# Experimental evidence of photonic crystal waveguides with wide bandwidth in two－dimensional $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rods array 
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#### Abstract

A cross－shaped photonic crystal waveguide formed by a square lattice $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rods array is numerically and experimentally investigated．The band gap of the TE mode for the photonic crystals and transmission characteristics of waveguides are calculated by the plane wave expansion method and the finite element method． We perform the experiments in the microwave regime to validate the numerical results．The measured reflection and transmission characteristics of the photonic crystals show a large band gap between 8.62 and 11.554 GHz （relative bandwidth is $29.34 \%$ ）．The electromagnetic waves are transmitted stably in the waveguides，and the transmission characteristics maintain a high level in the band gap．

OCIS codes：230．5298，160．5293，130．5296． doi：10．3788／COL201715．062301．


In the recent years，photonic crystals（PCs）have attracted a lot of attention，since they can be used in the controlling of light flow based on the photonic band gap（PBG）${ }^{[1]}$ ． By enhancing and manipulating the light trapping efficiency，these structures can contribute significant applications in linear and nonlinear photonic devices like isolators ${ }^{[2]}$ ，solar cells $s^{[3]}$ ，mirrors ${ }^{[4]}$ ，waveguides ${ }^{[5]}$ ，lasers and cavities ${ }^{[6]-2]}$ ，filters ${ }^{[8,9]}$ ，circulators ${ }^{[10-13]}$ ，and other important components for high density optical integration ${ }^{[4414,15]}$ ．

Although the PC waveguides（PCWs）have received significant attention ${ }^{[16-21]}$ ，their transmission and especially the PCW with a wide bandwidth have been much less studied．Based on the characteristics of the PBG，design－ ing PCW with a larger PBG will produce significant im－ pacts both in academic and application areas．

In this study，we focus on developing a new two－ dimensional（2D）square lattice PC structure with a larger PBG，which can be used to design a cross－shaped PCW with a wide bandwidth，as shown in Fig．1．The basic in－ gredient of the PCW structure in our case is a $19 \times 19$ square lattice rods array，distributing in the air with two rows of line defects，whose structural parameters are presented in Fig．1（a）．The radius of the rods is $r_{0}=0.167 a$ with $\varepsilon_{r}=9.2$ ．The lattice constant is repre－ sented by $a$ ．

The PBGs are calculated by using commercially avail－ able photonic band calculation software（BandSOLVE， Rsoft Design Group），and the numerical analysis here is only carried out for TE polarization，as shown in Fig．1（d）．A wide PBG is obtained by the plane wave ex－ pansion method，which is expressed as the normalized frequency range of $0.3525(2 \pi c / a)-0.4687(2 \pi c / a)$ ，and the central frequency is $a / \lambda=0.4016$ ，where $c$ is the speed of the light．In principle，the PC structure forbids the
propagation of electromagnetic waves within this PBG frequency range and reflects impinging light waves back．
The schematic diagram of the PCW is envisaged by inserting a 2D square lattice dielectric rods array（red） in a rectangular metal waveguide with a transparent shell， as shown in Fig．1（b）．In order to guarantee the PBG char－ acteristic of PC，it is necessary to ensure the high precision of the periodic structure．Here，a metal waveguide（yellow） is used to fix the dielectric rods，and in this way，precision machining can be obtained，as shown in Fig．1（c）．Four flange interfaces are designed to be used in connection with the test equipment．
As shown in Fig．2，the function of the PCW and the electromagnetic field propagation are simulated by the fi－ nite element method．The calculated region is divided into about 100,000 grid cells，and the boundary condition is set for the scattering boundary condition．The numerical re－ sults show that the electromagnetic waves launched from Port A are transmitted stably to Ports B，C，and D in the waveguides at the central frequency of $a / \lambda=0.4016$ ，as shown in Fig．2（a）．The amplitude of the output port is almost same with the input port，as shown in Fig．2（b）． The power of the electromagnetic waves divided into three parts are transmitted into the three output ports，and the output power of Port C is slightly larger than the power of Port B or D，as shown in Figs．2（e）and 2（f）．The trans－ mission efficiencies of the Ports B，C，and D to Port A， respectively，are $24.8 \%, 25.3 \%$ ，and $24.8 \%$ ，and total transmission efficiency of the PCW is $74.9 \%$ ．However， there is no optical local effect of the PCW．The energy spread in the PCs at the normalized frequency of $0.28(2 \pi c / a)$ and $0.52(2 \pi c / a)$ away from the frequency range of the PBG are shown in Figs．2（c），2（d），2（g）， and 2（h），respectively．


Fig. 1. (a) 2D PCs defect structure; (b) 2D square lattice PCW in a transparent shell; (c) schematic diagram of the PCW; (d) PBG of the 2D square lattice PCs.


Fig. 2. (a) Ez field distribution in the PCW; (b) the amplitude of the Ez field; (c) and (d) the distribution of power in the PCs at the normalized frequency of $0.28(2 \pi c / a)$; (e) and (f) the distribution of power in the PCW at the central frequency of $0.4016(2 \pi c / a)$; $(\mathrm{g})$ and $(\mathrm{h})$ the distribution of power in the PCs at the central frequency of $0.52(2 \pi c / a)$.

In order to validate the aforementioned numerical results, the experiments are carried out in the microwave regime using an Agilent E8361C vector network analyzer. The PCs structure sample is formed by inserting a 2D $9 \times$ 9 square lattice $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ceramic rods array (with the lattice constant $a=12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in a rectangular aluminous waveguide $(120 \mathrm{~mm} \times 120 \mathrm{~mm})$. The size of the flange port is $20.86 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10.16 \mathrm{~mm}$. Cylindrical $99 \% \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ dielectric rods with a radius of $r_{0}=0.167 a$ (about 2 mm ) were used in the experiments, and its permittivity is 9.2 . The sectional view of the PCs sample with the foam plastic double-layer flat plate absorbing material (black) at each side of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rods array is shown in Fig. 3(a). The frequency range of the electromagnetic waves that can be absorbed in the material is $4-18 \mathrm{GHz}$.

The experiment set for measuring transmission through the PCs is shown in Fig. 3(b). The PCs sample and the vector network analyzer are connected through the SubMiniature version A (SMA) connector and waveguide to the coaxial converter. In the scanning frequency range of 7 to 13 GHz , the reflection and transmission characteristics of the PCs are measured, as shown in Fig. 4. Since the numerical central frequency is 10.04 GHz , which is calculated by $a / \lambda=0.4016$, in the experiment, the central frequency is chosen to be 10 GHz .

In the experiments, parameter $S_{11}$ represents the logarithmic value of the ratio of the reflected power to the input power of Port 1. Parameter $S_{21}$ represents the logarithmic value of the ratio of the output power of Port 2 from the input power of Port 1. Similarly, $S_{22}$ and $S_{12}$ represent the reflection and transmission characteristics of Port 2. In the classical measurement of the network analyzer, the $S$ parameters are, respectively, expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{11}=10 \lg \left(P_{R 1} / P_{1}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& S_{21}=10 \lg \left(P_{21} / P_{1}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& S_{12}=10 \lg \left(P_{12} / P_{2}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& S_{22}=10 \lg \left(P_{R 2} / P_{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are the input power of Ports 1 and 2. $P_{R 1}$ and $P_{R 2}$ are the reflected power of Ports 1 and 2. $P_{21}$ is the transmission power from Port 1 to Port 2, and $P_{12}$ is the transmission power from Port 2 to Port 1.

In Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), it is obvious that the transmission efficiencies between Port 1 and Port 2 are -55.67 dB (Ports 1 to 2) and -57.24 dB (Ports 2 to 1 ) at the central


Fig. 3. (a) Sectional view of the PCs sample; (b) experimental setup for measuring the PBG of the PCs.


Fig. 4. (a) Logarithmic value of the reflection efficiency of Port A; (b) the isolation $\tau_{B A}$ of Ports B to A ; (c) the isolation $\tau_{A B}$ of Ports A to B; (d) the logarithmic value of the reflection efficiency of Port B.
frequency of 10 GHz . That means that there is almost no energy from one port transmitting to another. The reason is that the PC plays a role in the isolation.

When the insertion loss of the electric cable and adapter is ignored, reflection coefficients $R$ and isolation $\tau$ of the PCs are, respectively, expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
10 \lg R_{A}=S_{11}=10 \lg \left(P_{R 1} / P_{1}\right)  \tag{5}\\
10 \lg R_{B}=S_{22}=10 \lg \left(P_{R 2} / P_{1}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\tau_{B A}=S_{21}=10 \lg \left(P_{21} / P_{1}\right)  \tag{7}\\
\tau_{A B}=S_{12}=10 \lg \left(P_{12} / P_{1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R_{A}$ and $R_{B}$ are the reflection efficiencies of the PCs, and $\tau_{B A}$ and $\tau_{A B}$ are the isolation of Port B to Port A or Port A to Port B. As shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), the experimental results show that there is an ultra-wide PBG of $8.62-11.554 \mathrm{GHz}(\tau<-20 \mathrm{~dB})$. Reflection coefficients $R_{A}$ and $R_{B}$ in Figs. 4(a) and 4(d) change smoothly in the frequency range of the PBG, and the reflection coefficients are approximately -0.45 dB (about $90.2 \%$ ) at the central frequency of 10 GHz . That means that more than $90 \%$ energy is reflected back to the input port by the PCs with a low loss.

Furthermore, the experimental setup for validating the transmission characteristics of the cross-shaped PCW is shown in Fig. 5. The $19 \times 19 \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ceramic rods array with two rows of line defects are fixed by the upper and


Fig. 5. (a) Sectional view of the PCW sample; (b) experimental setup for measuring the transmission efficiency between Port A and Port C; (c) experimental setup for measuring the transmission efficiency between Port A and Port D.
bottom plate of the brass waveguide, as shown in Fig. 5(a). The transmission efficiency $\eta$ is tested by connecting the load to the other two ports shown in Figs. $\underline{5(\mathrm{~b})}$ and $5(\mathrm{c})$, which can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10 \lg \eta_{C A}=S_{21}=10 \lg \left(P_{C A} / P_{1}\right),  \tag{9}\\
& 10 \lg \eta_{D A}=S_{21}=10 \lg \left(P_{D A} / P_{1}\right), \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{C A}$ and $P_{D A}$ are the output power of Port C and Port D from input Port A, and $\eta_{C A}$ and $\eta_{D A}$ are the transmission efficiencies of Port C to Port A or Port D to Port A, whose logarithmic value is represent by the parameter $S_{21}$ in Fig. $\underline{6}$.

The experimental results show that there is stable transmission in the PCW. The transmission efficiencies change smoothly in the aforementioned PBG of 8.6211.554 GHz , as shown in Fig. 6. The transmission efficiency inside the PBG is smooth, while it still fluctuated
when outside the PBG. The transmission efficiencies of some frequencies outside the PBG are as high as the transmission inside the PBG. At the central frequency of 10 GHz , transmission efficiency $\eta_{C A}$ of -5.788 dB $(26.4 \%)$ is higher than $\eta_{D A}$ of $-6.356 \mathrm{~dB}(23.1 \%)$, as shown in Figs. $\underline{6(\mathrm{a})}$ and $\underline{6(\mathrm{c})}$, respectively. The transmission efficiency of Ports C to A or Ports D to A represented by parameter $S_{12}$ has the same changing trend with parameter $S_{21}$, as shown in Figs. $6(\mathrm{~b})$ and $6(\mathrm{~d})$. Due to the symmetrical structure of the PCW, the transmission efficiency of Ports A to B is considered to be the same as Ports A to D, and the total transmission efficiency of the PCW is $72.6 \%$, which is perfectly consistent with the numerical value. The transmission performance of the PCW deteriorates significantly beyond the range of the PBG.
A comparison is made between the experimental and numerical results, as shown in Table 1. It is obvious that there is a perfect agreement on the central frequency between the experimental and numerical results. The PBG


Fig. 6. Logarithmic value of the transmission efficiency between Port A and Port C: (a) $S_{21}$ and (b) $S_{12}$; Logarithmic value of the transmission efficiency between Port A and Port D: (c) $S_{21}$ and (d) $S_{12}$.

Table 1. Comparison Between Experimental and Numerical Results

| Numerical value | Normalized frequency range of PBG | $0.3525(2 \pi c / a)-0.4687(2 \pi c / a)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Normalized central frequency | $0.4016(2 \pi c / a)$ |  |
| Frequency range of PBG | $8.81-11.72 \mathrm{GHz}(29.1 \%)$ |  |
| Experimental value | Central frequency $f_{0}$ | 10.04 GHz |
| Transmission efficiency at $f_{0}$ | $74.9 \%$ |  |
| $f_{0}$ | 10 GHz |  |
| $\tau_{B A}$ at $f_{0}$ | -55.67 dB |  |
| $\tau_{A B}$ at $f_{0}$ | -57.24 dB |  |
|  | Frequency range of PBG $(\tau<-20 \mathrm{~dB})$ | $8.62-11.554 \mathrm{GHz}($ relative bandwidth is $29.34 \%)$ |
| $R_{A}$ at $f_{0}$ | $-0.45 \mathrm{~dB}(90.2 \%)$ |  |
| $R_{B}$ at $f_{0}$ | $-0.45 \mathrm{~dB}(90.2 \%)$ |  |
| Transmission efficiency at $f_{0}$ | $72.9 \%$ |  |

of the PCs has a slightly red shift, and the possible reason is that the actual dielectric constant of the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rods is slightly larger than 9.2 . But, it is surely because the width (about $29 \%$ ) of the PBG is strikingly consistent between the experimental and numerical results. The isolation $\tau$ of the PCs is up to -57.24 dB , and reflection efficiencies $R$ of the PCs are higher than $90 \%$ at the central frequency. The reflection efficiencies of the PCs in Figs. 4(a) and 4(d) maintain a high level in a relatively large frequency range. Furthermore, the transmission efficiency of the PCW maintains a stable level in the frequency range in Figs. $\underline{6}$ and reaches $72.9 \%$ at the central frequency, which is consistent with the numerical value of $74.9 \%$.

In conclusion, a newly cross-shaped PCW with the ultra-wide PBG (relative bandwidth is $29.34 \%$ ) formed by the $99 \% \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rods array is numerically and experimentally investigated. At the central frequency, the isolation of PCs reaches -57.24 dB , and the transmission efficiency of the PCW is approximately $73 \%$. As to the PCW, it should be noted that the process is relatively simple, and the material can be obtained easily. The excellent performance of the PBG and low material loss demonstrate that square lattice PCs using $99 \% \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ rods is a promising method for generating an optical waveguide or other optical devices with a large PBG in integrated optical communication in the future. If some nonreciprocal sample, such as a ferrite post biased external magnet is put in the central point of the waveguide junction, a circulator with a 2D PCs structure may be realized in the frequency of the PBG of PCs.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61171006.

## References

1. E. Yablonovitch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2059 (1987).
2. C. Wang, X. L. Zhong, and Z. Y. Li, Sci. Rep. 2, 674 (2012).
3. D. Zhou and R. Biswas, J. App. Phys. 103, 093102 (2008).
4. A. Bruyant, G. Lérondel, P. J. Reece, and M. Gal, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 3227 (2003).
5. A. Chutinan, M. Okano, and S. Noda, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 1698 (2002).
6. Y. Akahane, T. Asano, B. S. Song, and S. Noda, Nature 425, 944 (2003).
7. T. D. Happ, A. Markard, M. Kamp, J. L. Gentner, and A. Forchel, Electron. Lett. 37, 428 (2001).
8. Y. Akahane, M. Mochizuki, T. Asano, Y. Tanaka, and S. Noda, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 1341 (2003).
9. M. Qiu and B. Jaskorzynska, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 1074 (2003).
10. Z. Wang and S. H. Fan, Opt. Lett. 30, 1989 (2005).
11. Y. Wang, D. G. Zhang, S. X. Xu, Z. B. Ouyang, and J. Z. Li, Opt. Commun. 369, 1 (2016).
12. A. A. Jalali and A. T. Friberg, Opt. Lett. 30, 1213 (2005).
13. Y. Wang, D. G. Zhang, Z. B. Ouyang, and J. Z. Li, Acta Opt. Sin. 34, 1023001 (2014).
14. K. Yayoi, K. Tobinaga, Y. Kaneko, A. V. Baryshev, and M. Inoue, J. Appl. Phys. 109, 07B750 (2011).
15. Z. H. Zhu, W. M. Ye, J. R. Ji, X. D. Yuan, and C. Zen, Opt. Express 14, 1783 (2006).
16. X. Lou, Y. Nie, J. Liao, X. Yang, P. Huang, D. Chen, and X. Li, Chin. Opt. Lett. 12, S11903 (2014).
17. F. Xu and Y. Sun, Chin. Opt. Lett. 14, 031901 (2016).
18. D. R. Solli, C. F. Mccormick, R. Y. Chiao, and J. M. Hickmann, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 1036 (2003).
19. S. Duan, Y. Chen, G. Li, C. Zhu, and X. Chen, Chin. Opt. Lett. 14, 042301 (2016).
20. J. F. Xia, S. Serna, W. Zhang, L. Vivien, and E. Cassan, Photon. Res. 4, 257 (2016).
21. M. Lin, X. Jin, Z. Ouyang, G. Zheng, and G. Wen, Chin. Opt. Lett. 13, S11301 (2015).
