

# Whiteness formula in CIELAB uniform color space

Guoxin He (何国兴)<sup>1</sup> and Mingxun Zhou (周明训)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Donghua University, Shanghai 200051

<sup>2</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai 200051

Received January 4, 2007

Many attempts have been made to standardize the calculation of whiteness. Whiteness formulas currently in use satisfactorily characterize the appearance of commercial whiteness. However, they have poor correlations with the observers' evaluations, and are often unsuccessful in assessing tinted white samples. A whiteness formula in the CIELAB uniform color space is developed in this paper. Several whiteness formulas are analyzed and compared. The experimental results show that the whiteness formula in the CIELAB uniform color space agrees well with the visual ranking, and it is superior to the CIE whiteness formula and the others in visual correlativity, uniformity and applicability.

OCIS codes: 330.1710, 330.1730.

With the advent of fluorescent whitening agents, the general idea of whiteness has changed. Many whiteness formulas for evaluating whiteness of white materials have been proposed. Although whiteness is an object of study for a long time, there is little agreement by users. The CIE whiteness formula  $W_{\text{CIE10}}$  was recommended as an assessment method of white materials in 1986<sup>[1]</sup>. However, it has been found that the CIE whiteness formula is poorly correlated to visual estimation for many white samples, and its white region is too narrow<sup>[2-5]</sup>. Whiteness formula  $W_G$  in CIELAB uniform color space was obtained by transforming CIE XYZ into CIELAB color space<sup>[6]</sup>. The  $W_G$  values in CIELAB are nearly the same numeric values in CIE XYZ. Also it is not recommended to evaluate dingy and shaded white fabrics. Differences on  $a^*$  values (red-green) have no influence on final whiteness value. It has the same limitation of CIE formulas. A modified CIE whiteness formula  $W_{10}$  in CIE XYZ dealing with the tint and the excitation purity was proposed<sup>[7]</sup>. The formula can be divided into two types, one type serves for an in-base point sample, and the other type serves for an out-base point sample. The base point is the chromaticity point on the baseline that is obtained by  $5Y_{10} - 275$  for the luminance factor of each sample. It enlarges the region of evaluating white on the color space, but its whiteness index is non-uniform in vision. Whiteness  $W_{\text{uv}}$  in the CIELUV uniform color space was also developed<sup>[8-10]</sup>. It improves on visual correlativity, visual uniformity and applicability. Since CIELAB uniform color space is used widely, it is urgent to establish a whiteness formula in CIELAB uniform color space that has well correlations with observers' evaluations.

The whiteness index in the CIELUV uniform color space is given by<sup>[10]</sup>

$$W_{\text{uv}} = W_{\text{H}} - 2(T_{\text{uv}})^2, \quad 40 < W_{\text{H}} < 3.37L_{10}^* - 185.35, \quad (1)$$

$$W_{\text{uv}} = P_{\text{uv}} - 2(T_{\text{uv}})^2, \quad W_{\text{H}} > 3.37L_{10}^* - 185.35, \quad (2)$$

where  $W_{\text{H}} = L_{10}^* + 260(u'_{n,10} - u'_{10}) + 1294(v'_{n,10} - v'_{10})$ ,  $P_{\text{uv}} = 5.74L_{10}^* + 260(u'_{10} - u'_{n,10}) + 1294(v'_{10} - v'_{n,10}) - 382.73$ ,  $T_{\text{uv}} = 1294(u'_{n,10} - u'_{10}) - 260(v'_{n,10} - v'_{10})$ .  $u'_{10}$ ,  $v'_{10}$  are the chromaticity coordinates in the CIELUV uniform

color space of sample,  $u'_{n,10}$ ,  $v'_{n,10}$  are the chromaticity coordinates of the perfect diffuser, and  $L_{10}^*$  is the lightness of sample for CIE 10° standard observer and CIE standard illuminant D65.

Idea of establishing the whiteness formula in CIELAB uniform color space is that Eqs. (1) and (2) in CIELUV uniform color space are transformed into ones in CIELAB uniform color space. The key is to get approximate linear transform between  $(u', v')$  and  $(a^*, b^*)$  near the perfect diffuser.

It is known that the coordinates  $(u', v')$  in the CIELUV uniform color space are defined as

$$u' = 4X/(X + 15Y + 3Z), \quad (3)$$

$$v' = 9Y/(X + 15Y + 3Z), \quad (4)$$

where  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$  are the tristimulus values of the sample.

The coordinates  $(a^*, b^*)$  in the CIELAB uniform color space are defined as

$$L^* = 116(Y/Y_n)^{1/3} - 16, \quad (5)$$

$$a^* = 500[(X/X_n)^{1/3} - (Y/Y_n)^{1/3}], \quad (6)$$

$$b^* = 200[(Y/Y_n)^{1/3} - (Z/Z_n)^{1/3}], \quad (7)$$

where  $X_n$ ,  $Y_n$ , and  $Z_n$  are the tristimulus values of the perfect diffuser under CIE standard illuminant D65.

The approximate linear transforms between  $(u', v')$  and  $(a^*, b^*)$  near the perfect diffuser are

$$u' - u'_n \approx \left( \frac{\partial u'}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial a^*} + \frac{\partial u'}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial a^*} + \frac{\partial u'}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial a^*} \right) a^* + \left( \frac{\partial u'}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial b^*} + \frac{\partial u'}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial b^*} + \frac{\partial u'}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial b^*} \right) b^*, \quad (8)$$

$$v' - v'_n \approx \left( \frac{\partial v'}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial a^*} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial a^*} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial a^*} \right) a^* + \left( \frac{\partial v'}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial b^*} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial b^*} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial b^*} \right) b^*, \quad (9)$$

where  $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial X} = \frac{60Y_n+12Z_n}{(X_n+15Y_n+3Z_n)^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial Y} = -\frac{60X_n}{(X_n+15Y_n+3Z_n)^2}$ ,  
 $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial Z} = -\frac{12X_n}{(X_n+15Y_n+3Z_n)^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial X}{\partial a^*} = \frac{3X_n}{500} \left( \frac{a_n^*}{500} + \frac{L_n^*+16}{116} \right)^2$ ,  
 $\frac{\partial Y}{\partial a^*} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial a^*} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial X}{\partial b^*} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial Y}{\partial b^*} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial b^*} =$   
 $-\frac{3Z_n}{200} \left( \frac{L_n^*+16}{116} - \frac{b_n^*}{200} \right)^2$ ,  $\frac{\partial v'}{\partial X} = -\frac{9Y_n}{(X_n+15Y_n+3Z_n)^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial v'}{\partial Y} =$   
 $\frac{9X_n+27Z_n}{(X_n+15Y_n+3Z_n)^2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial v'}{\partial Z} = -\frac{27Y_n}{(X_n+15Y_n+3Z_n)^2}$ .

By substituting the data of the perfect diffuser for CIE 10° standard observer under CIE standard illuminant D<sub>65</sub> ( $X_{n,10} = 94.8$ ,  $Y_{n,10} = 100$ ,  $Z_{n,10} = 107.3$ ,  $u'_{n,10} = 0.1930$ ,  $v'_{n,10} = 0.4601$ ,  $L^*_{n,10} = 100$ ,  $a^*_{n,10} = 0$ ,  $b^*_{n,10} = 0$ ) into Eqs. (8) and (9), the approximate linear transforms between  $(u'_{10}, v'_{10})$  and  $(a^*_{10}, b^*_{10})$  near the perfect diffuser can be obtained as

$$u'_{10} - u'_{n,10} = 1.1285 \times 10^{-3} a^*_{10} + 5.6411 \times 10^{-4} b^*_{10}, \tag{10}$$

$$v'_{10} - v'_{n,10} = -1.3935 \times 10^{-4} a^*_{10} + 1.1828 \times 10^{-3} b^*_{10}. \tag{11}$$

By substituting Eqs. (10) and (11) into Eqs. (1) and (2), the whiteness formula in CIELAB uniform color space

can be obtained as

$$W_{LAB} = W_{ab} - 2(T_{ab})^2, \quad 40 < W_{ab} < 3.37L^*_{10} - 191, \tag{12}$$

$$W_{LAB} = P_{ab} - 2(T_{ab})^2, \quad W_{ab} > 3.37L^*_{10} - 191, \tag{13}$$

where  $W_{ab} = L^*_{10} - 0.1131a^*_{10} - 1.6772b^*_{10}$ ,  $P_{ab} = 5.74L^*_{10} + 0.1131a^*_{10} + 1.6772b^*_{10} - 382.73$ , and  $T_{ab} = -1.4965a^*_{10} - 0.4224b^*_{10}$ . When sample's whiteness  $W_{LAB}$  is less than 40, it is considered that the sample is not white color.

CIBA-GEIGY white plates, whitened fabric samples, and whitened pottery samples are used in our experiments. Their whiteness indices are measured with the color measuring and matching system (Datacolor SF600 plus). Whiteness indices by different formulas and the visual estimation for same samples are analyzed and compared.

The experimental results show that five whiteness formulas ( $W_{CIE10}$ ,  $W_G$ ,  $W_{10}$ ,  $W_{uv}$ , and  $W_{LAB}$ ) agree well with the visual ranking  $VR$  for a great deal of white samples. It is shown that these whiteness formulas are adequate for general use. Some typical examples are shown in Tables 1 – 3.

**Table 1. Whiteness Values and VR of CIBA-GEIGY White Plates**

Sample	$W_{CIE10}$	$W_G$	$W_{10}$	$W_{uv}$	$W_{ab}$	$T_{ab}$	$W_{LAB}$	$VR$
1	41.84	42.18	10.40	67.60	78.17	-3.07	59.34	12
2	52.60	52.93	39.24	78.33	82.15	-2.02	73.99	11
3	59.83	60.06	54.35	83.40	84.79	-1.30	81.41	10
4	71.82	72.01	71.82	88.70	89.31	0.03	89.31	9
5	82.51	82.57	80.15	90.77	93.28	0.84	91.85	8
6	90.40	90.36	87.69	94.37	96.12	0.85	94.69	7
7	96.02	95.94	93.25	96.95	98.23	0.82	96.89	6
8	104.00	103.86	102.23	101.00	101.10	0.53	100.55	5
9	110.24	110.09	109.41	103.63	103.39	0.20	103.31	4
10	118.58	118.42	116.79	106.87	106.39	0.33	106.17	3
11	120.64	120.49	118.57	107.69	107.17	0.36	106.91	2
12	130.94	130.86	127.28	111.84	111.18	0.53	110.62	1

**Table 2. Whiteness Values and VR of 4 Whitened Fabrics**

Sample	$W_{CIE10}$	$W_G$	$W_{10}$	$W_{uv}$	$W_{ab}$	$T_{ab}$	$W_{LAB}$	$VR$
1	80.90	81.13	77.80	89.35	92.72	1.01	90.69	1
2	76.92	80.14	71.57	85.58	92.38	1.34	88.79	2
3	59.23	65.98	56.79	82.26	86.99	-1.41	83.00	3
4	49.05	61.12	42.85	75.03	85.15	-2.09	76.40	4

**Table 3. Whiteness Values and VR of 2 Groups of Whitened Potteries**

Sample	$W_{CIE10}$	$W_G$	$W_{10}$	$W_{uv}$	$W_{ab}$	$T_{ab}$	$W_{LAB}$	$VR$
A <sub>1</sub>	79.71	79.82	79.37	91.46	92.07	0.28	91.91	1
A <sub>2</sub>	74.73	74.54	73.61	88.47	89.92	0.57	89.27	2
A <sub>3</sub>	70.74	70.30	69.72	86.85	88.25	0.51	87.73	3
B <sub>1</sub>	77.33	77.25	75.56	88.93	90.99	0.76	89.83	1
B <sub>2</sub>	73.56	73.26	71.83	87.34	89.40	0.73	88.34	2
B <sub>3</sub>	69.32	67.51	60.39	86.00	86.65	0.43	86.28	3

**Table 4. Whiteness Values and VR of 4 Pairs of Fluorescent Whitening Fabrics**

Sample	$W_{\text{CIE10}}$	$W_{\text{G}}$	$W_{10}$	$W_{\text{uv}}$	$W_{ab}$	$T_{ab}$	$W_{\text{LAB}}$	VR
C <sub>1</sub>	178.08	178.94	176.24	129.31	129.57	-0.05	129.57	High
C <sub>2</sub>	178.02	179.01	170.88	123.70	129.43	-1.00	127.42	Low
D <sub>1</sub>	172.38	173.25	171.13	127.71	126.75	-0.54	126.16	High
D <sub>2</sub>	172.31	173.06	168.13	124.48	127.09	1.73	121.13	Low
E <sub>1</sub>	171.71	174.04	171.09	128.01	127.77	0.83	126.41	High
E <sub>2</sub>	171.37	172.17	162.84	119.56	126.74	-1.19	123.90	Low
F <sub>1</sub>	170.88	171.47	170.54	127.89	126.75	0.49	126.27	High
F <sub>2</sub>	171.37	172.17	162.84	119.56	127.14	1.48	123.90	Low

**Table 5. Whiteness Values and VR of 4 Fluorescent Whitening Fabrics**

Sample	$W_{\text{CIE10}}$	$W_{\text{G}}$	$W_{10}$	$W_{\text{uv}}$	$W_{ab}$	$T_{ab}$	$W_{\text{LAB}}$	VR
1	185.49*	186.99*	117.83	133.24	131.56	0.17	127.61	1
2	184.93*	186.57*	100.76	124.24	131.17	-1.24	122.98	2
3	190.28*	192.21*	78.44	121.56	133.06	-1.02	119.24	3
4	194.71*	196.90*	39.36	114.97	134.27	-0.65	112.00	4

\*Invalid whiteness indices.

**Table 6. Whiteness Values and VR of 2 Groups of Whitened Potteries**

Sample	$W_{\text{CIE10}}$	$W_{\text{G}}$	$W_{10}$	$W_{\text{uv}}$	$W_{ab}$	$T_{ab}$	$W_{\text{LAB}}$	VR
G <sub>1</sub>	85.68	85.88	84.64	93.22	94.51	0.59	93.81	1
G <sub>2</sub>	75.43	75.13	72.25	86.67	90.10	1.05	87.90	2
G <sub>3</sub>	73.99*	69.78*	-82.22*	71.27	86.70	2.56	73.61	3
H <sub>1</sub>	86.30	86.51	85.70	94.03	94.51	0.38	94.58	1
H <sub>2</sub>	74.54	74.44	73.52	88.57	90.10	0.52	89.40	2
H <sub>3</sub>	72.21*	69.18*	-21.83*	76.57	86.70	2.06	78.45	3

\*Invalid whiteness indices.

The results also show that whiteness formulas  $W_{10}$ ,  $W_{\text{uv}}$ , and  $W_{\text{LAB}}$  dealing with the tint and the excitation purity are well correlated with visual estimation. The white region extends widely as compared with the formulas  $W_{\text{CIE10}}$  and  $W_{\text{G}}$ . Some typical examples are shown in Table 4. It is found that whiteness values of 4 pairs of white fabrics with fluorescent whitening agents have significant difference in visual estimation, as well as in the whiteness indices  $W_{10}$ ,  $W_{\text{uv}}$ , and  $W_{\text{LAB}}$ . However, whiteness values of 4 pairs of white fabrics with fluorescent whitening agents do not show significant difference in the whiteness indices  $W_{\text{CIE10}}$  and  $W_{\text{G}}$ .

From Table 5 it can be seen that  $W_{\text{CIE10}}$  and  $W_{\text{G}}$  are invalid for the fluorescent whitening fabrics with the whiteness indices larger than  $5Y_{10} - 275$ . The white region of these formulas is too small. Although the whiteness formula  $W_{10}$  expands the white region and agrees with visual ranking of whiteness for some samples, it is less than eyeballing value, even negative (see Table 6). The whiteness formulas  $W_{\text{CIE10}}$  and  $W_{10}$  are non-uniform in vision, because they choose the CIEXYZ non-uniform color space. The whiteness formulas  $W_{\text{uv}}$  and  $W_{\text{LAB}}$ , however, choose the CIE1976 uniform color space. Not only the whiteness evaluations are well correlated to visual estimation, but also the visual uniformity is improved significantly.

A whiteness formula  $W_{\text{LAB}}$  in the CIELAB uniform color space is developed. Several whiteness formulas are

analyzed and compared. The experimental results show that whiteness formula in the CIELAB uniform color space agrees well with observers' assessments, and it is superior to the CIE whiteness formula and the others in visual correlativity, uniformity and applicability.

G. He's e-mail address is gxhe@dhu.edu.cn.

## References

1. *CIE Publication, No.15.2, Colorimetry* (2nd edn.) (Commission Internationale de LEclairage, Vienna, 1986).
2. E. Ganz and R. Griesser, *Appl. Opt.* **20**, 1395 (1981).
3. R. Griesser, *Color Research and Application* **19**, 446 (1994).
4. D. D. Malthouse and S. J. Popson, *Appita J.* **48**, 56 (1995).
5. R. Hayhurst and K. Smith, *J. Soc. Dyers and Colourists* **111**, 263 (1995).
6. E. Ganz and H. K. A. Pauli, *Appl. Opt.* **34**, 2998 (1995).
7. H. Uchida, *Color Research and Application* **23**, 202 (1998).
8. G. He, *Journal of China Textile University* (in Chinese) **24**, (2) 33 (1998).
9. G. He, *Journal of China Textile University* (in Chinese) **24**, (3) 28 (1998).
10. G. He and Z. Zhang, *Proc. SPIE* **4922**, 163 (2002).