## Agarose coated micro-bottle sensor for relative humidity detection

## Huda Adnan Zain<sup>1</sup>, Malathy Batumalay<sup>2</sup>, Md Ashadi Md Johari<sup>1,3</sup>, Kaharudin Dimyati<sup>1</sup>, and Sulaiman Wadi Harun<sup>1,4</sup>\*

1. Department of Electrical Physics, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

2. Faculty of Information Technology, INTI International University, Nilai 71800, Malaysia

3. Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Melaka 76100, Malaysia

4. Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

(Received 11 September 2020; Revised 30 October 2020) ©Tianjin University of Technology 2021

The whispering gallery mode (WGM) based micro-bottle resonator (MBR) sensor has been proposed and demonstrated for relative humidity measurement by using an agarose gel as a transducer. MBR was fabricated by using a soften-and-compress method to form a 190  $\mu$ m bulge bottle. The micro-bottle was optically excited by a 3  $\mu$ m tapered fiber and it exhibits a resonance with a Q factor in an order of 10<sup>5</sup>. The agarose coated MBR produces a good sensing response towards humidity with the sensitivity of 0.107 dB/%RH and linearity of 99.614%. The agarose hydrophilic nature and its changing porosity and refractive index with increasing relative humidity made the coated MBR structure to be more sensitive than the uncoated structure. This humidity sensor has a simple fabrication and is showing good sensitivity, linearity, resolution, response time and operational in a wide humidity range.

Document code: A Article ID: 1673-1905(2021)06-0328-6

DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/s11801-021-0142-2

Accurate, real-time measurements of relative humidity are vital to many industrial applications. For instance, process, quality, and safety controls rely on accurate relative humidity measurements in pharmaceutical factories and semiconductors manufacturing<sup>[1,2]</sup>. Traditional relative humidity sensors have limitations such as susceptibility to electromagnetic interference, and limited operation in hazardous environments<sup>[3-5]</sup>. Fiber optics provides a valuable choice for overcoming these challenges. Fiber optics immunity to electromagnetic interference, ability to operate in hazardous environments, and lower cost make them an attractive option for designing practical relative humidity sensors<sup>[2-6]</sup>.

Likewise, optical micro-resonators (OMRs) are captivating more attention in recent years due to their wide range of applications. Recently, OMR based optical sensors were reported for humidity, temperature, and formaldehyde concentration measurements<sup>[7-10]</sup>. OMRs also show great promise as building blocks for add-drop filters, laser, and other optics devices<sup>[11,12]</sup>. OMRs provides large evanescent fields and strong temporal and spatial light confinement and thus suitable for various sensing applications. The optical confinement increases the quality factor of the resonator and thus enhances the per-

formance and lifetime of the devices<sup>[13,14]</sup>. Up to date, many types of OMRs have been proposed such as micro-bottle, loop, knot, and micro-ball resonators. These OMRs show great promise as a modern optical sensor device due to their simple fabrication, high quality factor, and lower intrinsic losses<sup>[14-19]</sup>.

Micro-bottle resonator (MBR) is a type of OMRs fabricated by altering the shape of a regular optical fiber cable to have a bottle-like bump in the middle. The electromagnetic field existing around the MBR makes it a viable choice for sensing applications<sup>[16,19,20]</sup>. For instance, MBR was used to sense humidity with shown sensitivity of 0.048 7 dB/%RH, in the range of 40%—80%RH<sup>[7]</sup>. Additionally, MBRs were used to sense temperature in the range of 40—100 °C and showed sensitivity of 0.014 9 dB/°C<sup>[10]</sup>. MBR was also used to sense liquid formaldehyde with sensitivity of 4.397 dB/% for concentrations of 1%—5%<sup>[21]</sup>.

Coating the optical sensing element with materials that respond to changes in ambient relative humidity has been shown to improve sensitivity<sup>[22-24]</sup>. Agarose was chosen as the coating for this sensor for several reasons: the refractive index changes with variations in relative humidity, simple fabrication, easier application process,

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: swharun@um.edu.my

affordability, and lower toxicity<sup>[25-27]</sup>. Previously, agarose has been used to coat a polished plastic fiber for humidity sensing<sup>[27]</sup>. In this paper, a relative humidity sensor was proposed and demonstrated using an agarose-coated micro-bottle resonator. It employed a tapered optical fiber to couple light into or out of MBR. The response of this sensor with the change in relative humidity was investigated in a temperature-controlled environment.

Using a standard SMF-28 and applying the soften-and-compress method we fabricated the MBR element<sup>[20]</sup>. An intact length of fiber is placed in a manual splicing machine (Furukawa Electric Fitel S178A). Then, this length was heated by electric arcs and pushed forward from each end to compress and form a bulge. The size of the MBR bulge is decided by the number of the arcs applied. The MBR characteristic may be defined by various parameters such as the bottle diameter  $(D_b)$ , the stem diameter ( $D_s$ ), and the neck-to-neck length ( $L_b$ )<sup>[7,28]</sup>. Our sensor probe dimensions are:  $D_{\rm b}$ =190 µm,  $D_{\rm s}$ =125 µm and  $L_{\rm b}$ =180 µm. Next, to optically guide light through the sensor we used a tapered microfiber with a waist diameter of 3 µm. The SMF-28 fiber was subjected to flame brushing to obtain this desired diameter<sup>[29]</sup>. Fig.1(a) shows the magnified image of MBR structure with a dimension of  $D_b=190 \ \mu m$ ,  $D_s=125 \ \mu m$ and  $L_{\rm b}$ =180 µm. Fig.1(b) shows the image of the prepared microfiber with a waist diameter of 3 µm. The microfiber was attached to the MBR structure to assemble the sensing probe as shown in Fig.1(c).



Fig.1 The magnified images of (a) MBR structure, (b) microfiber, and (c) assembled sensor probe

Field Agarose powder from Sigma Aldrich (No.A6013) was dissolved in distilled water to make a 0.5% solution. The MBR was coated by this solution by dipping the element in a Petri dish filled with the solution. Then the MBR was secured on the tapered fiber and left 24 h to fully dry<sup>[30]</sup>. The tapered region allows the evanescent mode to reach the coated MBR and excite it. To characterize the MBR, a tunable laser source (TLS) was used. The TLS (ANDO AQ4321D) was set to provide light in the range of 1 550-1 560 nm in increments of 0.001 nm. The TLS ability to provide this fine resolution and wide range of tunable light was the reason why the TLS was chosen over a traditional ASE. The light output power was detected using an optical power meter (THORLAB PM100D) and recorded in a computer<sup>[8]</sup>. The transmission spectral characteristics of the MBR without and with agarose coating are shown in Figs.2(a) and (b), respectively. The Q-factor is calculated by dividing the resonant peak wavelength by the difference in wavelength before and after the resonance peak<sup>[17,31]</sup>. The Q-factor is obtained at  $3.88 \times 10^5$  and  $1.19 \times 10^5$  for the MBR without and with agarose coating. The O-factor measurements were conducted in relative humidity of 35% RH. The Q-factor reduces with the coating due to the reduction of refractive index contrast at the bottle region, which in turn increases the leaky modes. Insertion losses were incurred due to non-adiabatic tapering of the coupling microfiber.



Fig.2 WGM transmission modes for MBR (a) without and (b) with agarose coating

• 0330 •

To study the MBR response to changes in relative humidity the setup shown in Fig.3 was used. Both the MBR and the microfiber were placed in a locked chamber. Inside the sealed chamber, relative humidity was continuously measured by a hygrometer (RS 1365). Both the temperature and atmospheric pressure were maintained at 25 °C and 1.0 atm<sup>[15,17]</sup>. The power output changes with variations in relative humidity were recorded by the optical power meter for both MBR sensor probes without and with agarose coating. Light from the TLS is passed through one end of the fiber. At the other end, light is received by the OPM connected to a computer that continuously records the light output power. Using saturated salts put in the sealed chamber; we varied the relative humidity inside the locked chamber and continuously monitored the changes in the light output power. The TLS wavelength was set at the peak resonant wavelength for the MBR<sup>[32]</sup>.



Fig.3 Experimental setup for the proposed sensor for measurement of relative humidity using MBR with and without agarose gel

The change of transmitted power with the variation of relative humidity is investigated for both the uncoated MBR and the agarose coated MBR at constant temperature at 25 °C and the results are summarized in Fig.4. As shown in the figure, the sensor performance is significantly improved with the use of agarose coating on the sensing element of the MBR. Agarose is a hydrophilic polymer material, which has a net structure containing many pores with an average diameter of around 100 nm. When the MBR coated with the agarose gel is exposed to humidity, water molecules enter the pores due to the capillary forces. The air in the pores is replaced by the water molecules, which has a higher refractive index. This increases the average refractive index of the coating, reduces the index contrast between the micro-bottle and surrounding, and causes the resonance wavelength to shift in higher magnitude and thus improves the sensing performance.

The sensitivity of the proposed humidity sensor improved with the agarose gel coating, which functions as a transducer to increase the variation of the resonant leaky modes in the MBR structure. This improvement is a result of the agarose gel hydrophilic nature, which allows the refractive index of agarose to change with the relative humidity<sup>[2,33]</sup>. It was previously reported that the refractive index of agarose gel increases from 1.52 to 1.54 with

a change of relative humidity from 20% to 80%. The high porosity of agarose allows absorbing moisture and changing the light propagation characteristics in the WGM resonator. The agarose swelling caused by its hydrophilic nature alters the refractive index of the coating with changing relative humidity and modulates the light passing in the sensor. The change in refractive index causes more losses in the sensing element, thus the power output decreases with increasing relative humidity as shown in Fig.4<sup>[26,33,34]</sup>. Fig.5 shows how increasing relative humidity affects the WGM of the sensor. The water particles absorbed into the agarose gel coating and the resulting increase in the refractive index causes changes in the resonant dips.



Fig.4 Output power against RH for bare MBR and agarose coated MBR





The response time and the recovery time of both uncoated MBR and agarose coated sensors are also investigated as shown in Figs.6(a) and (b), respectively. The test was conducted by exposing the agarose coated MBR probe in a chamber where the humidity can be varied from 35%RH to 85%RH. The temperature and atmospheric pressure were fixed at 25 °C and 1.0 atm during the experiment. The response time was calculated when the humidity was changed from 35%RH to 85%RH while the recovery time was measured when the humidity is reduced from the maximum 85%RH to 35%RH. It was observed that the response time is in range of ZAIN et al.

7.4—14.8 s and the recovery time is in a range of 8.0—10.9 s for our agarose coated sensor. Meanwhile, the uncoated MBR had a response time ranging between 61—74 s and a recovery time in the range of 18—27 s.

The stability of the agarose coated sensor was studied by recording the output power of the sensor continuously for a few hours. The chamber was stabilized at 65%RH, 25 °C, and 1.0 atm, Fig.7(a) shows the variations of the sensor output as compared with the recorded relative humidity using the chamber's relative humidity sensor with accuracy of  $\pm 2\%$ RH, the variations in output power with time at 65%RH were <0.1 dBm. The variations of the sensors output for the rest of the relative humidity levels were calculated to have the standard deviation of 0.093 dBm for the agarose coated sensor as shown in Tab.1. The resolution of the agarose coated sensor was estimated as 0.869%RH.



Fig.6 Response and recovery time for (a) the uncoated MBR and (b) the proposed agarose coated MBR sensor



Optoelectron. Lett. Vol.17 No.6 • 0331 •



Fig.7 The variation of output power against (a) time and (b) temperature

To study the effect of temperature on the agarose coated structure, the power output of the sensor was continuously recorded in the 25—34 °C range and relative humidity level was fixed at 60%RH as shown in Fig.7(b). The lower limit at 25 °C was decided by room temperature and the cooling limitation of the chamber. The upper limit 34 °C was chosen to make sure that the experiment was conducted outside of the gelling range of the agarose coating 36—42 °C<sup>[34]</sup>. It is observed that the temperature variation in the range of 25—34 °C had little effect on the output power of the agarose coated sensor.

According to Refs.[34—36], the temperature cross-sensitivity of the silica fiber is rather low due to the mode index changes with temperature at a very close rate while most energy resides inside the silica fiber. Therefore, the proposed sensor is almost insensitive to ambient temperature due to the self-compensated of the thermal optic effect<sup>[35]</sup>. For instance, Liang et al<sup>[36]</sup> reported that temperature does not heavily influence the sensitivity of the proposed sensor. There is only a tiny attenuation of the WGMs when the different temperature was approximately only  $3.5\%^{[34,36]}$ .

Tab.1 summarizes the sensing performances of both coated and uncoated MBR samples. The agarose coated MBR produces a good sensing response towards humidity with the sensitivity of 0.107 dB/%RH and linearity of 99.614%. This performance is much better than that of the uncoated MBR, which exhibits the sensitivity of only 0.033 dB/%RH and linearity of 98.681%. In addition, the %RH resolution was found to be a factor of 9.5 times better for the coated MBR as opposed to the uncoated MBR. The standard deviation of 0.093 dB was obtained with the coated sensor. This value is much better as compared to the uncoated sensor with 0.303 dB. These results indicate that agarose hydrophilic nature and its changing porosity and refractive index with increasing relative humidity made the coated MBR structure to be more sensitive and performing the sensing with better linearity and resolution.

Tah 1	Sensing	narameters	oft	he	sensors
1 a.u. i	Sensing	parameters	01 1	ne	26112012

Parameters	Uncoated	Coated MBR
	MBR	
Average standard deviation (dB)	0.303	0.093
Resolution (%RH)	9.181	0.869
Sensitivity (dB/%RH)	0.033	0.107
Linearity (%)	98.681	99.614

In conclusion, an MBR based humidity sensor has been fabricated and tested. It used agarose gel as a transducer for humidity as it is easy to handle and inexpensive. The soften-and-compress method was used to fabricate the MBR. A tunable laser source was launched into the agarose coated MBR structure via 3 µm tapered fiber to excite the WGM. Both agarose-coated and uncoated MBR had Q-factors in the order of 10<sup>5</sup>. The performance of the coated and uncoated MBR as humidity sensor was investigated by measuring the power output change with varying relative humidity. The agarose MBR showed a higher sensitivity of coated 0.107 dB/RH% and better stability and resolution compared to the uncoated MBR due to the hydrophilic nature of the agarose porous coating.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the University of Malaya (No.IIRG005C-19IISS) and INTI International University (No.INTI-FIT-03-2020).

## References

- X. D. Wang and O. S. Wolfbeis, Anal. Chem. 88, 203 (2016).
- [2] Y. Peng, Y. Zhao, M. Q. Chen and F. Xia, Small 14, 1 (2018).
- [3] J. Ascorbe, J. M. Corres, F. J. Arregui and I. R. Matias, Sensors (Switzerland) 17, 1 (2017).
- [4] T. A. Blank, L. P. Eksperiandova and K. N. Belikov, Sensors Actuators, B Chem. 228, 416 (2016).
- [5] Y. Kim, B. Jung, H. Lee, H. Kim, K. Lee and H. Park, Sensors Actuators, B Chem. 141, 441 (2009).
- [6] Y. Tian, W.H. Wang, N. Wu, X.T. Zou and X.W. Wang, Sensors 11, 3780 (2011).
- [7] M. A. Md Johari, M. I. M. Abdul Khudus, M. H. Bin Jali, A. Al Noman and S. W. Harun, Optik 185, 558 (2019).
- [8] M. A. Md Johari, M. I. M. Abdul Khudus, M. H. Bin Jali, A. Al Noman and S. Wadi Harun, Sensors Actuators, A Phys. 284, 286 (2018).
- [9] Johari M. A. M., Khudus M. I. M. Abdul, Al Noman A.,

Jali M. H., Yusof H. H. M., Harun S. W. and Yasin M., Microbottle Resonator Formaldehyde Sensor, Journal of Physics Conference Series, 2019.

- [10] M. Batumalay, M. A. Md Johari, M. Imran Mustafa Abdul Khudus, M. Hafiz Bin Jali, A. Al Noman and S. Wadi Harun, Microbottle Resonator for Temperature Sensing, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1371, 2019.
- [11] Y. Yin, Y. Niu, L. Dai and M. Ding, IEEE Photonics J. 10, 1 (2018).
- [12] A. B. Matsko, A. A. Savchenkov, D. Strekalov, V. S. Ilchenko and L. Maleki, IPN Prog. Rep. 42, 1 (2005).
- [13] P. T. Lee, T. W. Lu, J. H. Fan and F. M. Tsai, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 2285 (2007).
- [14] P. Bianucci, Sensors (Switzerland) 16, 1 (2016).
- [15] Y. Peng, Y. Zhao, M. Q. Chen and F. Xia, Small 14, 1 (2018).
- [16] L. Gai, J. Li and Y. Zhao, Optics and Laser Technology 89, 126 (2017).
- [17] Mohd Hafiz Jali, Hazli Rafis Abdul Rahim, Md Ashadi Md Joharia, Sana Sulaiman Hamid, Haziezol Helmi Mohd Yusof, Siddharth Thokchom, Pengfei Wang and Sulaiman Wadi Harun, Sensors Actuators, A Phys. 285, 200 (2019).
- [18] J. C. Shin, M. S. Yoon and Y. G. Han, J. Light. Technol. 34, 4511 (2016).
- [19] A. Chiasera, Y. Dumeige, P. Féron, M. Ferrari, Y. Jestin, G. Nunzi Conti, S. Pelli, S. Soria and G.C. Righini, Laser and Photonics Reviews 4, 457 (2010).
- [20] M. N. Zervas, G. S. Murugan, M. N. Petrovich and J. S. Wilkinson, Opt. InfoBase Conf. Pap. 19, 2915 (2011).
- [21] M.A.M. Johari, A.Al Noman, M.I.M. Abdul Khudus, M.H. Jali, H.H.M. Yusof, S.W. Harun and M. Yasin, Optik **173**, 180 (2018).
- [22] L. Bo, P. Wang, Y. Semenova and G. Farrell, Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett. 57, 457 (2015).
- [23] Dan Su, Xueguang Qiao, Qiangzhou Rong, Hao Sun, Jing Zhang, Zhengyuan Bai, Yanying Du, Dingyi Feng, Yupeng Wang, Manli Hu and Zhongyao Feng, Opt. Commun. **311**, 107 (2013).
- [24] S. xi Jiao, Y. Zhao and J. jin Gu, Instrum. Sci. Technol. 46, 463 (2018).
- [25] S. Hjertén and Y. Kunquan, J. Chromatogr. A 215, 317 (1981).
- [26] Ninik Irawati, Husna Abdul Rahman, Moh Yasin, Shadi Al-Askari, Belal Ahmed Hamida, Harith Ahmad and Sulaiman Wadi Harun, J. Light. Technol. 35, 3940 (2017).
- [27] M. Batumalay, S. W. Harun, F. Ahmad, R. M. Nor, N. R. Zulkepely and H. Ahmad, J. Mod. Opt. 61, 244 (2014).
- [28] M. Pöllinger, D. O'Shea, F. Warken and A. Rauschenbeutel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 11916 (2009).
- [29] S. W. Harun, K. S. Lim, C. K. Tio, K. Dimyati and H.

Ahmad, Optik 124, 538 (2013).

- [30] M. Batumalay, S. W. Harun, N. Irawati, H. Ahmad and H. Arof, IEEE Sens. J. 15, 1945 (2015).
- [31] M. Cai, O. Painter and K. J. Vahala, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 74 (2000).
- [32] N. Irawati, H. A. Rahman, H. Ahmad and S. W. Harun, Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed. 99, 128 (2017).
- [33] Arun Kumar Mallik, Dejun Liu, Vishnu Kavungal,

Qiang Wu, Gerald Farrell and Yuliya Semenova, Optics Express **24**, 77 (2016).

- [34] A. K. Mallik, G. Farrell, D. Liu, V. Kavungal and S. Member, J. Lightwave Technol. 36, 2667 (2018).
- [35] Y. Tan, L.-P. Sun, L. Jin, J. Li and B.-O. Guan, IEEE Photonics Technology Letters 25, 2201 (2013).
- [36] L. Liang, M. Li, N. Liu, H. Sun, Q. Rong and M. Hu, Optical Fiber Technology 45, 415 (2018).