## Optimization and degradation of rubrene/C<sub>70</sub> heterojunction solar cells\*

CHEN Zi-guo(陈子国)<sup>1</sup>, LIU Peng-yi(刘彭义)<sup>1,2\*\*</sup>, HOU Lin-tao(侯林涛)<sup>1,2</sup>, MAI Wen-jie(麦文杰)<sup>1,2</sup>, and WU Bing(吴冰)<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China
Siyuan Lab., Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

(Received 22 September 2011)

© Tianjin University of Technology and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

Small molecule organic solar cells (OSCs) with the structure of indium tin oxide (ITO)/molybdenum trioxide (MoO<sub>3</sub>) (5 nm)/rubrene (x nm)/fullerene ( $C_{70}$ ) (y nm)/2, 9-dimethyl-4, 7-diphenyl-1, 10-phenanthroline (BCP) (6 nm)/aluminum (Al) (150 nm) are fabricated. The thickness of active layer for the devices is investigated in details. The results show that the optimum thicknesses of rubrene layer and  $C_{70}$  layer are 30 nm and 25 nm, respectively. The degradation of the device is also investigated. The result indicates that the open-circuit voltage ( $V_{ac}$ ) does not change, while the short-circuit current density ( $J_{sc}$ ), fill factor (FF) and power conversion efficiency (PCE) decrease continuously with time. The degradation can be attributed to the oxygen in ambient diffusing and infiltrating into the active materials and reacting with  $C_{70}$  in cells, which can result in the increase of interfacial series resistance.

**Document code:** A **Article ID:** 1673-1905(2012)02-0093-4 **DOI** 10.1007/s11801-012-1130-3

Organic solar cell (OSC) is a promising candidate for generating renewable energy due to its advantages of mechanical flexiblity, light weight, low cost, and easy scale-up<sup>[1-4]</sup>. Great progress in the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of organic solar cells has been achieved in recent years<sup>[5-9]</sup> since the first double-layer structure OSC was introduced by Tang<sup>[10]</sup>. The highest reported efficiency for organic solar cells has recently risen above 8%.

 $C_{60}$  fullerene is a common acceptor material used in small molecule solar cells due to its high electron affinity and good stability. However, its low absorption in most of the solar spectrum range ultimately limits its potential. Because the visible light absorption of  $C_{70}$  fullerene is significantly enhanced compared with that of  $C_{60}$ ,  $C_{60}$  as acceptor in OSCs is replaced by  $C_{70}$  recently<sup>[11,12]</sup>. In addition, the critical issue stunting the practical use of OSCs is their short lifetime, especially for those unencapsulated ones<sup>[13,14]</sup>. The reasons for the limited lifetime of OSCs are still unclear, and they might be the degradation of organic materials under light irradiation with temperature increasing<sup>[15,16]</sup>, the decrease in conductivity of organic materials upon oxygen or water absorption<sup>[17]</sup>, and the infiltration of indium (In) into active layer<sup>[18,19]</sup>.

In this paper, we combine rubrene as donor and  $C_{70}$  as

acceptor to fabricate heterojunction solar cells. The thickness of active layer is optimized to make the best use of the absorbed photons. The degradation of OSCs is also investigated.

The OSCs with the structure of indium tin oxide(ITO) molybdenum trioxide (MoO<sub>3</sub>)/rubrene/C<sub>70</sub>/ 2,9-dimethyl-4, 7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (BCP)/ aluminum(Al) are fabricated on precleaned glass substrates coated with transparent conducting ITO. The device structure is shown in Fig. 1. The sheet resistance of ITO is about 10  $\Omega$ /sq. The devices were fabricated in the following way. The ITO glass substrates were sequentially cleaned by ultrasonication in acetone, detergent, ethanol and deionized water, and then dried by N, gas. MoO<sub>3</sub>, rubrene, C<sub>70</sub>, BCP and Al were successively deposited in a thermal evaporator under a pressure of 9.0  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> Pa. All organic materials were used without further purification. The deposition rates of MoO<sub>3</sub>, rubrene, C<sub>70</sub>, BCP and Al are 0.5-1.0 Å/s, 0.6-1.5 Å/s, 0.8-1.5Å/s, 0.1-0.4 Å/s, and 10-40 Å/s, respectively. The thickness of rubrene layer is x nm (x=20, 25, 30, 35, 40), and the thickness of C<sub>70</sub> layer is y nm (y=15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45). The thicknesses of MoO<sub>3</sub>, BCP and Al are 5 nm, 6 nm and 150 nm, respectively. The thicknesses of the deposition layers are determined by a quartz oscillator thickness monitor

<sup>\*</sup> This work has been supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (No.06025173).

<sup>\*\*</sup> E-mail: tlpy@jnu.edu.cn

(XTM/2, INFCON) and verified by a surface profiler (XP-2, Ambios). The active area of the device is 5 mm×6 mm. The current density-voltage (J–V) characteristics of the device under air mass (AM) 1.5 (100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) solar-illuminated condition were measured at room temperature using a Keithley 2400 source measurement unit. All the measurements were carried out in air without encapsulation.



## Fig.1 Schematic diagram of OSC structure

Fig.2 shows the absorption spectra of  $C_{70}$ ,  $C_{60}$ , rubrene and rubrene/ $C_{70}$ . The observed enhancement in the absorption spectrum is due to the presence of the  $C_{70}$  fullerene layer, and it may be widely used for improving the efficiency of OSCs. Moreover, the absorption spectrum of rubrene/ $C_{70}$  is very similar to that of  $C_{70}$  except for the weak absorption peaks at 495 nm and 529 nm, which correspond to the absorption peaks of rubrene. We can conclude that the absorption of  $C_{70}$  is dominant in rubrene/ $C_{70}$  layer, and the photons are mainly absorbed by the acceptor ( $C_{70}$ ). Although the absorption of rubrene is weak in rubrene/ $C_{70}$  layer, it has a significant effect on the charge separation in donor/acceptor interface. The open-circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ) of rubrene/ $C_{70}$  is 0.82 V, which is larger than that of  $C_{70}$ :ZnPc<sup>[11]</sup> and CuPc/ $C_{70}$ <sup>[12]</sup>, leading to the superior performance of the device.



Fig.2 Absorption spectra of  $C_{70}$ ,  $C_{60}$ , rubrene and rubrene/ $C_{70}$ 

To investigate the dependence of J-V characteristics on the thickness of rubrene layer, the devices with variable rubrene layer thickness and an invariable  $C_{70}$  thickness of 35 nm are fabricated. In Fig.3(a), the short-circuit current density varies with the increase of the thickness of rubrene layer, while the open-circuit voltage is almost fixed. In Fig.3(b), the variation of  $V_{oc}$  is only about 4.9% (from 0.78 V to 0.82 V). The  $V_{oc}$  is determined by the difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of the donor (rubrene) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level of the acceptor ( $C_{70}$ ). Indeed,  $V_{oc}$  obeys the following empirical equation<sup>[20]</sup> with an additional loss of 0.3 V due to the influence of the dark current and the field-driven photocurrent in practical devices,

$$V_{\rm oc} = \frac{1}{e} \left( |E_{\rm HOMO}^{\rm Donor}| - |E_{\rm LUMO}^{\rm Acceptor}| \right) - 0.3 \quad , \tag{1}$$

where  $E_{\text{HOMO}}^{\text{Donor}}$  is HOMO level of the donor, and  $E_{\text{LLMO}}^{\text{Acceptor}}$  is LUMO level of the acceptor.

Although the thickness of rubrene layer varies, the energy gap between the HOMO level of rubrene and the LUMO level of  $C_{70}$  is fixed. For this reason,  $V_{oc}$  is constant. However, the  $J_{sc}$ , FF and PCE are sharply improved with the increase of the thickness of rubrene layer up to 30 nm, and then decreased with the continuous increase of thickness, as shown in Fig.3(b). The optimum thickness of rubrene layer is 30



Fig.3 Photovoltaic performance of the OSCs with varying thickness of rubrene layer

nm. The active layer needs to be thin enough to shorten the distance for charge carrier transport and eventually reduce the probability of charge recombination. However, when the thickness of the active layer reduces, the number of the photons absorbed by the active material decreases, and thus less photocurrent is generated.

To study the dependence of *J*-*V* characteristics on the thickness of  $C_{70}$  layer, the devices with variable  $C_{70}$  layer thickness and an invariable rubrene thickness of 30 nm are fabricated. Fig.4 indicates the thickness of  $C_{70}$  layer does not influence the  $V_{oc}$ . However, the  $J_{sc}$  and PCE are drastically increased with the increase of the thickness of  $C_{70}$  layer up to 25 nm, and then abruptly decreased with the further increase of  $C_{70}$  layer thickness. The optimum thickness of  $C_{70}$  layer is 25 nm. The difference between optimum thicknesses of  $C_{70}$  layer and rubrene layer originates from the difference between the electron mobility in the  $C_{70}$  layer and the hole mobility in the rubrene layer.  $C_{70}$  electron mobility is determined by organic field effect transistor (OFET) measurement to be  $\mu_e = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}^{[11]}$ , while rubrene hole mobility is 13 cm<sup>2</sup>/ Vs <sup>[21]</sup>.



Fig.4 Photovoltaic performance of the OSCs with varying thickness of  $C_{70}$  layer

The degradation of OSCs which are exposed in air for 240 h after fabrication without encapsulation is investigated. All the photovoltaic characteristics are measured under the

illumination of 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> with an AM 1.5 G solar simulator. Between two measurements, the device is exposed in air without illumination, while the temperature is kept at 25 °C, and the relative humidity is below 50%.

We can see in Fig.5(a) that the  $V_{\rm oc}$  almost doesn't change with time (from 0.82 V to 0.8 V), while the  $J_{sc}$  decreases continuously with the increase of the time (from 1.54 mA/ cm<sup>2</sup> to 0.36 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>). In Fig.5(b), the  $V_{oc}$  almost doesn't vary with the increase of time, because the energy gap between the HOMO level of rubrene and the LUMO level of  $C_{70}$  is fixed. The  $J_{sc}$ , FF and PCE are continuously decreased over time. The decreases of  $J_{sc}$  and FF are caused by increase of the series resistance of the device. Fig.6 shows the series resistance is continually increased over time (from 60  $\Omega/cm^2$ to 3500  $\Omega/cm^2$ ). The increase of the series resistance is mainly due to both the decrease in carrier mobility of the organic layer and the depravation of electrode/organic interfaces. As we all know, oxygen and H<sub>2</sub>O vapor in ambient are two of the most important factors causing the degradation of OSCs. It is found that pure oxygen does not seem to speed up degradation, while the humidity profoundly influences the stability and leads to rapid degradation<sup>[22,23]</sup>. However, Xi et al found that oxygen is the major factor in their work<sup>[12]</sup>. Rusu et al found that the OSCs degradation under continu-



Fig.5 Photovoltaic performance of the OSCs over time

ous white-light illumination is mainly induced by the changes in the  $C_{60}$  electrical properties and at the CuPc/ $C_{60}$  interface due to photo-assisted diffusion of  $O_2^{[24]}$ . We think that under an ambient condition, oxygen can diffuse and infiltrate into the active materials in cells, and may react with  $C_{70}$  in cells. The reaction causes electron traps in the lattice of  $C_{70}$ molecules, which increases the series resistance and leads to the degradation of cells. Moreover, the reaction of oxygen with the active materials should be fastened under illumination. More oxygen molecules diffuse and infiltrate into the acceptor layer, more electrons can be recombined, which can lead to the increase in interfacial series resistance and worse OSCs performance.



Fig.6 Variation of the series resistance over time

The optimization of rubrene/ $C_{70}$  heterojunction solar cells is investigated. The results indicate the thicknesses of the donor (rubrene) and the acceptor ( $C_{70}$ ) do not change the  $V_{oc}$ . However,  $J_{sc}$  and PCE are increased along with rubrene layer thickness up to 30 nm, and then abruptly decreased as the continuous increase of the thickness of rubrene layer. Likewise,  $J_{sc}$  and PCE are increased with  $C_{70}$  layer thickness up to 25 nm and then suddenly decreased with the further increase of thickness of C70 layer. Therefore, the optimum thicknesses of rubrene layer and C70 layer are determined as 30 nm and 25 nm, respectively. The PCE of the optimum device is 0.81%. The degradation of rubrene/ $C_{70}$  heterojunction solar cells is also investigated. The result indicates the  $V_{\rm oc}$ does not change over time. However, oxygen in ambient can diffuse and infiltrate into the active materials in cells, and may react with C70 in cells, which could result in the increase of interfacial series resistance. The  $J_{sc}$  and FF are decreased continuously over time, which can lead to the continuous decrease of the PCE.

## References

 C. J. Brabec, N. S. Sariciftci and J. C. Hummelen, Adv. Funct. Mater. 11, 15 (2001). Yan Li, Chun-yu Wu and Yue-ning Chen, Optoelectronics Letters 4, 257 (2008).[3] WU Bing, LI Yan-wu, LIU Peng-yi and HOU Lin-tao, Jour-

[2]

- nal of Optoelectronics Laser **21**, 363 (2010). (in Chinese)
- [4] TIAN Hui, XU Hao, YANG Li-ying, ZHANG Feng-ling and Inganas Olle, Journal of Optoelectronics • Laser 21, 886 (2010). (in Chinese)
- [5] J. C. Bernède, Y. Berredjem, L. Cattin and M. Morsli, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 112111 (2007).
- [6] S. Sista, M. H. Park, Z. R. Hong, Y. Wu, J. H. Hou, W. L. Kwan, G. Li and Y. Yang, Adv. Mater. 22, 380 (2010).
- [7] M. Reyes-Reyes, K. Kim and D. L. Carroll, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 083506 (2005).
- [8] J. Y. Kim, K. Lee, N. E. Coates, D. Moses, T. Nguyen, M. Dante and A. J. Heeger, Science 317, 222 (2007).
- [9] S. H. Park, A. Roy, S. Beaupre, S. Cho, N. Coates, J. S. Moon, D. Moses, M. Leclerc, K. Lee and A. J. Heeger, Nature Photonics 3, 297 (2009).
- [10] C. W. Tang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 48, 183 (1986).
- [11] S. Pfuetzner, J. Meiss, A. Petrich, M. Riede and K. Leo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 223307 (2009).
- [12] X. Xi, W. J. Li, J. Q. Wu, J. J. Ji, Zh. R. Shi and G. H. Li, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 94, 2435 (2010).
- [13] Q. L. Song, F. Y. Li, H. Yang, H. R. Wu, X. Z. Wang, W. Zhou, J. M. Zhao, X. M. Ding, C. H. Huang and X. Y. Hou, Chem. Phys. Lett. 416, 42 (2005).
- [14] S. Heutz, P. Sullivan, B. M. Sanderson, S. M. Schultes and T.S. Jones, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 83, 229 (2004).
- [15] H. Neugebauer, C. Brabec, J. C. Hummelen and N. S. Saricifici, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 61, 35 (2000).
- [16] J. M. Kroon, M. M. Wienk, W. J. H. Verhees and J. C. Hummelen, Thin Solid Films 403, 223 (2002).
- [17] Md. Khairul Hassan Bhuiyan and Tetsu Mieno, Thin Solid Films 441, 187 (2003).
- [18] M. P. de Jong, D. P. L. Simons, M. A. Reijme, L. J. van IJzendoorn, A. W. Denier van der Gon, M. J. A. de Voigt, H. H. Brongersma and R. W. Gymer, Synth. Met. **110**, 1 (2000).
- [19] M. Jørgensen, K. Norrman and F. C. Krebs, Sol. Cells 92, 686 (2008).
- [20] T. Ameri, G. Dennler, C. Lungenschmied and C. J. Brabec, Energy Environ. Sci. 2, 347 (2009).
- [21] E. Reichmanis, H. Katz, C. Kloc and A. Maliakal, Bell Labs Technical Journal 10, 87 (2005).
- [22] F. C. Krebs, S. A. Gevorgyan and B. Gholamkhass, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 93, 1968 (2009).
- [23] M. H. Petersen, S. A. Gevorgyan and F. C. Krebs, Macromolecules 41, 8986 (2008).
- [24] M. Rusu, J. Strotmann, M. Vogel, M. Ch. Lux-Steiner and K. Fostiropoulos, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 153511 (2007).