical characterization of bovine retinal tissues," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 9, 624–631 (2004).
- 34. D. K. Sardar, R. M. Yow, A. T. C. Tsin, R. Sardar, "Optical scattering, absorption, and polarization of healthy and neovascularized human retinal tissues," J. Biomed. Opt. 10, 051501 (2005).
- D. K. Sardar, G.-Y. Swanland, R. M. Yow, R. J. Thomas, A. T. C. Tsin, "Optical properties of ocular tissues in the near infrared region," *Lasers Med. Sci.* 22, 46–52 (2007).
- H.-J. Wei, D. Xing, J.-J. Lu, H.-M. Gu, G.-Y. Wu, Y. Jin, "Determination of optical properties of normal and adenomatous human colon tissues

in vitro using integrating sphere techniques," World J. Gastroenterology **11**, 2413-2419 (2005).

- D. Zhu, W. Lu, S. Zeng, Q. Luo, "Effect of losses of sample between two integrating spheres on optical properties estimation," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 12, 064004 (2007).
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, E. E. Chikina, A. B. Knyazev, O. V. Mareev, "Optical properties of mucous membrane in the spectral range 350–2000 nm," *Opt. Spec*trosc. 97, 978–983 (2004).
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical properties of human skin, subcutaneous and mucous tissues in the wavelength range from 400 to 2000 nm," *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 38, 2543-2555 (2005).
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical properties of the subcutaneous adipose tissue in the spectral range 400-2500 nm," *Opt. Spectrosc.* **99**, 836-842 (2005).
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Estimate of the melanin content in human hairs by the inverse Monte Carlo method using a system for digital image analysis," *Quan*tum Electron. 36, 1111–1118 (2006).
- 42. A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical properties of human cranial bone in the spectral range from 800 to 2000 nm," *Proc. SPIE* 6163, 616310 (2006).
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, A. A. Gavrilova, S. V. Kapralov, V. A. Grishaev, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical properties of human stomach mucosa in the spectral range from 400 to 2000 nm: Prognosis for gastroenterology," *Med. Laser Appl.* 22, 95–104 (2007).
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical properties of human sclera in spectral range 370–2500 nm," *Opt. Spectrosc.* 109, 197–204 (2010).
- J. S. Dam, T. Dalgaard, P. E. Fabricius, S. Andersson-Engels, "Multiple polynomial regression method for determination of biomedical optical properties from integrating sphere measurements," *Appl. Opt.* **39**, 1202–1209 (2000).
- R. Graaff, M. H. Koelink, F. F. M. de Mul, W. G. Zijlstra, A. C. M. Dassel, J. G. Aarnoudse, "Condensed Monte Carlo simulations for the description of light transport," *Appl. Opt.* 32, 426–434 (1993).
- M. Hammer, A. Roggan, D. Schweitzer, G. Müller, "Optical properties of ocular fundus tissues — an *in vitro* study using the double-integrating-sphere technique and inverse Monte Carlo simulation," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 40, 963–978 (1995).

- 32 A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina & V. V. Tuchin
- C. K. Hayakawa, J. Spanier, F. Bevilacqua, A. K. Dunn, J. S. You, B. J. Tromberg, V. Venugopalan, "Perturbation Monte Carlo methods to solve inverse photon migration problems in heterogeneous tissues," *Opt. Lett.* 26(17), 1335–1337 (2001).
- C. J. Hourdakis, A. Perris, "A Monte Carlo estimation of tissue optical properties for use in laser dosimetry," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 40, 351–364 (1995).
- R. Marchesini, C. Clemente, E. Pignoli, M. Brambilla, "Optical properties of *in vitro* epidermis and their possible relationship with optical properties of *in vivo* skin," J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 16, 127-140 (1992).
- G. M. Palmer, N. Ramanujam, "Monte Carlo-based inverse model for calculating tissue optical properties. Part I: Theory and validation on synthetic phantoms," *Appl. Opt.* 45, 1062–1071 (2006).
- G. M. Palmer, N. Ramanujam, "Monte Carlobased inverse model for calculating tissue optical properties. Part II: Application to breast cancer diagnosis," *Appl. Opt.* 45, 1072–1078 (2006).
- 53. P. M. Ripley, J. G. Laufer, A. D. Gordon, R. J. Connell, S. G. Bown, "Near-infrared optical properties of *ex vivo* human uterus determined by the Monte Carlo inversion technique," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 44, 2451-2462 (1999).
- A. Roggan, O. Minet, C. Schroder, G. Müller, "The determination of optical tissue properties with double integrating sphere technique and Monte Carlo simulations," *Proc. SPIE* **2100**, 42–56 (1994).
- 55. A. Roggan, K. Dörschel, O. Minet *et al.*, "The optical properties of biological tissue in the near infrared wavelength range Review and measurements," in *Laser-Induced Interstitial Thermotherapy*, PM25, G. Müller, A. Roggan (eds.), pp. 10–44, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (1995).
- A. Roggan, M. Friebel, K. Dorschel, A. Hahn, G. Müller, "Optical properties of circulating human blood in the wavelength range 400-2500 nm," J. Biomed. Opt. 4, 36-46 (1999).
- 57. E. Salomatina, B. Jiang, J. Novak, A. N. Yaroslavsky, "Optical properties of normal and cancerous human skin in the visible and nearinfrared spectral range," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **11**, 064026 (2006).
- C. R. Simpson, M. Kohl, M. Essenpreis, M. Cope, "Near-infrared optical properties of *ex vivo* human skin and subcutaneous tissues measured using the Monte Carlo inversion technique," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 43, 2465–2478 (1998).
- 59. L. Wang, S. L. Jacques, L. Zheng, "MCML Monte Carlo modeling of light transport in multi-layered

tissues," Comp. Methods Progr. Biomed. **47**, 131–146 (1995).

- I. V. Yaroslavsky, A. N. Yaroslavsky, T. Goldbach, H.-J. Schwarzmaier, "Inverse hybrid technique for determining the optical properties of turbid media from integrating-sphere measurements," *Appl. Opt.* 35, 6797-6809 (1996).
- A. N. Yaroslavsky, P. C. Schulze, I. V. Yaroslavsky, R. Schober, F. Ulrich, H.-J. Schwarzmaier, "Optical properties of selected native and coagulated human brain tissues *in vitro* in the visible and near-infrared spectral range," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 47, 2059–2073 (2002).
- B. Nemati, H. G. Rylander III, A. J. Welch, "Optical properties of conjunctiva, sclera, and the ciliary body and their consequences for trans-scleral cyclophotocoagulation," *Appl. Opt.* 35, 3321–3327 (1996).
- D. W. Ebert, C. Roberts, S. K. Farrar, W. M. Johnston, A. S. Litsky, A. L. Bertone, "Articular cartilage optical properties in the spectral range 300-850 nm," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 3, 326-333 (1998).
- S. K. Farrar, C. Roberts, W. M. Johnston, P. A. Weber, "Optical properties of human trabecular meshwork in the visible and near-infrared region," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 25, 348-362 (1999).
- A. Dimofte, J. C. Finlay, T. C. Zhu, "A method for determination of the absorption and scattering properties interstitially in turbid media," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 50, 2291–2311 (2005).
- 66. J. W. Pickering, S. Bosman, P. Posthumus, P. Blokland, J. F. Beek, M. J. C. van Gemert, "Changes in the optical properties (at 632.8 nm) of slowly heated myocardium," *Appl. Opt.* **32**, 367–371 (1993).
- 67. M. Friebel, A. Roggan, G. Müller, M. Meinke, "Determination of optical properties of human blood in the spectral range 250 to 1100 nm using Monte Carlo simulations with hematocritdependent effective scattering phase functions," J. Biomed. Opt. 11, 034021 (2006).
- M. Friebel, J. Helfmann, U. Netz, M. Meinke, "Influence of oxygen saturation on the optical scattering properties of human red blood cells in the spectral range 250 to 2000 nm," J. Biomed. Opt. 14, 034001 (2009).
- M. Meinke, G. Müller, J. Helfmann, M. Friebel, "Empirical model functions to calculate hematocrit-dependent optical properties of human blood," *Appl. Opt.* 46, 1742–1753 (2007).
- M. Meinke, G. Müller, J. Helfmann, M. Friebel, "Optical properties of platelets and blood plasma and their influence on the optical behavior of whole blood in the visible to near-infrared wavelength range," J. Biomed. Opt. 12, 014024 (2007).

- P. van der Zee, M. Essenpreis, D. T. Delpy, "Optical properties of brain tissue," *Proc. SPIE* 1888, 454-465 (1993).
- A. N. Yaroslavsky, I. V. Yaroslavsky, T. Goldbach, H.-J. Schwarzmaier, "The optical properties of blood in the near-infrared spectral range," *Proc.* SPIE 2678, 314–324 (1996).
- D. Spitzer, J. J. Ten Bosch, "The absorption and scattering of light in bovine and human dental enamel," *Calc. Tiss. Res.* 17, 129–137 (1975).
- A. N. Yaroslavsky, A. V. Priezzhev, J. Rodriguez, I. V. Yaroslavsky, H. Battarbee, "Optics of blood," Chap. 2 in *Handbook of Optical Biomedical Diagnostics*, PM107, V. V. Tuchin (ed.), pp. 169–216, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (2002).
- A. M. K. Nilsson, G. W. Lucassen, W. Verkruysse, S. Andersson-Engels, M. J. C. van Gemert, "Changes in optical properties of human whole blood *in vitro* due to slow heating," *Photochem. Photobiol.* 65, 366-373 (1997).
- A. N. Yaroslavsky, A. Vervoorts, A. V. Priezzhev, I. V. Yaroslavsky, J. G. Moser, H.-J. Schwarzmaier, "Can tumor cell suspension serve as an optical model of tumor tissue *in situ*?," *Proc. SPIE* 3565, 165–173 (1999).
- T. L. Troy, S. N. Thennadil, "Optical properties of human skin in the near-infrared wavelength range of 1000 to 2200 nm," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 6, 167–176 (2001).
- S. A. Prahl, Light Transport in Tissue, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Texas, Austin (1988).
- D. Zhu, J. Wang, Z. Zhi, X. Wen, Q. Luo, "Imaging dermal blood flow through the intact rat skin with an optical clearing method," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 15, 026008 (2010).
- C. Liu, Z. Zhi, V. V. Tuchin, Q. Luo, D. Zhu, "Enhancement of skin optical clearing efficacy using photo-irradiation," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 42, 132-140 (2010).
- X. Wen, Z. Mao, Z. Han, V. V. Tuchin, D. Zhu, *"In vivo* skin optical clearing by glycerol solutions: Mechanism," *J. Biophotonics* 3, 44–52 (2010).
- X. Wen, V. V. Tuchin, Q. Luo, D. Zhu, "Controlling of scattering of intralipid by using optical clearing agents," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 54, 6917-6930 (2009).
- Z. Zhi, Z. Han, Q. Luo, D. Zhu, "Improve optical clearing of skin *in vitro* with propylene glycol as a penetration enhancer," *J. Innovative Opt. Health Sci.* 2, 269–278 (2009).
- A. N. Yaroslavsky, I. V. Yaroslavsky, H.-J. Schwarzmaier, "Small-angle approximation to determine radiance distribution of a finite beam propagating through turbid medium," *Proc. SPIE* 3195, 110–120 (1998).

- P. Kubelka, F. Munk, "Ein beitrag zur optik der farbanstriche," Z. Tech. Phys. 12, 593-601 (1931).
- M. J. C. van Gemert, S. L. Jacques, H. J. C. M. Sterenborg, W. M. Star, "Skin optics," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 36, 1146-1154 (1989).
- R. R. Anderson, J. A. Parrish, "The optics of human skin," J. Invest. Dermatol. 77, 13–19 (1981).
- 88. P. R. Bargo, S. A. Prahl, T. T. Goodell, R. A. Sleven, G. Koval, G. Blair, S. L. Jacques, "In vivo determination of optical properties of normal and tumor tissue with white light reflectance and an empirical light transport model during endoscopy," J. Biomed. Opt. 10, 034018 (2005).
- C. K. Hayakawa, B. Y. Hill, J. S. You, F. Bevilacqua, J. Spanier, V. Venugopalan, "Use of the δ-P<sub>1</sub> approximation for recovery of optical absorption, scattering, and asymmetry coefficients in turbid media," Appl. Opt. 43, 4677-4688 (2004).
- H. C. van de Hulst, Multiple Light Scattering. Tables, Formulas and Applications, Academic Press (1980).
- S. A. Prahl, Inverse adding-doubling for optical properties measurements, http://omlc.ogi.edu/ software/iad/index.html (2007).
- D. K. Sardar, L. B. Levy, "Optical properties of whole blood," *Lasers Med. Sci.* 13, 106–111 (1998).
- 93. J. F. Beek, P. Blokland, P. Posthumus, M. Aalders, J. W. Pickering, H. J. C. M. Sterenborg, M. J. C. van Gemert, "In vitro double-integrating-sphere optical properties of tissues between 630 and 1064 nm," Phys. Med. Biol. 42, 2255-2261 (1997).
- E. A. Genina, A. N. Bashkatov, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical clearing of human dura mater," *Opt. Spectrosc.* 98, 470-476 (2005).
- J. Laufer, R. Simpson, M. Kohl, M. Essenpreis, M. Cope, "Effect of temperature on the optical properties of *ex vivo* human dermis and subdermis," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **43**, 2479–2489 (1998).
- 96. F. Bevilacqua, P. Marquet, C. Depeursinge, E. B. de Haller, "Determination of reduced scattering and absorption coefficients by a single chargecoupled-device array measurement. Part II: Measurements on biological tissues," *Opt. Eng.* 34, 2064-2069 (1995).
- F. Bevilacqua, D. Piguet, P. Marquet, J. D. Gross, B. J. Tromberg, C. Depeursinge, "In vivo local determination of tissue optical properties: Applications to human brain," Appl. Opt. 38, 4939– 4950 (1999).
- Y. Du, X. H. Hu, M. Cariveau, G. W. Kalmus, J. Q. Lu, "Optical properties of porcine skin dermis between 900 nm and 1500 nm," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 46, 167–181 (2001).

- O. S. Khalil, S.-J. Yeh, M. G. Lowery, X. Wu, C. F. Hanna, S. Kantor, T.-W. Jeng, J. S. Kanger, R. A. Bolt, F. F. de Mul, "Temperature modulation of the visible and near infrared absorption and scattering coefficients of human skin," J. Biomed. Opt. 8, 191-205 (2003).
- 100. T. J. Pfefer, L. S. Matchette, C. L. Bennett, J. A. Gall, J. N. Wilke, A. J. Durkin, M. N. Ediger, "Reflectance-based determination of optical properties in highly attenuating tissue," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 8, 206-215 (2003).
- 101. P. Thueler, I. Charvet, F. Bevilacqua, M. S. Ghislain, G. Ory, P. Marquet, P. Meda, B. Vermeulen, C. Depeursinge, "In vivo endoscopic tissue diagnostics based on spectroscopic absorption, scattering, and phase function properties," J. Biomed. Opt. 8, 495–503 (2003).
- 102. M. Firbank, M. Hiraoka, M. Essenpreis, D. T. Delpy, "Measurement of the optical properties of the skull in the wavelength range 650-950 nm," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 38, 503-510 (1993).
- 103. N. Ugryumova, S. L. Matcher, D. P. Attenburrow, "Measurement of bone mineral density via light scattering," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 49, 469–483 (2004).
- 104. G. Alexandrakis, D. R. Busch, G. W. Faris, M. S. Patterson, "Determination of the optical properties of two-layer turbid media by use of a frequencydomain hybrid Monte Carlo diffusion model," *Appl. Opt.* 40, 3810–3821 (2001).
- 105. A. Kienle, M. S. Patterson, "Determination of the optical properties of turbid media from a single Monte Carlo simulation," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 41, 2221-2227 (1996).
- 106. D. Kumar, R. Srinivasan, M. Singh, "Optical characterization of mammalian tissues by laser reflectometry and Monte Carlo simulation," *Med. Eng. Phys.* 26, 363–369 (2004).
- 107. I. Nishidate, Y. Aizu, H. Mishina, "Estimation of absorbing components in a local layer embedded in the turbid media on the basis of visible to nearinfrared (VIS-NIR) reflectance spectra," *Opt. Rev.* 10, 427–435 (2003).
- 108. I. Nishidate, Y. Aizu, H. Mishina, "Estimation of melanin and hemoglobin in skin tissue using multiple regression analysis aided by Monte Carlo simulation," J. Biomed. Opt. 9, 700-710 (2004).
- 109. I. Seo, J. S. You, C. K. Hayakawa, V. Venugopalan, "Perturbation and differential Monte Carlo methods for measurement of optical properties in a layered epithelial tissue model," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **12**, 014030 (2007).
- 110. C. Zhu, G. M. Palmer, T. M. Breslin, J. Harter, N. Ramanujam, "Diagnosis of breast cancer using diffuse reflectance spectroscopy: Comparison of a Monte Carlo versus partial least squares

analysis-based feature extraction technique," *Lasers Surg. Med.* **38**, 714–724 (2006).

- 111. S. L. Jacques, L. Wang, "Monte Carlo modeling of light transport in tissue," in *Optical-Thermal Response of Laser-Irradiated Tissue*, A. J. Welch, M. J. C. van Gemert (eds.), pp. 73–100, Plenum Press, New York (1995).
- 112. L. Wang, S. L. Jacques, Monte Carlo modeling of light transport in multi-layered tissues in Standard C, The University of Texas, M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, http://ece.ogi.edu/omlc (1992).
- 113. A. N. Yaroslavsky, I. V. Yaroslavsky, T. Goldbach, H.-J. Schwarzmaier, "Influence of the scattering phase function approximation on the optical properties of blood determined from the integrating sphere measurements," J. Biomed. Opt. 4, 47-53 (1999).
- L. O. Reynolds, N. J. McCormick, "Approximate two-parameter phase function for light scattering," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 70, 1206-1212 (1980).
- 115. P. W. Barber, S. C. Hill, Light Scattering by Particles: Computational Methods, World Scientific, Singapore (1990).
- 116. C. F. Bohren, D. R. Huffman, Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles, Wiley, New York (1983).
- 117. T. J. Farrell, M. S. Patterson, B. C. Wilson, "A diffusion theory model of spatially resolved, steadystate diffuse reflectance for the noninvasive determination of tissue optical properties *in vivo*," *Med. Phys.* **19**, 879–888 (1992).
- 118. I. V. Yaroslavsky, V. V. Tuchin, "Light propagation in multilayer scattering media. Modeling by the Monte Carlo method," *Opt. Spectrosc.* 72, 505-509 (1992).
- 119. M. Keijzer, S. L. Jacques, S. A. Prahl, A. J. Welch, "Light distributions in artery tissue: Monte Carlo simulations for finite-diameter laser beams," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 9, 148–154 (1989).
- 120. W. H. Press, S. A. Tuekolsky, W. T. Vettering, B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).
- 121. R. M. P. Doornbos, R. Lang, M. C. Aalders, F. W. Cross, H. J. C. M. Sterenborg, "The determination of *in vivo* human tissue optical properties and absolute chromophore concentrations using spatially resolved steady-state diffuse reflectance spectroscopy," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 44, 967–981 (1999).
- 122. E. Salomatina, A. N. Yaroslavsky, "Evaluation of the *in vivo* and *ex vivo* optical properties in a mouse ear model," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 53, 2797–2807 (2008).

- 123. R. Graaff, J. G. Aarnoudse, J. R. Zijp, P. M. A. Sloot, F. F. M. de Mul, J. Greve, M. H. Koelink, "Reduced light-scattering properties for mixtures of spherical particles: A simple approximation derived from Mie calculations," *Appl. Opt.* **31**, 1370–1376 (1992).
- 124. R. Graaff, A. C. M. Dassel, M. H. Koelink, F. F. M. de Mul, J. G. Aarnoudse, W. G. Zijlstra, "Optical properties of human dermis *in vitro* and *in vivo*," *Appl. Opt.* **32**, 435–447 (1993).
- 125. T. Binzoni, T. S. Leung, A. H. Gandjbakhche, D. Rufenacht, D. T. Delpy, "The use of the Henyey-Greenstein phase function in Monte Carlo simulations in biomedical optics," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 51, N313-N322 (2006).
- 126. J. E. Choukeife, J. P. L'Huillier, "Measurements of scattering effects within tissue-like media at two wavelengths of 632.8 nm and 680 nm," *Lasers Med. Sci.* 14, 286–296 (1999).
- 127. F. K. Forster, A. Kienle, R. Michels, R. Hibst, "Phase function measurements on nonspherical scatterers using a two-axis goniometer," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **11**, 024018 (2006).
- 128. M. Hammer, A. N. Yaroslavsky, D. Schweitzer, "A scattering phase function for blood with physiological haematoctit," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 46, N65-N69 (2001).
- 129. R. Marchesini, A. Bertoni, S. Andreola, E. Melloni, A. E. Sichirollo, "Extinction and absorption coefficients and scattering phase functions of human tissues in vitro," Appl. Opt. 28, 2318-2324 (1989).
- C. V. L. Pop, S. Neamtu, "Aggregation of red blood cells in suspension: Study by light-scattering technique at small angles," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 13, 041308 (2008).
- 131. I. Turcu, C. V. L. Pop, S. Neamtu, "High-resolution angle-resolved measurements of light scattered at small angles by red blood cells in suspension," *Appl. Opt.* 45, 1964–1971 (2006).
- 132. D. Fried, R. E. Glena, J. D. B. Featherstone, W. Seka, "Nature of light scattering in dental enamel and dentin at visible and near-infrared wavelengths," *Appl. Opt.* **34**, 1278-1285 (1995).
- 133. C. L. Darling, G. D. Huynh, D. Fried, "Light scattering properties of natural and artificially demineralized dental enamel at 1310 nm," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **11**, 034023 (2006).
- J. M. Steinke, A. P. Shepherd, "Comparison of Mie theory and the light scattering of red blood cells," *Appl. Opt.* 27, 4027–4033 (1988).
- 135. S. P. Treweek, J. C. Barbenel, "Direct measurement of the optical properties of human breast skin," *Med. Biol. Eng. Comp.* 34, 285–289 (1996).
- A. N. Yaroslavskaya, S. R. Utz, S. N. Tatarintsev,
   V. V. Tuchin, "Angular scattering properties of

human epidermal layers," *Proc. SPIE* **2100**, 38–41 (1994).

- 137. J. R. Zijp, J. J. Ten Bosch, "Optical properties of bovine muscle tissue *in vitro*: A comparison of methods," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 43, 3065–3081 (1998).
- 138. R. Drezek, A. Dunn, R. Richards-Kortum, "Light scattering from cells: Finite-difference time-domain simulations and goniometric measurements," *Appl. Opt.* 38, 3651–3661 (1999).
- 139. S. L. Jacques, C. A. Alter, S. A. Prahl, "Angular dependence of the He–Ne laser light scattering by human dermis," *Lasers Life Sci.* 1, 309–333 (1987).
- 140. J. D. Hardy, H. T. Hammel, D. Murgatroyd, "Spectral transmittance and reflectance of excised human skin," J. Appl. Physiol. 9, 257–264 (1956).
- 141. W. A. G. Bruls, J. C. van der Leun, "Forward scattering properties of human epidermal layers," *Photochem. Photobiol.* 40, 231–242 (1984).
- 142. N. G. Khlebtsov, I. L. Maksimova, V. V. Tuchin, L. V. Wang, "Introduction to light scattering by biological objects," Chap. 1 in *Handbook of Optical Biomedical Diagnostics*, PM107, V. V. Tuchin (ed.), pp. 31–167, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (2002).
- 143. R. Zhang, W. Verkruysse, B. Choi, J. A. Viator, B. Jung, L. O. Svaasand, G. Aguilar, J. S. Nelson, "Determination of human skin optical properties from spectrophotometric measurements based on optimization by genetic algorithms," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **10**, 024030 (2005).
- 144. V. G. Peters, D. R. Wyman, M. S. Patterson, G. L. Frank "Optical properties of normal and diseased human breast tissues in the visible and near-infrared," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 35, 1317–1334 (1990).
- 145. N. Ghosh, S. K. Mohanty, S. K. Majumder, P. K. Gupta, "Measurement of optical transport properties of normal and malignant human breast tissue," *Appl. Opt.* 40, 176–184 (2001).
- 146. J. M. Schmitt, G. Kumar, "Optical scattering properties of soft tissue: A discrete particle model," *Appl. Opt.* 37, 2788–2797 (1998).
- 147. R. K. Wang, "Modeling optical properties of soft tissue by fractal distribution of scatterers," J. Modern Opt. 47, 103–120 (2000).
- 148. W.-C. Lin, M. Motamedi, A. J. Welch, "Dynamics of tissue optics during laser heating of turbid media," Appl. Opt. 35, 3413–3420 (1996).
- 149. C.-T. Germer, A. Roggan, J. P. Ritz, C. Isbert, D. Albrecht, G. Müller, H. J. Buhr, "Optical properties of native and coagulated human liver tissue and liver metastases in the near-infrared range," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 23, 194–203 (1998).
- 150. X. Ma, J. Q. Lu, H. Ding, X.-H. Hu, "Bulk optical parameters of porcine skin dermis at eight

wavelengths from 325 to 1557 nm," Opt. Lett. **30**, 412–414 (2005).

- D. J. Maitland, J. T. Walsh Jr., J. B. Prystowsky, "Optical properties of human gall bladder tissue and bile," *Appl. Opt.* 32, 586–591 (1993).
- 152. A. M. K. Nilsson, R. Berg, S. Andersson-Engels, "Measurements of the optical properties of tissue in conjunction with photodynamic therapy," *Appl. Opt.* 34, 4609–4619 (1995).
- 153. P. Parsa, S. L. Jacques, N. S. Nishioka, "Optical properties of rat liver between 350–2200 nm," *Appl. Opt.* 28, 2325–2330 (1989).
- 154. S. V. Patwardhan, A. P. Dhawan, P. A. Relue, "Monte Carlo simulation of light-tissue interaction: three-dimensional simulation for trans-illumination-based imaging of skin lesions," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 52, 1227–1236 (2005).
- 155. J.-P. Ritz, A. Roggan, C. Isbert, G. Müller, H. Buhr, C.-T. Germer, "Optical properties of native and coagulated porcine liver tissue between 400 and 2400 nm," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 29, 205–212 (2001).
- 156. H.-J. Schwarzmaier, A. N. Yaroslavsky, A. Terenji, S. Willmann, I. V. Yaroslavsky, T. Kahn, "Changes in the optical properties of laser coagulated and thermally coagulated bovine myocardium," *Proc. SPIE* **3254**, 361–365 (1998).
- 157. J.-I. Youn, S. A. Telenkov, E. Kim, N. C. Bhavaraju, B. J. F. Wong, J. W. Valvano, T. E. Milner, "Optical and thermal properties of nasal septal cartilage," *Lasers Surg. Med.* 27, 119–128 (2000).
- 158. S. L. Jacques, "The role of skin optics in diagnostic and therapeutic uses of lasers," in *Lasers in Dermatology*, R. Steiner (ed.), pp. 1–21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991).
- 159. G. F. Odland, "Structure of the skin," in *Physiology, Biochemistry, and Molecular Biology of the Skin*, Vol. 1, L. A. Goldsmith (ed.), pp. 3–62, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1991).
- 160. T. J. Ryan, "Cutaneous circulation," in *Physiology, Biochemestry, and Molecular Biology of the Skin*, Vol. 2, L. A. Goldsmith (ed.), pp. 1019–1084, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1991).
- K. S. Stenn, "The skin," in *Cell and Tissue Biology*, L. Weiss (ed.), pp. 541–572, Urban and Shwarzenberg, Baltimore (1988).
- 162. M. A. Farage, K. W. Miller, P. Elsner, H. I. Maibach, "Structural characteristics of the aging skin: A review," J. Toxicology (Cutaneous Ocul. Toxicol.) 26, 343–357 (2007).
- 163. M. R. Chedekel, "Photophysics and photochemistry of melanin," in *Melanin: Its Role in Human Photoprotection*, L. Zeise, M. R. Chedekel,

T. B. Fitzpatrick (eds.), pp. 11–22, Overland Park, Valdenmar (1995).

- 164. D. Parsad, K. Wakamatsu, A. J. Kanwar, B. Kumar, S. Ito, "Eumelanin and phaeomelanin contents of depigmented and repigmented skin in vitiligo patients," *Br. J. Dermatol.* **149**, 624–626 (2003).
- 165. M. E. Darvin, I. Gersonde, M. Meinke, W. Sterry, J. Lademann, "Non-invasive *in vivo* determination of the carotenoids beta-carotene and lycopene concentrations in the human skin using the Raman spectroscopic method," J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 38, 2696-2700 (2005)
- 166. E. Claridge, S. Cotton, P. Hall, M. Moncrieff, "From colour to tissue histology: Physics-based interpretation of images of pigmented skin lesions," *Med. Image Anal.* 7, 489–502 (2003).
- 167. I. V. Meglinski, S. J. Matcher, "Quantitative assessment of skin layers absorption and skin reflectance spectra simulation in visible and near-infrared spectral region," *Physiol. Meas.* 23, 741-753 (2002).
- 168. G. Altshuler, M. Smirnov, I. Yaroslavsky, "Lattice of optical islets: A novel treatment modality in photomedicine," J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 38, 2732-2747 (2005).
- 169. S. L. Jacques, "Optical assessment of cutaneous blood volume depends on the vessel's size distribution: A computer simulation study," J. Biophotonics 3, 75-81 (2010).
- 170. R. F. Reinoso, B. A. Telfer, M. Rowland, "Tissue water content in rats measured by desiccation," *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods* 38, 87–92 (1997).
- Y. Taton, Obesity, Pathophysiology, Diagnostics, Therapy, Medical Press, Warsaw (1981).
- 172. D. Y. Shurigin, P. O. Vyazitskiy, K. A. Sidorov, *Obesity*, Medicine, Leningrad (1975).
- 173. M. I. Gurr, R. T. Jung, M. P. Robinson, W. P. T. James, "Adipose tissue cellularity in man: The relationship between fat cell size and number, the mass and distribution of body fat and the history of weight gain and loss," *Int. J. Obesity* 6, 419–436 (1982).
- 174. G. Nishimura, I. Kida, M. Tamura, "Characterization of optical parameters with a human forearm at the region from 1.15 to  $1.52 \ \mu m$  using diffuse reflectance measurements," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **51**, 2997–3011 (2006).
- K. S. Saladin, Anatomy and Physiology/Watnick, New York, 2010.
- 176. P. Ballard, D. E. Leahy, M. Rowland, "Prediction of *in vivo* tissue distribution from *in vitro* data.
  1. Experiments with markers of aqueous spaces," *Pharm. Res.* 17, 660-663 (2000).

- 177. J. J. Xia, E. P. Berg, J. W. Lee, G. Yao, "Characterizing beef muscles with optical scattering and absorption coefficients in VIS-NIR region," *Meat Science* **75**, 78–83 (2007).
- 178. G. Hale, M. R. Querry, "Optical constants of water in the 200 nm to 200  $\mu$ m wavelength region," *Appl. Opt.* **12**, 555–563 (1973).
- 179. A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, M. M. Stolnitz, T. A. Bashkatova, O. V. Novikova, A.Yu. Peshkova, V. V. Tuchin, "Optical properties of melanin in the skin and skin-like phantoms," *Proc. SPIE* **4162**, 219–226 (2000).
- 180. F. P. Bolin, L. E. Preuss, R. C. Taylor, R. J. Ference, "Refractive index of some mammalian tissues using a fiber optic cladding method," *Appl. Opt.* 28, 2297–2303 (1989).
- 181. S. Cheng, H. Y. Shen, G. Zhang, C. H. Huang, X. J. Huang, "Measurement of the refractive index of biotissue at four laser wavelengths," *Proc. SPIE* 4916, 172–176 (2002).
- 182. H. Li, S. Xie, "Measurement method of the refractive index of biotissue by total internal reflection," Appl. Opt. 35, 1793-1795 (1996).
- 183. A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey, V. V. Tuchin, "Estimation of wavelength dependence of refractive index of collagen fibers of scleral tissue," *Proc. SPIE* **4162**, 265–268 (2000).
- 184. A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, I. V. Korovina, V. I. Kochubey, Yu. P. Sinichkin, V. V. Tuchin, "In vivo and in vitro study of control of rat skin optical properties by acting of osmotical liquid," *Proc. SPIE* **4224**, 300–311 (2000).
- 185. Y. Komai, T. Ushiki, "The three-dimensional organization of collagen fibrils in the human cornea and sclera," *Invest. Ophthal. Visual Sci.* 32, 2244–2258 (1991).
- A. F. Fercher, "Optical coherence tomography," J. Biomed. Opt. 1, 157–173 (1996).
- 187. V. V. Tuchin, "Coherence-domain methods in tissue and cell optics," *Laser Phys.* 8, 807–849 (1998).
- V. V. Tuchin, "Coherent optical techniques for the analysis of tissue structure and dynamics," J. Biomed. Opt. 4, 106-124 (1999).
- 189. A. F. Fercher, W. Drexler, C. K. Hitzenberger, T. Lasser, "Optical coherence tomography principles and applications," *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 66, 239-303 (2003).
- 190. J. G. Fujimoto, M. E. Brezinski, "Optical coherence tomography imaging," Chap. 13 in *Biomedi*cal Photonics Handbook, Tuan Vo-Dinh, (ed.), CRC Press LLC (2003).
- W. Drexler, "Ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography," J. Biomed. Opt. 9, 47–74 (2004).

- 192. D. Levitz, L. Thrane, M. H. Frosz, P. E. Andersen, C. B. Andersen, J. Valanciunaite, J. Swartling, S. Andersson-Engels, P. R. Hansen, "Determination of optical scattering properties of highly-scattering media in optical coherence tomography images," *Opt. Express* 12, 249–259 (2004).
- 193. A. Knüttel, M. Boehlau-Godau, "Spatially confined and temporally resolved refractive index and scattering evaluation in human skin performed with optical coherence tomography," J. Biomed. Opt. 5, 83-92 (2000).
- 194. A. Knüttel, S. Bonev, W. Knaak, "New method for evaluation of *in vivo* scattering and refractive index properties obtained with optical coherence tomography," J. Biomed. Opt. 9, 265–273 (2004).
- 195. I. V. Turchin, E. A. Sergeeva, L. S. Dolin, V. A. Kamensky, "Estimation of biotissue scattering properties from OCT images using a small-angle approximation of transport theory," *Laser Phys.* 13, 1524–1529 (2003).
- 196. L. S. Dolin, F. I. Feldchtein, G. V. Gelikonov, V. M. Gelikonov, N. D. Gladkova, R. R. Iksanov, V. A. Kamensky, R. V. Kuranov, A. M. Sergeev, N. M. Shakhova, I. V. Turchin, "Fundamentals of OCT and clinical applications of endoscopic OCT," Chap. 17, in *Coherent-Domain Optical Methods: Biomedical Diagnostics, Environmental and Material Science*, Vol. 2, V. V. Tuchin (ed.), pp. 211–270, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston (2004).
- 197. W. V. Sorin, D. F. Gray, "Simultaneous thickness and group index measurements using optical lowcoherence refractometry," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* 4, 105–107 (1992).
- 198. G. J. Tearney, M. E. Brezinski, J. F. Southern, B. E. Bouma, M. R. Hee, J. G. Fujimoto, "Determination of the refractive index of highly scattering human tissue by optical coherence tomography," *Opt. Lett.* **20**, 2258–2260 (1995).
- 199. X. Wang, C. Zhang, L. Zhang, L. Xue, J. Tian, "Simultaneous refractive index and thickness measurement of biotissue by optical coherence tomography," J. Biomed. Opt. 7, 628-632 (2002).
- 200. A. V. Zvyagin, K. K. M. B. D. Silva, S. A. Alexandrov, T. R. Hillman, J. J. Armstrong, T. Tsuzuki, D. D. Sampson, "Refractive index tomography of turbid media by bifocal optical coherence refractometry," *Opt. Exp.* **11**, 3503–3517 (2003).
- 201. S. A. Alexandrov, A. V. Zvyagin, K. K. M. B. D. Silva, D. D. Sampson, "Bifocal optical coherence refractometry of turbid media," *Opt. Lett.* 28, 117–119 (2003).
- 202. H. Ding, J. Q. Lu, K. M. Jacobs, X.-H. Hu, "Determination of refractive indices of porcine skin

tissues and intralipid at eight wavelengths between 325 and 1557 nm," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **22**, 1151-1157 (2005).

- 203. H. Ding, J. Q. Lu, W. A. Wooden, P. J. Kragel, X.-H. Hu, "Refractive indices of human skin tissues at eight wavelengths and estimated dispersion relations between 300 and 1600 nm," *Phys. Med. Biol.* 51, 1479–1489 (2006).
- 204. M. Sand, T. Gambichler, G. Moussa, F. G. Bechara, D. Sand, P. Altmeyer, K. Hoffmann, "Evaluation of the epidermal refractive index by optical coherence tomography," *Skin Res. Technol.* 12, 114–118 (2005).
- 205. J. Lai, Z. Li, C. Wang, A. He, "Experimental measurement of the refractive index of biological tissues by total internal reflection," *Appl. Opt.* 44, 1845–1849 (2005).

- 206. L. Lin, H. Li, S. Xie, "Linear method of determining the refractive index of biotissue," *Proc.* SPIE 3863, 177-181 (1999).
- 207. A. M. Zysk, S. G. Adie, J. J. Armstrong, M. S. Leigh, A. Paduch, D. D. Sampson, F. T. Nguyen, S. A. Boppart, "Needle-based refractive index measurement using low-coherence interferometry," *Opt. Lett.* **32**, 385–387 (2007).
- 208. J. J. J. Dirckx, L. S. Kuypers, W. F. Decraemer, "Refractive index of tissue measured with confocal microscopy," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **10**, 044014 (2005).
- 209. P. Sun, Y. Wang, "Measurements of optical parameters of phantom solution and bulk animal tissues *in vitro* at 650 nm," *Opt. Laser Technol.* 42, 1-7 (2010).