Influence of a dielectric decoupling layer on the local electric field and molecular spectroscopy in plasmonic nanocavities: a numerical study
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In this Letter, we use electromagnetic simulations to systematically investigate the influence of a thin dielectric layer on the local electric field and molecular spectroscopy in the plasmonic junction. It is found that both the intensity and spatial confinement of the electric field and molecular spectroscopy can be significantly enhanced by applying a dielectric layer with large dielectric constant. We also discuss the optimal dielectric layer thickness to obtain the largest quantum efficiency of a dipole emitter. These results may be instructive for further studies in molecular spectroscopy and optoelectronics in plasmonic junctions.
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1. Introduction

Single molecules are elementary building blocks for constructing integrated functional devices in the field of organic electronics and optoelectronics. Single-molecule spectroscopy conducted by a scanning tunneling microscope (STM), including STM-induced electroluminescence (STML)\(^1\)-\(^8\), tip-enhanced photoluminescence (TEPL)\(^9\), and tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS)\(^10\)-\(^12\), is an attractive research area that has caught wide interest in the past decades, and much intriguing information of the molecular scale has been reported. It is known that when molecules are directly adsorbed on the metal surface, the electrons in molecules and the metal surface may strongly hybridize. Consequently, the electronic excitation energy in the molecule can be quickly transferred to the metal and finally lost non-radiatively as heat. To prevent molecular fluorescence quenching and to reduce the perturbation to the molecular orbitals, emitters must be electronically decoupled from the metal surface. In the past decades, diverse experimental strategies have been implemented to prevent fluorescence quenching of quantum emitters in the junction of an STM\(^13\). A most common strategy is to insert an ultrathin insulating decoupling layer between the emitter and the metal surface to suppress their electronic hybridizations\(^11\). Meanwhile, an insulating decoupling layer has also been implemented for TERS measurements of molecules\(^14\). Although no fluorescence quenching needs to be overcome for TERS, a decoupling layer will reduce the strong hybridization and charge migration between the molecule and the metal substrate, enabling the intrinsic properties of an individual molecule to be ultimately preserved.

The fluorescence-quenching-suppression effect of an insulating decoupling layer might be attributed to at least two factors. Firstly, the insertion of an insulating decoupling layer will largely block the direct electron hybridization between the molecule and the substrate and thus suppress the ultrafast charge-transfer-induced quenching upon contact. Secondly, the decoupling layer will increase the distance between the molecular emitter and the metal substrate and thus suppress the Ohmic loss induced by the substrate. For practical reasons, one important question is whether and how the thickness and dielectric constant of the decoupling layer affect the molecular fluorescence. Previous STML experiments with single molecules adsorbed on ultrathin insulating NaCl films on Ag(100) surface show that as the thickness of NaCl films grows from two layers to five layers, the electroluminescence intensity grows monotonically, due to the better electronic and electromagnetic...
decoupling provided by the thicker decoupling layers\textsuperscript{[5]}. But, it remains unclear whether there exists an optimal thickness of the decoupling layer and whether the dielectric constant of the decoupling layer affects the molecular spectroscopy.

In this Letter, we use classical electromagnetic simulations to systematically study the influence of a thin insulating decoupling layer on the local electric field enhancement and emission properties of a point dipole in the STM tunnel junction. For simplification, we only consider the electromagnetic response of the junction while the electronic structures of the metal junction and the decoupling layer are not considered. Our simulations show that the growth of a decoupling layer on the metal substrate surface will increase both the electric field intensity and lateral spatial confinement, compared to the situation with the same tip–substrate distance but without a decoupling layer. In addition, we find that there exists an optimal decoupling layer thickness to obtain the largest quantum efficiency of a dipole emitter, but this optimal thickness depends on the dielectric constant of the dielectric layer, the materials of the junction, as well as the dipole orientation. Furthermore, the simulations suggest that to obtain stronger molecular photoluminescence intensity and Raman intensity as well as higher spatial resolution, a decoupling layer with a larger dielectric constant is preferred.

2. Numerical Methods

We performed electromagnetic simulations using frequency-domain finite-element method based on COMSOL Multiphysics to numerically study the local electric field enhancement and the radiative and non-radiative decay enhancement of an electric dipole emitter in model STM junctions\textsuperscript{[9,15,16]}. As schematically shown in Fig. 1(a), the STM tip is modeled as a truncated cone with a height of 300 nm, within which a sphere with a radius of 30 nm is embedded at its terminal. The substrate is modeled as a hemisphere with a radius of 300 nm. A thin cylinder with a radius of 30 nm, a height of \(\ell_0\), and a dielectric constant of \(\varepsilon_t\), is constructed above the metal substrate to model the decoupling layer. The region used for electromagnetic simulations is a large sphere with a radius of 900 nm, with perfectly matched layer boundary conditions extending to 1500 nm. The Brendel–Bormann model\textsuperscript{[17]} was used to describe the dielectric responses of the tip and substrate. In this work, the photon energy is set to be 2 eV for \(\varepsilon_t = 1\), 1.95 eV for \(\varepsilon_t = 2\), and 1.9 eV for \(\varepsilon_t = 9\), close to the dipolar resonance energy of the junction.

The quantum efficiency of a point dipole is calculated as follows. We first calculate the electromagnetic fields in space for a point dipole placed at a position \(\mathbf{r}\). Then, the radiation power \(P_{\text{rad}}\) to the far field from the dipole is calculated through integrating the time-averaged Poynting vector \((\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \Re(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*))\) over the boundary of the simulation region, where \(\mathbf{E}\) and \(\mathbf{H}\) represent the electric and magnetic fields, respectively. The non-radiative power \(P_{\text{non-rad}}\) is calculated through integrating the power dissipation density \((\frac{1}{2} \sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2)\) over the volumes of the tip and substrate, where \(\sigma\) represents their conductivity. Then, the quantum efficiency is evaluated as \(\eta = \frac{P_{\text{rad}}}{P_{\text{rad}} + P_{\text{non-rad}}}\).

3. Results and Discussions

Surface plasmons have been widely used to enhance weak optical signals, e.g., from molecules\textsuperscript{[18,19]} and hot electrons\textsuperscript{[20]}. It is known that the plasmonic response of metal structures is sensitive to the dielectric environment\textsuperscript{[21]}. In STM junctions, the strength and spatial distribution of the plasmonic fields are not determined by the tip itself but can be strongly influenced by the substrate\textsuperscript{[15,24]}. The electric field can be orders of magnitude larger for a metal substrate than for a dielectric substrate\textsuperscript{[9,24]}. The effect of the substrate can be rationalized by considering that the oscillating charge in the tip induces a mirror charge distribution in the substrate that can further increase the local field intensity in the gap region. In addition, the electromagnetic coupling between the oscillating charges in the tip and in the substrate could change the plasmon resonance of the junction. The capability of the substrate to support this image charge is determined by its dielectric function and is thus sensitive to the dielectric adsorptions.
We first study the influence of the dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) of the decoupling layer on the electric field enhancement in the model STM junction under plane wave illumination. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 1(a), a p-polarized plane wave with an incident angle of 60° with respect to the surface normal is used as an excitation source. We define the local electric field enhancement factor \( M_z(r) = |E_z(r)|/|E_0| \), where \( E_z(r) \) is the vertical component of the local electric field at position \( r \), and \( E_0 \) is the electric field of the incident plane wave. When the dielectric constant of the decoupling layer is set to \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \), the plasmonic nanocavity exhibits two clear resonance peaks at \( \sim 2 \) eV and \( \sim 2.7 \) eV, which can be attributed to the dipolar and quadrupolar modes, respectively [Fig. 1(b)]\(^{25} \). As the thickness of the decoupling layer is kept as a constant value of \( t_d = 1 \) nm but the dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) is increased from 1 to 9, both the dipolar and quadrupolar nanocavity plasmon modes gradually redshift, and the local field enhancement \( M_z(r) \) increases significantly, as the decoupling layer affects the hybridization of the tip and substrate. The spatial distribution of the field enhancement in the \( xz \) plane (with \( y = 0 \)) for different \( \varepsilon_r \) is shown in Fig. 1(c). It can be seen that as \( \varepsilon_r \) is increased but the distance between the surfaces of the tip and substrate (i.e., gap distance \( d_{\text{gap}} \)) is kept constant, the local electric field in the vacuum region becomes more intense and more spatially confined both vertically and laterally. Meanwhile, the electric field inside the dielectric layer becomes increasingly weaker. The induced surface charge densities at the surfaces of the tip and the substrate for \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \) and \( \varepsilon_r = 9 \), which will help to understand the plasmonic hybridization, are shown in the upper and lower panels of Fig. 1(d).

The phenomenon that the electric field is enhanced in the vacuum but weakened inside the decoupling layer is more clearly illustrated in Fig. 2(a), where the local electric field enhancement \( M_z \) along the \( z \) axis (with \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 0 \)) is shown. Here, the positions at \( z = 0 \) nm, \( z = 1.0 \) nm, and \( z = 1.6 \) nm correspond to the substrate surface, the upper surface of the decoupling layer, and the tip apex, respectively. When \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \), the electric field in the gap region is vertically continuous. In addition, the electric field is with almost the same intensity close to the tip surface (\( z = 0 \)) and the substrate surface (\( z = 1.6 \) nm) since the tip radius is much larger than the gap distance. This is further supported by the spatial distribution of the normalized induced surface charge densities \( \sigma_{\text{ind}}(r) \) for \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \) in the lower panel of Fig. 1(d), from which we can see that the maximum value of \( |\sigma_{\text{ind}}(r)| \) is almost identical at the tip apex and at the substrate surface (all charge density values are normalized to the maximum value of \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \)). However, as \( \varepsilon_r \) becomes larger than one, the electric field becomes discontinuous at the interface between the vacuum and the dielectric layer (i.e., at the \( z = 1 \) nm plane): the electric field is stronger in the vacuum region but weaker in the decoupling layer. As \( \varepsilon_r \) is further increased, the discontinuity becomes increasingly more significant. Indeed, the continuous condition requires that the normal component of the electric displacement be continuous at the interface and thus \( E_{z,\text{vac}} = \varepsilon_r E_{z,d} \), where \( E_{z,\text{vac}} \) and \( E_{z,d} \) are the \( z \) components of the electric field in the vacuum and in the decoupling layer, respectively. Therefore, the electric field in the vacuum region will approximately be \( \varepsilon_r \) times as large as the electric field in the decoupling layer, as can be verified in Fig. 2(b). As shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1(d), the maximum value of \( |\sigma_{\text{ind}}(r)| \) at the tip terminal is increased by over 210%, while it is decreased by over 80% at the substrate surface when \( \varepsilon_r \) is increased from 1 to 9. In other words, one can say that as the dielectric constant of the decoupling layer increases, the electric field at the dielectric/substrate interface is more strongly screened, and thus the induced surface charge at this interface decreases. Meanwhile, \( \sigma_{\text{ind}}(r) \) becomes more localized in the junction. In addition, with the increment of \( \varepsilon_r \), not only the intensity of the electric field becomes stronger but its lateral spatial distribution also becomes more confined both horizontally and vertically in the vacuum region. As shown in Fig. 2(d), as \( \varepsilon_r \) increases from 1 to 9, the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of \( M_z \) in the lateral direction decreases from 14.6 nm to 9.2 nm. This is because as \( \varepsilon_r \) is increased, the electric field is more confined in the vacuum region between the tip and the decoupling layer, which is evidently smaller than the region between the tip and substrate. This decreases the vertical and horizontal spatial extension of the electric field and increases the electric field energy density, generating electric fields with higher intensity and smaller FWHM.

In tip-enhanced single-molecule spectroscopy experiments, a larger electric enhancement and a better spatial confinement of the local electric field are usually in demand, since the former is usually related to the intensity, while the latter is related to the spatial resolution of molecular spectroscopy measurements. To achieve these goals, one could usually employ a sharper tip or decrease the gap distance. However, the introduction of the decoupling layer usually prevents one from shrinking the gap distance to extremely small values. Our calculations suggest that increasing the dielectric constant of the decoupling layer will help to increase the field enhancement and spatial confinement, although the distance between the surfaces of the metal tip and the metal substrate is still kept at a relatively large value.

In addition to the dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \), the thickness of the dielectric layer \( t_d \) could also affect the intensity and the lateral spatial confinement of the local electric fields. In Fig. 3, the distance between the tip apex and the upper surface of the decoupling layer is set to be \( d_{\text{vac}} = 0.6 \) nm, so the gap distance \( d_{\text{gap}} \) is 0.6 nm larger than the thickness \( t_d \) of the decoupling layer:

\[
E_z = E_0 \exp(-k_d z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} E_0 \exp(-k_v z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_v} E_0 \exp(-k_v z),
\]

where \( k_d \) and \( k_v \) are the wave numbers in the dielectric layer and the vacuum, respectively.
As shown in Fig. 3(a), when $\epsilon_r = 1$, as the thickness of the decoupling layer increases (this is equivalent to increasing the gap distance), the field enhancement $|E_z/E_0|$ quickly decreases, and the field becomes laterally less confined. Specifically, increasing the gap distance from 0.6 nm to 3.6 nm will dramatically decrease the field enhancement from $\sim$853 to $\sim$97 [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)], while significantly increasing the FWHM of $M_z$ from $\sim$8.0 nm to $\sim$23.2 nm. This is because the increment of the gap distance increases the effective volume of the plasmonic nanocavity, which will not only make the electromagnetic fields less confined both vertically and horizontally, but also reduce the local electromagnetic density and thus decrease the electric field intensity. On the other hand, if we choose a dielectric layer with a large dielectric constant of $\epsilon_r = 9$, as $t_d$ is increased from 0 to 3 nm, the field enhancement is reduced from $\sim$853 to $\sim$548, while the FWHM of $M_z$ is only increased from $\sim$8.0 nm to $\sim$10.7 nm [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)]. As illustrated, the reductions of the field intensity and lateral spatial confinement are much less significant with the increment of the gap distance if $\epsilon_r$ is large.

Previous STML experiments for single molecules on ultrathin NaCl films suggested that as the thickness of the NaCl decoupling layer grows from two layers to five layers, the fluorescence intensity grows monotonically[45]. However, it remains unclear whether there exists an optimal thickness of the decoupling layer or whether and how the dielectric constant of the decoupling layer affects the emission properties. Here, we numerically study the quantum efficiency of an electric dipole emitter with either vertical [Figs. 4(a)–4(c)] or horizontal [Figs. 4(d)–4(f)] orientations placed on a decoupling layer with different thicknesses $t_d$ and dielectric constants $\epsilon_r$. As shown in Fig. 4(b), the quantum efficiency of a vertical dipole with the Ag substrate is comparatively large, even when the distance between the dipole and the substrate is very small (i.e., very small $t_d$). This is because for the Ag plasmonic nanocavity with a very small gap distance, the vertical component of the electric field $E_z$ is extremely strong [see, e.g., Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. The effective coupling between $E_z$ and the vertical dipole enables the radiative decay rate of the dipole to be comparable to the non-radiative decay rate, and thus the dipole emission is not quenched[9,26]. A thicker decoupling layer may even decrease rather than increase $\eta$ due to the decreasing of the electric field with the increasing gap distance.

However, when the vertical dipole is placed above a more lossy W substrate, choosing a proper decoupling layer thickness becomes more important [Fig. 4(c)]. When the thickness $t_d$ is very small, the non-radiative channels induced by the lossy W substrate will largely decrease $\eta$. As $t_d$ is increased, the distance between the dipole and the substrate is increased, and the quantum efficiency first increases and then decreases, showing an optimal value that is sensitive to $\epsilon_r$. For example, the optimal thickness to reach the largest $\eta$ is $t_d \approx 0.9$ nm for $\epsilon_r = 1$, further increasing $t$ will decrease rather than increase $\eta$. The optimal thickness grows with increasing $\epsilon_r$. For $\epsilon_r = 9$, further increasing $t_d$ will not decrease $\eta$. This is because, when $\epsilon_r$ is large enough, the electric field is primarily confined within the small region between the tip terminal and the upper surface of the decoupling layer, and thus the electromagnetic energy density is not much decreased with increasing decoupling layer thickness, as we have...
and the TERS intensity is approximated as

Moreover, the photon images become more spatially confined, as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), both the intensities of TEPL and TERS decrease by 3 and 4 orders of magnitude due to the much weaker electric field in larger gaps. However, as the decoupling layer thickness becomes much slower. Moreover, at a fixed decoupling layer thickness, both the TEPL and TERS intensities with increasing decoupling layer thickness becomes much faster. Moreover, at a fixed decoupling layer thickness of 0.2 nm, both the TEPL and TERS intensities, respectively, decrease as the decoupling layer thickness is increased from 0.2 nm to 1 nm or below will give the largest quantum efficiency, while for the substrate with large dielectric loss, a thicker decoupling layer might be better. However, it should be noted that some other factors, such as electronic hybridization, electron tunneling, and quantum corrections to the dielectric responses, could further suppress the molecular emission, but these effects are not taken into account in the classical electromagnetic calculations.

Finally, we briefly discuss the influence of the thickness \( t_d \) and dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) of the decoupling layer on the intensity of single-molecule TEPL and TERS. In the calculations of Fig. 5, the TEPL intensity is approximated as \( I_{\text{TEPL}} \approx |E_z|^2 / |E_0|^2 \times \eta \), and the TERS intensity is approximated as \( I_{\text{TERS}} \approx |E_z|^4 / |E_0|^4 \). As shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), both the intensities of TEPL and TERS show a strong dependence on the thickness \( t_d \) and dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) of the decoupling layer. For \( \varepsilon_r = 1 \), as the decoupling layer thickness is increased from 0.2 nm to 5 nm, the TEPL and TERS intensities, respectively, decrease by 3 and 4 orders of magnitude due to the much weaker electric field in larger gaps. However, as \( \varepsilon_r \) is increased, the decrease of TEPL and TERS intensities with increasing decoupling layer thickness becomes much slower. Moreover, at a fixed decoupling layer thickness, both the TEPL and TERS intensities become larger for larger \( \varepsilon_r \), especially when \( t_d \) is large. As shown in the simulated single-molecule TEPL and TERS photon images in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), for a fixed value of \( t_d = 1 \) nm, as \( \varepsilon_r \) is increased from 1 to 9, the TEPL intensity increases by \( \sim 17 \) times, and the TERS intensity increases by \( \sim 92 \) times. Moreover, the photon images become more spatially confined, suggesting that applying a decoupling layer with larger dielectric constant could further improve the spatial resolution of single-molecule TEPL and TERS imaging. Thus, it is believed that a dielectric layer with larger \( \varepsilon_r \) is very helpful to increase the intensities as well as the spatial confinement of STML, TEPL, and TERS of individual molecules.

4. Conclusion

In this work, we have used electromagnetic simulations to numerically study the influence of a thin dielectric decoupling layer on the local field enhancement and molecular spectroscopy intensity in the junction of an STM. Our simulations show that the growth of a decoupling layer on the metal substrate surface will increase both the electric field intensity and lateral spatial confinement, compared to the situation with the same tip–substrate distance but without a decoupling layer. In addition, we find that there exists an optimal decoupling layer thickness to obtain the largest quantum efficiency of a dipole emitter, but this optimal thickness depends on the dielectric constant of the dielectric layer, the materials of the junction,
as well as the dipole orientation. To obtain higher molecular photoluminescence, Raman intensities, and spatial resolution, a decoupling layer with a larger dielectric constant is preferred. These results may be instructive for further studies in molecular optics and optoelectronics in plasmonic junctions.
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